Nitrogen-functionalised carbon nanotubes as a novel adsorbent for the removal of Cu(II) from aqueous solution

Oluwaseun A. Oyetade, Vincent O. Nyamori,* Bice S. Martincigh and Sreekantha B. Jonnalagadda

School of Chemistry and Physics, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Westville Campus, Private Bag

X54001, Durban 4000, South Africa

*Corresponding author: Tel: +27 31 260 8256; Fax: +27 31 260 3091; E-mail: nyamori@ukzn.ac.za

Supplementary Information

Determination of point of zero charge (pH_{PZC})

Aliquots of 50 cm³ of 0.01 mol dm⁻³ NaCl solutions were placed into bottles and adjusted with the addition of appropriate amounts of 0.1 mol dm⁻³ HCl or NaOH to obtain an initial pH in the range of 1-10. A mass of 100 mg of adsorbent was added into each bottle and the suspension left to equilibrate on an orbital shaker for 48 h at room temperature. The solutions were filtered and the final pH of the filtrate determined. A plot of $pH_{initial} - pH_{final} vs$. $pH_{initial}$ was obtained and the point of intersection of the curves gave the pH_{PZC} of the adsorbent.

Boehm titration

The basic and acidic properties of the adsorbents were quantitatively determined by the Boehm titration. This analysis method gives quantitative information on the amount of total basic and acidic groups on the adsorbents. As reported by Boehm *et al.*, the determination of acidic groups (carboxyl, lactonic, phenolic) on the adsorbents was performed by weighing 100 mg of each sorbent into a 50 cm³ polypropylene bottle and mixed with 20 cm³ of either 0.05 mol dm⁻³ NaHCO₃, NaOH or 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Na₂CO₃ solutions. The suspensions were agitated in a thermostated water bath at room temperature for 24 h. The resulting solutions were filtered by

gravity, and the amount of excess base determined quantitatively by back-titration against 0.05 mol dm⁻³ HCl solution. For the determination of the basic groups, 100 mg of adsorbents were mixed with 0.05 mol dm⁻³ HCl solution and agitated on a thermostated water bath at room temperature for 24 h. After agitation, the suspension was filtered by gravity and the amount of basic groups in the adsorbent was determined by titrating the filtrate against 0.05 mol dm⁻³ NaOH solution. The Boehm titration is based on the assumption that NaOH gives information on the amount of carboxylic, lactonic and phenolic groups, Na₂CO₃, on the carboxylic and lactonic groups, NaHCO₃, on the carboxylic groups on each adsorbent and HCl gives the amount of basic groups on the adsorbent. Results were expressed as H⁺/OH⁻ millimoles per gram of adsorbent.

Model	Equation ^a	Parameters
Pseudo-first order	$q_t = q_{eq} \left(1 - e^{-k_1 t} \right)$	$q_{eq,} k_l$
Pseudo-second order	$q_{t} = \frac{k_{2}q_{eq}^{2}t}{1 + k_{2}q_{eq}t}$	k_{2}, q_{eq}
Elovich	$q_t = \frac{1}{\beta} \ln \left(\alpha \beta \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \ln t$	α, β
Intraparticle diffusion	$q_t = k_{id} \sqrt{t} + l$	k _{id} , l

Table S1: Kinetics models investigated for the adsorption of Cu²⁺

^a q_{l} , quantity of adsorbate adsorbed at time t (mg g⁻¹); q_{eq} , quantity of adsorbate adsorbed at equilibrium (mg g⁻¹); α , adsorption rate constant (mg g⁻¹ min⁻¹); β , desorption rate constant (g mg⁻¹); k_{l} , pseudo-first order rate constant (min⁻¹); k_{2} , pseudo-second order rate constant (g mg⁻¹ min⁻¹); k_{id} , intraparticle diffusion rate constant (mg g⁻¹ min^{0.5}), l, is a constant related to the boundary layer thickness (mg g⁻¹).

Isotherm model	Equation ^a	Parameters
Langmuir	$q_{eq} = \frac{q_m C_{eq} b}{1 + b C_{eq}}$	q_{m} , b
Freundlich	$q_{eq} = K_F C_{eq}^{1/n}$	K_F , n
Temkin	$q_{eq} = \frac{RT}{b_T} ln^{\text{(ii)}} (A_T C_{eq})$	b_{T}, A_{T}
Dubinin-Radushkevich	$q_{eq} = q_m e^{-\beta \varepsilon 2}$	
	$\varepsilon = RTln \left(1 + \frac{1}{C_{eq}} \right)$	q_{m} , β
Sips	$q_{eq} = rac{b q_m C_{eq}^{1/n}}{1 + b C_{eq}^{1/n}}$	q_m , b, n
Toth	$q_{eq} = \frac{q_m C_{eq}}{\left(\frac{1}{K_T} + C_{eq}^{n_T}\right)^{1/n_T}}$	q_m, K_T, n_T
Redlich-Peterson	$q_{eq} = \frac{K_{RP}C_{eq}}{1 + \alpha_{RP}C_{eq}^{g}}$	K _{RP} , a _{RP} , g
Khan	$q_{eq} = \frac{q_m b_K C_{eq}}{\left(1 + b_K C_{eq}\right)^{a_K}}$	q_m , a_K , b_K

