Supporting information

for

A two-step continuous synthesis of $\alpha\text{-ketoamide}$ and $\alpha\text{-amino}$

ketones from 2° benzylic alcohols using hydrogen peroxide as an

economical and benign oxidant

Chengkou Liu,^a Zheng Fang,^a Zhao Yang,^b Qingwen Li,^a Shiyu Guo,^a and Kai Guo^{a,c,*} ^aCollege of Biotechnology and Pharmaceutical Engineering Nanjing Tech University 30 Puzhu South Road, Nanjing, 211816, China ^bCollege of Engineering China Pharmaceutical University 24 Tongijayiang, NanJing, 210003, China

^bCollege of Engineering China Pharmaceutical University, 24 Tongjiaxiang, NanJing, 210003, China ^cState Key Laboratory of Materials-Oriented Chemical Engineering Nanjing Tech University, 30 Puzhu South Road, Nanjing, 211816, China

Table of contents:

- 1. General experimental information
- 2. General material information for continuous-flow setups
- 3. Experimental details of a, b, c, d, e in table 1
- 4. Table S1: the equiv. of potassium iodide screening for the two-step synthesis of a-ketoamides
- 5. Table S2: the equiv. of sulfuric acid screening for two-step synthesis of a-ketoamides
- Table S3: the equiv. of oxidant screening for two-step synthesis of a-ketoamides
- Table S4: the equiv. of oxidant screening for two-step synthesis of α-amino ketones
- 8. General experimental details for the synthesis of a-ketoamides
- 9. General experimental details for the synthesis of α -amino ketones
- 10. Proposed mechanism
- 11. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds
- 12. Reference

1. General experimental information

Reagents and solvents: Commercially available reagents were used without any further purification. All organic solvents were also of reagent grade quality without any further purification.

Chromatography: Flash column chromatography was performed using silicycle silica gel (200-300 mesh).

Analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on 0.2 mm coated silica gel plates (HSGF 254) and visualized using a UV lamp (254 nm or 365 nm).

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy:

¹H NMR was recorded on magnet system 400'54 ascend purchased from Bruker Biospin AG. ¹H NMR spectra chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) referenced to TMS (0 ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift, multiplicity (s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, dd = doublet of doublets, dt = doublet of triplets, ddt = doublet of triplets, dtd = doublet of triplet of doublets, m = multiplet, br = broad), coupling constant (J) in Hertz (Hz), and integration.

¹³C NMR spectra chemical shifts (δ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) were referenced to carbon resonances in the NMR solvent (CDCl₃, δ = 77.23 ppm).

ESI-MS spectra were recorded on Agilent Q-TOF 6520.

2. General material information for continuous-flow setups

Parts information for continuous-flow system:

(1), Slit plate mixer LH25 (Hastelloy C) purchased from Ehrfeld Mikrotechnik BTS GmbH.

(2), The coil reactors and connecting tubes are PFA tubes purchased from Upchurch Scientific.

(3), Syringe pumps were purchased from Harvard Apparatus.

As shown in Figure S1, the PFA tubing reactor (ID=0.05 cm, length=1000 cm, volume=1.962 mL) was connected to two syringes respectively via the slit plate mixer. The syringe pumps were used to infuse the liquid reagent into the mixer and the reactor. The modest temperature was kept by the oil bath.



Figure S1: Flow parts connections in coil reactor

3. experimental details of a, b, c, d, e in table 1

3.1 experimental details of a in table 1

The reaction was carried out using a 25 mL round bottom flask charged with DL-1-phenethylalcohol (1 mmol, 0.1221 g, 1 eq), 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq), potassium iodide (0.2 mmol, 0.0332 g, 0.2 eq), sulfuric acid (98%, 0.2 mmol, 0.0200 g, 0.2 eq), hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 6 mmol, 0.6802 g, 6 eq), DMF (3 mL) and a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was stirred at 70° C for 24h.

