Unique solubility of polyoxoniobate salts in methanol: coordination to cations and POM methylation

P. A. Abramov*, ^{a,b} C. Vicent, ^c N. B. Kompankov, ^a J. A. Laricheva, ^a M. N. Sokolov^{a,b}

Supporting information

Fig. S1. ESI-MS data for the solution of $[{Cp*Ir}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4-}$ in MeOH.

Fig. S2. ESI-MS data for the solution of $[{Cp^*Ir}_2Ta_6O_{19}]^{4-}$ in H₂O and MeOH.

Table S1. Summary of the species detected upon dissolving hexametalate sample solutions in CH₃OH

Fig. S3. Building block of the crystal structure of **1**. C₆H₆ rings are omitted for clarity.

Fig. S4. Infinite channels in the crystal structure of 1 along [100] crystal direction.

Fig. S5. Chain-like potassium polycation in the crystal packing of 2.

Fig. S6. Chain-like potassium polycation in the crystal packing of 3.

Fig. S7. The layer in the crystal structure of 3.

Fig. S8. The layer in the crystal structure of 2.

Fig. S9. Coordination of the cations by free $\{Nb_3O_3\}$ faces of $[\{Cp^*Rh\}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4-}$ anion in the crystal structure of **2**.

Fig. S10. Coordination of the cations by free {Nb₃O₃} faces of [{Cp*Ir}₂Nb₆O₁₉]⁴⁻ anion in the crystal structure of **3** (left), H-bonds between MeOH and the anion (right).

Table S2. Experimental details

Table S3. Hirshfeld charges on $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$, its $\{Cp^*Ir\}^{2+}$ -capped and methylated derivatives

Table S4. Comparison of energies between the three isomers of [trans-{Cp*Ir}2Nb₆O₁₈(OCH₃)]³⁻



Fig. S1. ESI mass spectrum of methanol solutions of $[{Cp*Ir}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4-}$. Species featuring methoxo groups are highlighted in red. Peaks depicted as * correspond to the 1:1 adducts derived from the $[{Cp*Ir}Nb_6O_{19}]^{6-}$ anion.



Fig. S2. ESI mass spectra of aqueous (top) and methanol (bottom) solutions of $[{Cp*Ir}_2Ta_6O_{19}]^{4-}$ together with peak assignment. Species featuring methoxo groups are highlighted in red.

Table S1. Summary of the species detected upon dissolving hexametalate sample solutions in CH_3OH

Fig. S3. Building block of the crystal structure of **1**. C_6H_6 rings are omitted for clarity. Lindqvist anions are colored in blue octahedra, ruthenium - orange, sodium – green.



Fig. S4. Infinite channels in the crystal structure of **1** along [100] crystal direction. . Lindqvist anions are colored in blue octahedra, ruthenium - orange, sodium – green. Disordered solvate methanol molecules are omitted for clarity.



Fig. S5. Chain-like potassium polycation in the crystal packing of **2**. . Lindqvist anions are colored in blue octahedra, rhodium - orange, potassium – glaucous.



Fig. S6. Chain-like potassium polycation in the crystal packing of 3. Niobium is blue, iridium is green, potassium is dark cyan.



Fig. S7. The layer in the crystal structure of 3. Niobium is blue, iridium is green, potassium is dark cyan.



Fig. S8. The layer in the crystal structure of **2**. Lindqvist anions are colored in blue octahedra, rhodium - orange, potassium – glaucous.



Fig. S9. Coordination of the cations by free $\{Nb_3O_3\}$ faces of $[\{Cp^*Rh\}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4-}$ anion in the crystal structure of **2**. Lindqvist anions are colored in blue octahedra, rhodium - orange, potassium – glaucous.



Fig. S10. Coordination of the cations by free {Nb₃O₃} faces of [{Cp*Ir}₂Nb₆O₁₉]⁴⁻ anion in the crystal structure of **3** (left), H-bonds between MeOH and the anion (right). Niobium is blue, iridium is green, potassium is dark cyan.



	1	2	3
Chemical formula	$C_{26.12}H_{72.50}Na_4Nb_6O_{35.12}Ru_2$	$C_{30}H_{78}Ir_2K_4Nb_6O_{33}$	$C_{24}H_{66}K_4Nb_6O_{33}Rh_2$
M _r	1800.40	2065.18	1802.44
Crystal system, space group	Tetragonal, $I4_1/a$	Triclinic, <i>P</i> ⁻¹	Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$
<i>a</i> , <i>b</i> , <i>c</i> (Å)	30.105 (3), 30.105 (3), 14.0549 (13)	10.7760 (6), 11.8756 (7), 14.1839 (9)	14.6139 (5), 9.3769 (3), 19.9504 (5)
α, β, γ (°)	90, 90, 90	113.102 (3), 92.519 (3), 111.051 (2)	90, 95.964 (1), 90
$V(Å^3)$	12738 (3)	1521.58 (16)	2719.07 (14)
Ζ	8	1	2
μ (mm ⁻¹)	1.61	5.80	2.20
Crystal size (mm)	$0.06\times 0.01\times 0.01$	0.15 imes 0.12 imes 0.06	$0.12\times0.08\times0.01$
Diffractometer	Bruker Apex Duo	Bruker Nonius X8Apex CCD	Bruker Nonius X8Apex CCD
T_{\min}, T_{\max}	0.910, 0.981	0.477, 0.722	0.778, 0.974
No. of measured, independent and observed $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ reflections	26872, 6515, 5049	18012, 6901, 5935	17988, 6205, 4923
R _{int}	0.041	0.045	0.040
$(\sin \theta / \lambda)_{max} (\text{\AA}^{-1})$	0.625	0.651	0.650
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)], wR(F^2), S$	0.035, 0.109, 1.05	0.033, 0.134, 1.16	0.040, 0.157, 1.14
No. of reflections, parameters, restraints	6515, 344, 0	6901, 344, 12	6205, 311, 0
H-atom treatment	H-atom parameters constrained	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement	H-atom parameters constrained
	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0528P)^2 + 99.642P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0764P)^2]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0897P)^2 + 1.2721P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$\Delta \rangle_{\text{max}}, \Delta \rangle_{\text{min}} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	1.98, -0.78	1.70, -2.62	1.58, -2.81

Computer programs: *APEX2* (Bruker-AXS, 2004), *SAINT* (Bruker-AXS, 2004), *SHELXL2014*/7 (Sheldrick, 2014), SHELXLE (Sheldrick, 2011), CIFTAB-97 (Sheldrick, 2014).

