Electronic Supplementary Information for

## Metal-Organic Frameworks deposition on dealloyed substrates

Nicolò Campagnol,<sup>a</sup> Ivo Stassen,<sup>b</sup> Koen Binnemans,<sup>c</sup> Dirk E. De Vos,<sup>b</sup> and Jan Fransaer<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Materials Engineering (MTM), KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 44, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium. E-mail: <u>Jan.Fransaer@mtm.kuleuven.be</u>

<sup>b</sup>Centre for Surface Chemistry and Catalysis (COK), KU Leuven, Kasteelpark Arenberg 23, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium.

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200F, B-3001 Leuven, Belgium

## Table of contents:

Figure S1: Phase diagram of the Ag-Cu system (left). SEM image (cross section) of the interphase between dealloyed and non-dealloyed areas in a $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$ alloy sample (3 h, 0.15 V cupric solution) (right). In dark grey the copper- rich phases ( $\alpha$ and $\alpha'$ ) and in white the silver-rich $\beta$ phase. Below, the EDX spectra of a pristine ( $\alpha$ ) and dealloyed
(b) sample (taken from top view SEM pictures)
Figure S2: SEM-FIB images of a dealloyed $Ag_{30}Cu_{70}$ alloy (a) and a dealloyed $Ag_{45}Cu_{55}$ alloy (b) (3 h, 0.15 V cupric
solution)
Figure S3: XRD of samples with different elemental composition ( $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$ , $Ag_{30}Cu_{70}$ , $Ag_{45}Cu_{55}$ ) (a), XRd patterns of an
Ag15Cu85 sample, pristine after cast, dealloyed and deposited with MOF (b).
Figure S4: optical cross-section image of a Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> coating on a copper plates obtained by dip coating (a). Overview of different samples (b)
Figure S5: SEM-FIB image of electrochemically dealloyed Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> dip-coated sample
Figure S6: comparison between dealloyed layers obtained with samples with different grain orientation, dealloyed under the same conditions. (a) optical cross-section of a dealloyed Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> alloy sample with grains parallel to the surface, (b) uniaxial grains, and (c) perpendicularly oriented ones (3 h, 0.25 vs Ag/AgCl corresponding to 0.15V vs conner, in cupric solution)
Figure S7: Detail of the Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> dealloyed sample with crystals oriented parallel to the surface shown in the previous figure
Figure S8: Top view of (a) a dealloyed Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> sample with grains oriented parallel to the surface, (b) a dealloyed dip- coated sample, with vertically oriented grains
Figure S9: optical cross-section images of a Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> chemically dealloyed for 3 h, 24 h, and 72 h5
Figure S10: SEM-FIB images of a 24 h chemically dealloyed Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> sample before (a) and after (b) MOF deposition5
Figure S11: SEM-FIB images of a Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> sample dealloyed and deposited with MOF. The sample was scratched in the centre with a diamond pen
Figure S12: SEM-FIB images of a Ag <sub>15</sub> Cu <sub>85</sub> sample dealloyed and deposited with MOF. The sample was scratched in the centre with a diamond pen (more deeply than the precedent sample)



Figure S1: Phase diagram of the Ag-Cu system (left). SEM image (cross section) of the interphase between dealloyed and non-dealloyed areas in a Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> alloy sample (3 h, 0.15 V cupric solution) (right). In dark grey the copper-rich phases ( $\alpha$  and  $\alpha$ '), and in white the silver-rich  $\beta$  phase. Below, the EDX spectra of a pristine ( $\alpha$ ) and dealloyed (b) sample (taken from top view SEM pictures)



Figure S2: SEM-FIB images of a dealloyed  $Ag_{30}Cu_{70}$  alloy (a) and a dealloyed  $Ag_{45}Cu_{55}$  alloy (b) (3 h, 0.15 V cupric solution).



Figure S3: XRD of samples with different elemental composition ( $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$ ,  $Ag_{30}Cu_{70}$ ,  $Ag_{45}Cu_{55}$ ) (a), XRd patterns of an Ag15Cu85 sample, pristine after cast, dealloyed and deposited with MOF (b).



Figure S4: optical cross-section image of a  $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$  coating on a copper plates obtained by dip coating (a). Overview of different samples (b).



Figure S5: SEM-FIB image of electrochemically dealloyed Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> dip-coated sample.



Figure S6: comparison between dealloyed layers obtained with samples with different grain orientation, dealloyed under the same conditions. (a) optical cross-section of a dealloyed Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> alloy sample with grains parallel to the surface, (b) uniaxial grains, and (c) perpendicularly oriented ones (3 h, 0.25 vs Ag/AgCl corresponding to 0.15V vs copper, in cupric solution).



Figure S7: Detail of the Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> dealloyed sample with crystals oriented parallel to the surface shown in the previous figure.



Figure S8: Top view of (a) a dealloyed Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> sample with grains oriented parallel to the surface, (b) a dealloyed dip-coated sample, with vertically oriented grains.



Figure S9: optical cross-section images of a  $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$  chemically dealloyed for 3 h, 24 h, and 72 h.



Figure S10: SEM-FIB images of a 24 h chemically dealloyed Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> sample before (a) and after (b) MOF deposition.



Figure S11: SEM-FIB images of a  $Ag_{15}Cu_{85}$  sample dealloyed and deposited with MOF. The sample was scratched in the centre with a diamond pen.



Figure S12: SEM-FIB images of a Ag<sub>15</sub>Cu<sub>85</sub> sample dealloyed and deposited with MOF. The sample was scratched in the centre with a diamond pen (more deeply than the precedent sample).