3.2 experimental details of b in table 1

The reaction was carried out using a 25 mL round bottom flask charged with DL-1phenethylalcohol (1 mmol, 0.1221 g,1 eq), 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq), potassium iodide (0.2 mmol, 0.0332 g, 0.2 eq), sulfuric acid (98%, 0.2 mmol, 0.0200 g, 0.2 eq), DMF (3 mL) and a magnetic stir bar. Then a solution of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2 (30% aq, 6 mmol, 0.6802 g, 6 eq) in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added into the reaction mixture slowly with the help of springe pump within 12 h. the mixture was stirred for another 12 h.

3.3 Experimental details of c in table 1.

A solution of DL-1-phenethylalcohol (1 mmol, 0.1221 g, 1,eq), potassium iodide (0.2 mmol, 0.0332 g, 0.2 eq), sulfuric acid (98%, 0.2 mmol, 0.0200 g, 0.2 eq) and hydrogen peroxide (2 mmol, 0.2267 g, 2 eq) in DMF (1.5 mL) was stirred at 70 °C. After 12h, 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H_2O_2 (30% aq, 4 mmol, 0.4535 g, 4 eq) in DMF (1.5 mL) was syringed addition within 2h. The mixture was stirred for another 1h.

3.4 Experimental details of d in table 1.

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq) and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (30 mmol, 2.6136 g, 3 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 60 mmol, 6.8020 g, 6 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 2 mmol, 0.2001 g, 0.2 eq) and potassium iodide (2 mmol, 0.3320 g, 0.2 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 70 °C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube.

3.5 Experimental details of e in table 1.

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 2 mmol, 0.2001 g, 0.2 eq) and potassium iodide (2 mmol, 0.3320 g, 0.2 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 70 °C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30%

aq, 4 mmol, 0.4535 g, 4 eq) in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. The mixture was stirred for another 1h.

4. Table S1: the equiv. of potassium iodide screening for two-step

OH + O conditions O N O				
1a	2a	За		
Entry	Equiv. of potassium iodide	Yield (%)		
1	0.2	95		
2	0.15	93		
3	0.1	94		
4	0.05	94		

continuous flow synthesis of a-ketoamide^a

^areaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol, 0.1221 g), 2a (3.0 mmol, 0.2614 g), KI, H₂O₂(30% aq, 6 mmol, 0.6802 g), H₂SO₄ (98%, 0.2 mmol, 0.0200 g), DMF, T (60[°]C), 3min+3h.

 Table S2: the equiv. of sulfuric acid screening for two-step continuous flow synthesis of a-ketoamide^a



Entry	Equiv. of sulfuric acid	Yield (%)
1	0.2	95
2	0.15	95
3	0.1	92
4	0.05	94

^areaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol, 0.1221 g), 2a (3.0 mmol, 0.2614 g), KI (0.05 mmol, 0.0083 g), H_2O_2 (30% aq, 6 mmol, 0.6802 g), H_2SO_4 (98%), DMF, T (60 $^{\circ}$ C), 3min+3h.

 Table S3: the equiv. of oxidant screening for two-step continuous flow synthesis of α-ketoamide^a



Entry	Equiv. of oxidant	Yield (%)
1	6 (2+4)	95
2	5.8 (2+3.8)	92
3	5.6(2+3.6)	93
4	5.4(2+3.4)	82

reaction conditions: 1a (1.0 mmol, 0.1221 g), 2a (3.0 mmol, 0.2614 g), KI (0.05 mmol, 0.0083 g), $H_2O_2(30\% \text{ aq})$, H_2SO_4 (98% 0.05 mmol, 0.0050 g), DMF, T (60 $^{\circ}$ C), 3min+3h.

7. Table S4: the equiv. of oxidant screening for two-step continuous

flow synthesis of a-amino ketones^a

	ОН + 4а	$ \begin{array}{c} H \\ N \\ O \\ O \\ 2a \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} 5 \text{ mol}\% \text{ KI} \\ 5 \text{ mol}\% \text{ H}^+ \\ H_2O_2 \end{array} $ $ \begin{array}{c} (1) \\ (2) \\ (3) \\$	5a O O N O O N O O Sa
Entry		Equiv. of oxidant	Yield (%)
1		6 (2+4)	91
2		5 (2+3)	92
3		4 (2+2)	88
4		3.5(2+1.5)	85
5		3 (2+1)	65

^areaction conditions: 4a (1.0 mmol, 0.1361 g), 2a (3.0 mmol, 0.2614 g), KI (0.05 mmol, 0.0083 g), H₂O₂(30% aq), H₂SO₄ (98%, 0.05 mmol, 0.0050 g), DMF, T (60 °C), 3min+3h.