Table S3. Hirshfeld charges on $[Nb_6O_{19}]^{8-}$, its $\{Cp*Ir\}^{2+}$ -capped and methylated derivatives.

					[trans-	[trans-	[trans-
	$[Nb_6O_{19}]$	[{Cp*Ir}Nb ₆	[trans-	[cis-	$\{Cp*Ir\}_2Nb_6O_{18}(OCH_3)\}^{3-1}$	${Cp*Ir}_{2}Nb_{6}O_{18}(OCH_{3})]^{3}$	${Cp*Ir}_{2}Nb_{6}O_{18}(OCH_{3})]^{3-1}$
]8-		O ₁₉] ⁶⁻	$\{Cp*Ir\}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4-}$	$\{Cp*Ir\}_2Nb_6O_{19}]^{4}$	-		
					Isomer I	Isomer II	Isomer III
	-0.7542	-0.6197	-0.4904	-0 5224	-0.4605	-0.4563	-0 4427
	-0.7542	-0.0177	-0.+70+	-0.5224	-0.4005	-0.4303	-0+27
	0.4182	0.5919	0.6768	0.6662	0.6966	0.7078	0.7009
	0.0510	0.4000	0.42.40		0.402.4	0.4045	0.4202
	-0.3712	-0.4203	-0.4340	-0.4324	-0.4034	-0.4345	-0.4303
	-0.4686	-0.4369	-0.3451	-0.4055	-0.3445	-0.3398	-0.3401
	-0.4686	-0.4309	-0.4049	-0.4055	-0.3962	-0.3933	-0.3921
	0 1696	0.4225	0.4047	0.4040	0.2800	0.2226	0.2015
	-0.4080	-0.4323	-0.4047	-0.4049	-0.3899	-0.2330	-0.3913
	-0.4686	-0.4354	-0.3432	-0.4049	-0.3345	-0.3334	-0.3390
	0.4204	0.6050	0.6760	0.6681	0.6969	0.6963	0.6901
-	0 4204	0 5913	0.6760	0.6681	0 6999	0.6938	0 7029
	0.1201	0.3713	0.0700	0.0001	0.0777	0.0750	0.7029
	0.4201	0.5905	0.6774	0.6660	0.7568	0.7069	0.7029
	0.4201	0.5000	0.(774	0.000	0.7104	0.000	0.000
	0.4201	0.5989	0.6774	0.6660	0./104	0.6999	0.6898
	0.4179	0.6077	0.6768	0.6887	0.6987	0.7013	0.6959
	-0.7534	-0.5650	-0.4957	-0.5039	-0.4667	-0.4631	-0.4844

-0.4683	-0.3393	-0.4049	-0.3393	-0.3960	-0.3937	-0.3963
-0.4684	-0.4376	-0.4048	-0.4071	-0.3832	-0.3936	-0.3904
-0.4681	-0.3570	-0.3438	-0.3397	-0.3349	-0.3368	-0.1710
-0.7534	-0.6195	-0.4957	-0.5039	-0.4661	-0.4678	-0.4618
-0.4683	-0.4377	-0.3451	-0.3393	-0.3349	-0.3388	-0.3360
-0.4684	-0.4358	-0.4048	-0.4071	-0.3919	-0.3927	-0.3905
-0.4681	-0.4327	-0.3438	-0.3397	-0.3443	-0.3414	-0.3370
-0.4682	-0.4383	-0.3432	-0.3425	-0.3515	-0.3313	-0.3378
-0.7538	-0.6193	-0.4977	-0.5004	-0.2831	-0.4588	-0.4609
-0.4682	-0.3508	-0.4047	-0.3425	-0.3929	-0.3880	-0.3970
-0.7538	-0.5951	-0.4977	-0.5004	-0.4663	-0.4699	-0.4825
-0.7548	-0.5639	-0.4904	-0.4660	-0.4610	-0.4621	-0.4643
-	0.2924	0.2797	0.2797	0.2602	0.2666	0.2911
-	-	0.2797	0.2797	0.2623	0.2670	0.2646

eV	Isomer I	Isomer II	Isomer III
Electrostatic	-416.9272	-416.6600	-415.8545
Energy			
Kinetic	601.4506	603.2071	602.1887
Energy			
Coulomb	-250.8965	-252.8108	-252.4509
(Steric+OrbInt)			
Energy			
XC Energy	-471.7505	-471.6807	-471.6903
Spin-Orbit	-0.0014385093	-0.0197047913	-0.0014351780
ZORA			
(hartree)			
Total	-538.1235	-537.9444	-537.8069
Bonding Energy			
НОМО	357 A	357 A	357 A
(a.u.)	0.10695817316619E+0	00.10884879962234E+0	00.10808034683371E+00

Table S4. Comparison of energies between the three isomers of $[trans-{Cp*Ir}_2Nb_6O_{18}(OCH_3)]^{3-}$

LUMO	358 A	358 A	358 A
(a.u.)	0.20993816832668E+00	0.20630095167621E+00	0.19659417795084E+00