Table S2: Isotherm models investigated for the adsorption of Cu²⁺

^a q_{eq} , adsorption capacity (mg g⁻¹); C_{eq} , equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in solution (mg dm⁻³); q_m , maximum monolayer capacity (mg g⁻¹); b, Langmuir isotherm constant (dm³ mg⁻¹); K_F , Freundlich isotherm constant (mg g⁻¹)(dm³ mg⁻¹)ⁿ; n, adsorption intensity; b_T , Temkin isotherm constant; A_T , Temkin isotherm equilibrium binding constant (dm³ g⁻¹); β , Dubinin-Radushkevich isotherm constant (mol² kJ⁻²); K_T , Toth isotherm constant (mg g⁻¹); n_T , Toth isotherm constant; K_{RP} , Redlich-Peterson isotherm constant (dm³ g⁻¹); a_{RP} , Redlich-Peterson isotherm constant; g, Redlich-Peterson isotherm exponent; a_k , Khan isotherm exponent; b_k , Khan isotherm constant.

 Table S3:
 Elemental analysis of P-MWCNT, MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-ttpy

Adsorbents	%	%H	%0	%N		Relativ	General formula		
	70C	/011	/00	/01	С	Н	0	Ν	$C_aH_bO_cN_d$
P-MWCNT	97.34	-	2.656	-	1.000	-	0.021	-	(C ₄₈ O) _n
MWCNT-COOH	94.20	-	5.880	-	1.000	-	0.047	-	$(C_{21}O)_n$
MWCNT-ttpy	77.40	2.573	13.98	6.053	1.000	0.396	0.136	0.067	$(C_{15}H_6O_2N)_n$

Table S4:	Analysis of real water samples	

Sample Code	$C_i Pb$	$C_i Zn$	$C_i Cu$	$C_f Pb$	$C_f Zn$	$C_f Cu$	% Pb	% Zn	% Cu	
Tributary	8.137	12.36	3.442	0.058	0.134	0.000	99.29	98.92	100.0	
Blu Lagoon	5.087	6.112	6.114	0.216	0.000	0.005	95.75	100.0	99.92	
Ethwekini	10.34	4.332	8.114	0.123	0.100	0.127	98.81	97.69	98.43	
0 1	· · ·	0 0 1		· ·						

 C_i : initial concentration, C_j : final concentrations





Single Toleranc	Mass Analysi e = 50.0 PPM	is 7 dbe	: min =	-1.5, m	nax = 100.0																<u>^</u>
Element	prediction: Off																				
Number	of isotope peak	s used fi	or i-FIT :	= 3																	
Monoisot	topic Mass, Even	Electron	lons																		
336 form	ula(e) evaluated	with 15 r	results v	vithin lir	nits (all results (up to 10	100) for ea	ch mass)														
Elements	s Used:		252				<i></i>														~
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	Formula	i-FIT	i-FIT Norm	Fit Conf %	С	н	N	0	P Co								<u></u>
326.1301	326.1293	0.8	2.5	15.5	C21 H16 N3 O	331.5	4.175	1.54	21	16	3	1									
	326.1310	-0.9	-2.8	-0.5	C19 H21 N U2 P C10 H28 N4 O2 P Co	329.0	1.714	18.02	10	28	4	2	1 1								
	326.1322	-2.1	-6.4	3.5	C15 H28 N2 P Co	332.7	5.443	0.43	15	28	2	-	1 1								
	326.1265	3.6	11.0	4.5	C12 H23 N6 O Co	336.8	9.559	0.01	12	23	6	1	1								
	326.1210	9.1	27.9	3.5	C16 H28 O P Co	334.1	6.782	0.11	16	28		1	1 1								
	326.1394	-9.3	-28.5	-0.5	C9 H28 N6 U P C0	340.1	12.810	0.00	15	28	2	2	1 1								
	326.1406	-10.5	-32.2	15.5	C20 H16 N5	332.0	4.750	0.87	20	16	5	-									~
4-Phenyl-3 MS_Direct 100 - - - - - - -	2,2:6;2:Terpyndii L_140625_26 11	ne (0.114) (326.13)	Cm (11: 01	16)																	1: TOF MS ES+ 1.04e+006
	45.9886 230.53	32	348.11 ⁴ 358.1	14	507.6649 628.2651 ⁶	73.2332	841.77	91 943.520	9 993.	5596		1125.6	455 12	13.6923	1251.719	2_1346.5	955	1600	1600	1700	
For Help, pr	200 ecc E1	300	40	0	500 600	700	800	900	1	000		100	1	200	1300	140	0	1500	1600	1700	1800
For help, pr	655 P1																				11

Fig S3: Mass spectrum of HO-Phttpy



Fig S4: (a) Thermograms and (b) derivative thermograms of P-MWCNT, MWCNT-COOH and MWCNT-ttpy.



Fig S5: Comparison of kinetics models fitted to the experimental data for the adsorption of Cu²⁺ onto (a) MWCNT-COOH and (b) MWCNT-ttpy (pseudo-first order ______, pseudo-second order ______, intraparticle diffusion ______ and Elovich model ______).



Fig S6: The Freundlich adsorption isotherm fitted to the experimental data for the adsorption of Cu²⁺ onto MWCNT-COOH at various temperatures (293 K _____, 303 K _____, 313 K _____ and 318 K _____).



Fig S7: The Langmuir adsorption isotherm fitted to the experimental data for the adsorption of Cu²⁺ onto MWCNT-ttpy at various temperatures (293 K —, 303 K , 313 K and 318 K).



Fig S8: TEM images of (a) MWCNT-COOH and (b) MWCNT-ttpy after adsorption.