8. General experimental details for the synthesis of a-ketoamides

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the specific benzylic alcohol (10 mmol, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60° C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and amine (3 mmol, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 3.6 mmol, 0.4081 g in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After the TLC revealed that

the full conversion of staring material was consumed, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate to afford the desired product. 8.1

$$\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{OH}} \cdot \mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{H}}_{\mathsf{O}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{OH}}_{\mathsf{O}}$$

8.1.1small scale

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-Phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60 °C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 3.6 mmol, 0.4081 g in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 1h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (30mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product.

8.1.2 scale up to gram degree

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-Phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H_2O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60°C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 25 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (25 mmol, 2.1780 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H_2O_2 (30% aq, 30 mmol 3.4010 g in DMF (12.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 1h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (80 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (80 mL) and water (80 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product with 88% isolated yield. 8.2

$$\bigcirc^{\mathsf{OH}} \cdot \bigcirc^{\mathsf{H}} \longrightarrow \bigcirc^{\mathsf{OH}}_{\mathsf{O}} \wedge \bigcirc^{\mathsf{OH}}_{\mathsf{OH}}$$

8.2.1 small scale

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-Phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60° C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and piperidine (3 mmol, 0.2553 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 3.6 mmol, 0.4081 g in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 1h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product.

8.2.2 scale up to gram degree

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the DL-1-Phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1.2207 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60° C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 25 mL reaction mixture was taken and piperidine (25 mmol, 2.1272 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 30 mmol, 3.4010 g in DMF (12.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 1h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (80 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (80 mL) and water (80 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product with 84% isolated yield.

9. General experimental details for the synthesis of a-amino ketones

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of the specific benzylic alcohol (10 mmol, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60° C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and amine (3 mmol, 3 eq) was added

in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H_2O_2 (30% aq, 1.5 mmol, 0.1700 g) in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After the TLC revealed that the full conversion of staring material was consumed, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na_2SO_4 and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate to afford the desired product. 9.1

$$\mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{OH}} \cdot \mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{H}}_{\mathsf{O}} \to \mathbb{C}^{\mathsf{OH}}_{\mathsf{N}}$$



One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of 1-phenyl-1-propanol (10 mmol, 1.3609 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60 °C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (3 mmol, 0.2614 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 1.5 mmol, 0.1700 g) in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 0.25h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (30 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30 mL) and water (30 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product.

9.1.2 scale up to gram degree

One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of 1-phenyl-1-propanol (10 mmol, 1.3609 g, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30% aq, 20 mmol, 2.2673 g, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.0500 g, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.0830 g, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H₂O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60° C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 25 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-oxa-4-azacyclohexane (25 mmol, 2.1780 g, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H₂O₂ (30% aq, 12.5 mmol, 1.4171 g) in DMF (12.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After another 0.25h, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with ethyl acetate (80 mL). The crude product was washed with brine (80 mL) and water (80 mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate (10:1) to afford the desired product with 86 % isolated yield.

10. Proposed mechanism



Scheme S2: Proposed mechanism of step 1

Initially, I⁻ was oxidized to form the hydroiodic acid in the presence of acid and hydrogen peroxide. The generated hydroiodic acid subsequently reacted with the corresponding hydroxyl group to form the hypoiodite intermediate 1, which yielded the corresponding carbonyl compounds via α -hydrogen elimination. Brnsted acid and I⁻ were reformatted to maintain the system circularly.



