## **Electronic Supplementary Information**

## Precious-metal free photoelectrochemical water splitting with immobilised molecular Ni and Fe redox catalysts

Timothy E. Rosser<sup>a</sup>, Manuela A. Gross<sup>a</sup>, Yi-Hsuan Lai<sup>a</sup> and Erwin Reisner<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Christian Doppler Laboratory for Sustainable SynGas Chemistry, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Lensfield Road, Cambridge CB1 2EW, U.K.

\*Corresponding author: *reisner@ch.cam.ac.uk* 



**Figure S1.** SEM images of (a) mesoporous  $TiO_2$  and (b)  $WO_3$  nanosheets.



**Figure S2.** UV-vis spectra of (a) an unmodified and (b) a **NiP**-modified mesoTiO<sub>2</sub> electrode at  $E_{appl} = -0.43$  V and -0.03 V vs RHE in an aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (0.1 M) at pH 3.



**Figure S3.** Chronoamperograms of (a)  $TiO_2|NiP$  and (b) ITO|NiP at  $E_{appl} = -0.25$  V vs RHE, using a freshly-prepared electrode for each experiment. Conditions: Aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (0.1 M) at pH 3 with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt mesh counter electrode.



**Figure S4.** (a) UV-vis spectra of an aqueous NaOH solution (0.1 M) obtained after treating **NiP**-modified mesoTiO<sub>2</sub> electrodes before and after CPE for 8 h. Reference spectra of **NiP** (13  $\mu$ M) and Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (13  $\mu$ M) dissolved in NaOH (0.1 M) is shown for comparison. The spectrum of **NiP** is significantly different to that of Ni(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> in NaOH, suggesting that this is not just evidence of unassigned Ni on the electrode surface. (b) ATR-IR spectroscopy of **NiP**-modified mesoTiO<sub>2</sub> electrodes before and after 4 h CPE. Reference spectra of **NiP** and TiO<sub>2</sub> treated with 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> are also shown. CPE conditions:  $E_{app} = -0.25$  V vs RHE, 0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (pH 3), Pt CE, Ag/AgCI RE.



**Figure S5.** CVs of **NiP**-modified (solid traces) and unmodified mesoITO (dashed traces) at  $v = 100 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . Conditions: Aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M) solution at pH 3 and pH 4 with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt mesh counter electrode. Oxidative waves in the CV at pH 3 stem from the re-oxidation of degraded ITO.



**Figure S6.** (a) CV of **NiP**-modified (solid trace) and unmodified (dashed trace) mesoTiO<sub>2</sub> in an aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution at pH 4 ( $\nu$  = 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>). The arrow indicates the initial scan direction. Reductive wave at –0.2 V due to **NiP** reduction (b) Theoretical and measured H<sub>2</sub> after 2 h CPE of **NiP**-modified and unmodified electrodes at  $E_{app} = -0.33$  V vs RHE at pH 2, 3 and 4 (0.1 M Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>).



**Figure S7.** Theoretical (red) and measured (black) amount of H<sub>2</sub> after 4 h CPE with  $TiO_2|NiP$  (solid line) and  $TiO_2$  (dashed line) at  $E_{appl} = -0.25$  V vs RHE under air. Conditions: Aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution (0.1 M) at pH 3 with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt mesh counter electrode.



Figure S8. ESI-MS (positive ion mode) of FeP in MeOH.



**Figure S9.** (a) CV of **FeP** on mesoITO at scan rates from  $v = 10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$  to 200 mV s<sup>-1</sup> alongside the scan-rate-dependence of the peak current Conditions: aqueous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M) solution at pH 3 with a Ag/AgCl reference electrode and a Pt mesh counter electrode.



**Figure S10** (a) UV/vis spectra (reflectance mode) (black line) and incident photon-to-current efficiency (IPCE, blue lines) of WO<sub>3</sub>|**FeP** (solid lines) and WO<sub>3</sub> (dashed lines). Conditions for IPCE: pH 3 Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (0.1 M),  $E_{appl} = 1.0$  V vs RHE, Pt CE, Ag/AgCl RE, monochromatic irradiation (FWHM = 5 nm). (b) UV/vis spectrum of **FeP** in MeOH.



**Figure S11.** Examples of O<sub>2</sub> detection experiments for the  $TiO_2|NiP / WO_3|FeP$  PEC cell with an applied bias ( $U_{appl}$ ) of 1.1 V for 1 h under solar illumination. Vertical lines indicate light on and off.



**Figure S12.** XPS spectrum in the Fe 2p region of WO<sub>3</sub>|**FeP** before (black line) and after (blue line) 1 h PEC electrolysis in combination with  $TiO_2$ |**NiP** at  $U_{appl}$  = 1.1 V under solar illumination.



**Figure S13** XPS spectrum in the (a) P 2p and (b) N 1s regions of  $TiO_2|NiP$  before (black line) and after (blue line) 1 h PEC electrolysis in combination with WO<sub>3</sub>|FeP at  $U_{appl}$  = 1.1 V under solar illumination.

	Before electrolysis		After electrolysis	
	WO <sub>3</sub>   <b>FeP</b>	TiO <sub>2</sub>   <b>NiP</b>	WO <sub>3</sub>   <b>FeP</b>	TiO <sub>2</sub>   <b>NiP</b>
Ni	n/a	1	n/a	1
Fe	1	n/a	0	n/a
Ρ	1	8.10	0	7.96
Ν	3.82	4.09	0	4.58

**Table S1.** Relative elemental abundances of  $WO_3|FeP$  and  $TiO_2|NiP$  before and after photoelectrolysis for 1 h with an applied bias of 1.1 V derived from XPS data.

**Table S2.** XPS peak positions (in eV) of  $WO_3$ |**FeP** and  $TiO_2$ |**NiP** before and after photoelectrolysis for 1 h with an applied bias of 1.1 V.

	Bef	ore electrolysis	After electrolysis	
	WO <sub>3</sub>   <b>FeP</b>	TiO <sub>2</sub>   <b>NiP</b>	WO <sub>3</sub>   <b>FeP</b>	TiO <sub>2</sub>   <b>NiP</b>
Ni	n/a	851.5, 868.9	n/a	851.5,869.1
Fe	706.5, 720	n/a	-	n/a
Р	129	129.4	-	129.0
Ν	396.3	396.4	-	396.2

End of Electronic Supporting Information