Supplementary Information: Relevance of saddle-splay elasticity in complex nematic geometries

Žiga Kos and Miha Ravnik

July 27, 2015

1 Saddle-splay free energy in Q-tensor formulation

The saddle-splay free energy density

$$f_{24} = -K_{24}\nabla \cdot [\mathbf{n}(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{n}], \qquad (A.1)$$

can be rewritten in terms of nematic order parameter tensor Q_{ij} as

$$f_{24}^{\text{ten}} = L_{24} \left(\frac{\partial Q_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}}{\partial x_k} \right)$$
(A.2)

by including additional contributions from biaxiality and inhomogeneous scalar order parameter, where where K_{24} is the director saddle-splay elastic constant, L_{24} is the tensor saddle-splay elastic constant, and **n** is the director.

The relation between Eq. A.1 and Eq. A.2 can be demonstrated by using the expanded form of the scalar order parameter tensor

$$Q_{ij} = \frac{S}{2} \left(3n_i n_j - \delta_{ij} \right) + \frac{P}{2} \left(e_i^{(1)} e_j^{(1)} - e_i^{(2)} e_j^{(2)} \right)$$
(A.3)

in Eq. A.2. Besides the largest eigenvalue of Q_{ij} – scalar order parameter S – and the corresponding eigenvector – director \mathbf{n} – Eq. A.3 also includes the biaxiality parameter P, the secondary director $\mathbf{e}^{(1)}$, and the third axis $\mathbf{e}^{(2)} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{e}^{(1)}$. In our approach, Q-tensor is allowed to be biaxial, however P is typically non-zero only close to defect cores^{1,2}. Expanding Eq. A.2 with full Q-tensor gives many biaxial-dependent terms (see for example³), which from computational perspective are not very simple to implement and actually calculate with good precision. Therefore, to calculate the biaxial contributions to the saddle-splay free energy in the tensorial formulations, we rather construct an *uniaxial* order parameter tensor

$$Q_{ij}^{\text{uni}} = \frac{S}{2} \left(3n_i n_j - \delta_{ij} \right) \tag{A.4}$$

from the scalar order parameter and the director (as obtained from the full Q_{ij}), and calculate the biaxial contribution to Eq. A.2 as

$$f_{24}^{\text{ten}} - f_{24}^{\text{ten, uni}} = L_{24} \left(\frac{\partial Q_{jk}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial Q_{ij}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}}{\partial x_k} \right) - L_{24} \left(\frac{\partial Q_{jk}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial Q_{ij}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_k} \right)$$
(A.5)

Effectively, being able the account for the biaxiality, we explore the individual invariants from equa-

tion A.2 in terms of uniaxial Q-tensor:

$$\frac{\partial Q_{jk}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{9S^2}{4} \frac{\partial (n_j n_k)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial (n_i n_k)}{\partial x_j} \\
+ \frac{3S}{2} \frac{\partial (n_j n_k)}{\partial x_i} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} (3n_i n_k - \delta_{ik}) \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} (3n_j n_k - \delta_{jk}) \frac{3S}{2} \frac{\partial (n_i n_k)}{\partial x_j} \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} (3n_j n_k - \delta_{jk}) (3n_i n_k - \delta_{ik}) \\
= \frac{9S^2}{4} \left\{ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 + [\mathbf{n} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})]^2 - \nabla \cdot [\mathbf{n} \cdot (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})] \right\} \\
+ \frac{1}{4} (\nabla S)^2 + \frac{3}{4} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla S)^2 + 3S (\nabla S) [(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{n}] - \frac{3S}{2} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla S),$$
(A.6)

$$\frac{\partial Q_{ij}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial Q_{ik}^{\text{uni}}}{\partial x_k} = \frac{9S^2}{4} \frac{\partial (n_i n_j)}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial (n_i n_k)}{\partial x_k}
+ \frac{3S}{2} \frac{\partial (n_i n_j)}{\partial x_j} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k} (3n_i n_k - \delta_{ik})
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} (3n_i n_j - \delta_{ij}) \frac{3S}{2} \frac{\partial (n_i n_k)}{\partial x_k}
+ \frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial S}{\partial x_k} (3n_i n_j - \delta_{ij}) (3n_i n_k - \delta_{ik})
= \frac{9S^2}{4} \left\{ (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 + [\mathbf{n} \times (\nabla \times \mathbf{n})]^2
+ \frac{1}{4} (\nabla S)^2 + \frac{3}{4} (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla S)^2 - \frac{3S}{2} (\nabla S) [(\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{n}] + 3S (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) (\mathbf{n} \cdot \nabla S). \end{aligned}$$
(A.8)

Subtracting Eq. A.7 and Eq. A.9 gives the uniaxial form of the tensor-based saddle-splay volume free energy density

$$f_{24}^{\text{ten, uni}} = -L_{24} \frac{9S^2}{4} \nabla \cdot \left[\mathbf{n} (\nabla \cdot \mathbf{n}) + \mathbf{n} \times \nabla \times \mathbf{n} \right]$$
(A.10)

$$-L_{24}\frac{9S}{2}\left(\nabla\cdot\mathbf{n}\right)\left(\nabla S\cdot\mathbf{n}\right)+L_{24}\frac{9S}{2}\left(\nabla S\right)\left[\left(\mathbf{n}\cdot\nabla\right)\mathbf{n}\right].$$
(A.11)

Comparing Eq. A.10 and Eq. A.1 gives the relation $L_{24} = 4K_{24}/9S^2$. For $K_{24} = K_2/2$ and $K_1 = K_3$ -as used in the paper-, L_{24} is exactly equal to L_1 . Eq. A.11 gives the contribution of the inhomogeneous scalar order parameter to f_{24}^{ten} . Note that a similar procedure is commonly applied to resolve the relations between director K_i and tensorial L_i elastic constants⁴.

References

- [1] N. Schopohl and T. Sluckin, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1987, 59, 2582.
- [2] M. Ravnik and S. Žumer, *Liq. Cryst.*, 2009, **36**, 1201.
- [3] A. Poniewierski and T. Sluckin, Molecular Physics, 1985, 55, 1113.
- [4] K. Schiele and S. Trimper, Phys. Stat. Sol., 1983, 118, 267.