Scheme S3: Proposed mechanism of step 2

As listed in figure S2, the oxidative amidation of acetophenones was likely to undergo the processes of iodination (A-B), nucleophilic substitution by amine (B-C), iodination (C-D), ionization (D-E), hydrolysis (E-F) and oxidation (F-G).

11. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds



1-morpholino-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione (3a)^[1]: Yellow solid (210.3 mg, 96% yield); ¹H NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.95 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.78 (br, s, 4H), 3.67 – 3.60 (m, 2H), 3.40 – 3.33 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.29, 165.59, 135.08, 133.20, 129.82, 129.24, 66.88, 66.81, 46.41, 41.76; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₃ 242.0788 found 242.0783.



1-morpholino-2-(*p*-tolyl)ethane-1,2-dione (3b)^[2]: Yellow solid (226.1 mg, 97% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.83 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (br, s, 4H), 3.64 – 3.60 (m, 2H), 3.37 – 3.33 (m, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 190.98, 165.75, 146.36, 130.73, 129.90, 129.86, 66.82, 66.74, 46.34, 41.64, 22.00; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₃ 234.1125 found 234.1106.



1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-2-morpholinoethane-1,2-dione (3d): Yellow solid (134.5 mg, 54% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.89 (t, J = 12.9 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (t, J = 12.3 Hz, 2H), 3.88 – 3.79 (m, 3H), 3.73 (d, J = 25.3 Hz, 4H), 3.60 (t, J = 10.4 Hz, 2H), 3.33 (t, J = 10.7 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 189.91, 165.89, 165.11, 132.23, 126.24, 114.51, 66.86, 66.76, 55.76, 46.38, 41.64; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₄ 250.1074 found 250.1072.



1-morpholino-2-(3-nitrophenyl)ethane-1,2-dione (3e)^[1]: Yellow solid (258.8mg, 98% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.78 (t, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.48 (ddd, J = 8.2, 2.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 8.34 – 8.27 (m, 1H), 7.74 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.83 – 3.79 (m, 4H), 3.72 – 3.67 (m, 2H), 3.46 – 3.42 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 188.25, 164.00, 148.73, 135.24, 134.66, 130.48, 128.96, 124.64, 66.85, 66.74 46.48, 42.10; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₂N₂O₅ 287.0638 found 287.0623.



1-(4-fluorophenyl)-2-morpholinoethane-1,2-dione (3f)^[1]: Yellow solid (227.6 mg, 96% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.06 – 7.95 (m, 2H), 7.19 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 3.79 (s, 4H), 3.70 – 3.62 (m, 2H), 3.43 – 3.34 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 189.50, 166.94 (d, J=256.7), 165.22, 132.69 (d, J=9.8), 129.75 (d, J=2.8), 116.70, 116.48, 66.89, 66.79, 46.44, 41.84; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₂FNO₃ 238.0874 found 238.0876.



1-(4-chlorophenyl)-2-morpholinoethane-1,2-dione $(3g)^{[3]}$: Yellow solid (245.4 mg, 97% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.98 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.56 – 7.46 (m, 2H), 3.82 – 3.74 (m, 4H), 3.69 – 3.63 (m, 2H), 3.42 – 3.34 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 188.83, 164.04, 140.76, 130.61, 130.18, 128.64, 65.88, 65.79, 45.43, 40.85; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₂ClNO₃ 276.0398 found 276.0374.



1-(2,5-dichlorophenyl)-2-morpholinoethane-1,2-dione (3h): Yellow solid (266.9 mg, 93% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.73 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.39 (dd, J = 9.4, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.34 – 7.25 (m, 1H), 3.74 – 3.61 (m, 6H), 3.47 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 187.39, 163.81, 134.03, 133.29, 132.93, 130.92, 130.90, 130.67, 65.38, 65.33, 45.28, 41.13; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₁Cl₂NO₃ 288.0189 found 288.0165.



1-morpholino-2-(naphthalen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (3i): Yellow solid (263.7 mg, 98% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.46 (s, 1H), 8.04 – 7.86 (m, 4H), 7.61 (dt, J = 28.4, 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 4H), 3.72 – 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.47 – 3.36 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.34, 165.70, 136.54, 133.16, 132.53, 130.53, 130.02, 129.66, 129.30, 128.08, 127.35, 123.68, 66.87, 66.82, 46.47, 41.83; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₆H₁₅NO₃ 270.1125 found 270.1140.



1-morpholino-2-(pyridin-3-yl)ethane-1,2-dione $(3k)^{[1]}$: Yellow solid (202.5 mg, 92% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 9.07 (s, 1H), 8.81 – 8.75 (m, 1H), 8.19 (dd, J = 8.0, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.46 – 7.37 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 4H), 3.63 – 3.58 (m, 2H), 3.38 – 3.33 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 189.45, 164.13, 154.80, 151.17, 136.73, 128.77, 123.92, 66.68, 66.55, 46.25, 41.79; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₁H₁₂N₂O₃ 221.0921 found 221.0928.



1-morpholino-2-(thiophen-2-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (3l)^[4]: Yellow oil (2115 mg, 94% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.81 (ddd, J = 5.9, 4.4, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (dd, J = 4.8, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 3.78 – 3.71 (m, 4H), 3.69 – 3.62 (m, 2H), 3.51 – 3.44 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 182.90,

164.41, 140.36, 136.87, 136.37, 128.82, 66.89, 66.70, 46.52, 42.03; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for $C_{10}H_{11}NO_3S$ 226.0532 found 226.0552.



1-phenyl-2-(piperidin-1-yl)ethane-1,2-dione (3m)^[5]: Yellow solid (206.2 mg, 95% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.92 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 7.65 – 7.59 (m, 1H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.69 (s, 2H), 3.31 – 3.23 (m, 2H), 1.71 – 1.64 (m, 4H), 1.57 – 1.47 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 192.05, 165.54, 134.74, 133.36, 129.65, 129.09, 47.12, 42.24, 26.29, 25.54, 24.47; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₅NO₂ 218.1184 found 218.1184.



1-phenyl-2-(pyrrolidin-1-yl)ethane-1,2-dione $(3n)^{[4]}$: Yellow solid (195.0 mg, 96% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 8.05 – 7.94 (m, 2H), 7.62 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 3.40 (t, J = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 2.00 – 1.87 (m, 4H); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₃NO₂ 204.1019 found 204.1026.



One vial was placed a 15 mL DMF solution of DL-1-phenethylalcohol (10 mmol, 1 eq). Another vial was placed a 14.5 mL DMF solution of hydrogen peroxide (30%, 20 mmol, 2 eq), sulphuric acid (98%, 0.5 mmol, 0.05 eq) and potassium iodide (0.5 mmol, 0.05 eq). 0.5 mL H_2O was added to dissolve the potassium iodide. The reaction streams were introduced to the PFA tube reactor at a preheated temperature of 60°C through the mixer. The flow rates of reagent and oxidant were both 0.327 mL/min (residence time was 3 min). The reaction mixture was collected in a vial through the segment of PTA tube. 3 mL reaction mixture was taken and 1-methylpiperazine (3 mmol, 3 eq) was added in a whole. Then, the hydrogen peroxide (a solution of H_2O_2 (3.6 mmol) in DMF (1.5 mL)) was added slowly within 2h using springe pump. After the TLC revealed that the full conversion of staring material was completed, the reaction mixture was cooled and diluted with dichloromethane (30mL). The crude product was washed with brine (30mL) and water (30mL). The separated organic layer was dried over by anhydrous Na₂SO₄ and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel using hexane/ethyl acetate/triethylamine to afford the desired product.

1-(4-methylpiperazin-1-yl)-2-phenylethane-1,2-dione (3o)^[6]: Yellow solid (220.5 mg, 95% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.96 – 7.87 (m, 2H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.82 – 3.71 (m, 2H), 3.39 – 3.28 (m, 2H), 2.51 – 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.36 – 2.32 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.54, 165.44, 134.88, 133.16, 129.69, 129.11, 54.95, 54.49, 46.04, 45.81, 41.20; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₆N₂O₂ 233.1285 found 233.1281.



N,N-diethyl-2-oxo-2-phenylacetamide (3p)^[2]: Yellow solid (168.2 mg,82% yield); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.97 – 7.85 (m, 2H), 7.60 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (q, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.21 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl3) δ 191.66, 166.80, 134.64, 133.28, 129.64, 129.01, 42.16, 38.85, 14.14, 12.87; HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₂H₁₅NO₂ 206.1176 found 206.1191.



2-morpholino-1-phenylpropan-1-one (5a) ^[7]: pale yellow solid (210.3 mg, 96%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.10 – 8.05 (m, 2H), 7.57 – 7.51 (m, 1H), 7.41-7.46 (m, 2H), 4.06 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 – 3.62 (m, 4H), 2.65 – 2.52 (m, 4H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 199.18 (s), 135.18 (s), 132.03 (s), 127.78 (s), 127.41 (s), 66.14 (s), 63.75 (s), 49.05 (s), 10.61 (s); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₇NO₂ 242.1151 found 242.1182.



1-propanone, 1-(4-methylphenyl)-2-(4-morpholinyl) (5b): yellow solid (219.2 mg, 94%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.98 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.03 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.72 – 3.62 (m, 4H), 2.65 – 2.50 (m, 4H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.27 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.85 (s), 142.86 (s), 132.64 (s), 128.12 (s), 127.91 (s), 66.15 (s), 63.70 (s), 49.13 (s), 20.63 (s),10.92 (s); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₄H₁₉NO₂ 234.1489 found 234.1490.



2-morpholino-1-(4-(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)propan-1-one (5e)^[7]: yellow solid (272.7 mg, 95%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (q, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.71 – 3.61 (m, 4H), 2.64 – 2.52 (m, 4H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₄H₁₆NO₂F₃ 310.1025 found 310.1055.



1-propanone, 2-(4-morpholinyl)-1-(2-thienyl) (5g) [7]: yellow solid (198.1 mg, 88%); ¹H NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.99 (dd, *J* = 3.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, *J* = 5.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (dd, *J* = 4.9, 3.9 Hz, 1H), 3.75 – 3.68 (m, 5H), 2.66 – 2.60 (m, 2H), 2.58 – 2.52 (m, 2H), 1.29 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 192.91 (s), 139.76 (s), 132.93 (s), 132.40 (s), 126.47 (s), 66.65 (s), 65.86 (s), 49.33 (s), 11.10 (s); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + Na]⁺ Calcd for C₁₁H₁₅NO₂S 248.0716 found 248.0767.



2-(benzyl(2,2-dimethoxyethyl)amino)-1-phenylpropan-1-one $(5j)^{[7]}$: pale yellow liquid (86.2 mg, 42%); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.12 – 8.07 (m, 2H), 7.54 – 7.49 (m, 1H), 7.45 – 7.40 (m, 2H), 4.38 (q, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.66 – 2.52 (m, 4H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H); HRMS (TOF) m/z [M + H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₃H₁₉NO 206.1539 found 206.1628.

































LCK-59 LCK-59





LCK-57 LCK-57





12. References

- 1. Wei, W.; Shao, Y.; Hu, H.; Zhang, F.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Y.; Wan, X., *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77** (17), 7157-7165.
- 2. Wang, H.; Guo, L.-N.; Duan, X.-H., Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, **11** (28), 4573-4576.
- 3. Zhang, X.; Wang, L., *Green Chem.*, 2012, **14** (8), 2141-2145.
- 4. Lamani, M.; Prabhu, K. R., Chem-Eur. J., 2012, **18** (46), 14638-14642
- 5. Du, F.-T.; Ji, J.-X., Chem. Sci., 2012, **3** (2), 460-465.
- 6. Mupparapu, N.; Khan, S.; Battula, S.; Kushwaha, M.; Gupta, A. P.; Ahmed, Q. N.; Vishwakarma, R. A., Org. lett., 2014, **16** (4), 1152-1155.
- 7. R. W. Evans, J. R. Zbieg, S. Zhu, W. Li and D. W. MacMillan, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16074-16077.