Reduced Graphene Oxide Grafted by Polymer of Polybromopyrroles

to Nanocomposites with Superior Performance for Supercapacitors

Shouzhi Wang, Ligang Gai*, Haihui Jiang, Zhenzhen Guo, Nana Bai, and Jianhua Zhou

Institute of Advanced Energy Materials and Chemistry, School of Chemistry and

Pharmaceutical Engineering, Qilu University of Technology, Jinan 250353, People's

Republic of China

*Corresponding author. E-mail: liganggai@qlu.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information

Table of contents

- S1. Preparation of graphene oxide (GO)
- S2. Synthesis of polybromopyrroles (PBPs)
- S3. SEM images of the electrode film
- S4. Results of Lorentzian fittings of the Raman spectra
- S5. XPS analysis
- S6. SEM images of individual RGO and PPBP
- S7. Scanning probe microscopy images of RGO/PPBP-2
- S8. GC/MS analysis
- S9. BET analysis
- S10. EIS spectrum fitted with Zsimpwin software

S1. Preparation of graphene oxide (GO)

1 g of expanded graphite (10–30 μ m; Nanjing, China) was added into 10 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid (H₂SO₄, 98.3 wt.%), followed by ultrasonic treatment at room temperature for 2 min. Then, 1 g of potassium persulfate (K₂S₂O₈, A. R.) and 1 g of phosphorus pentoxide (P₂O₅, A.R.) were slowly added into the above mixture under constant ultrasonic treatment. The mixture was allowed to stand at room temperature for 12 h after agitation at 80 °C for 6 h.

After that, the mixture was diluted to 500 mL by slowly adding distilled water, followed by filtration to obtain the precipitate. The precipitate was transferred into 50 mL of concentrated H₂SO₄, and dispersed by ultrasonication for 2 min. Then, 6 g of potassium hypermanganate (KMnO₄, A.R.) was added into the mixture. The mixture was vigorously stirred at room temperature for 4 h, followed by addition of 20 mL of distilled water to elevate the mixture temperature to be above 95 °C. After incubation for 15 min, 400 mL of distilled water and 10 mL of hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂, 30 wt.%) were slowly added into the mixture under mild agitation, respectively. The mixture turned out to be saffron yellow after addition of H₂O₂. The saffron-yellow mixture was allowed to stand for 12 h, and then subjected to centrifugal separation several times. The precipitate was thoroughly washed with distilled water until the supernate was neutral. The precipitate was collected by filtration, and finally dried in a freeze-drier to obtain GO.

S2. Synthesis of polybromopyrroles (PBPs)

PBPs were synthesized through electrophilic bromination of pyrrole with bromine

(Br₂) in absolute ethanol, according to previous report.¹ In brief, 60 mL of absolute ethanol and 5 mL of Br₂ were added into a three-neck flask with capacity of 250 mL. The flask was transferred into a low-temperature reaction bath with temperature kept at 0 °C. The flask was bubbled with Ar for 0.5 h, followed by dropwise addition of 30 mL of absolute ethanol containing 1.67 mL of redistilled pyrrole. The reaction was conducted at 0 °C for 4 h with constant agitation under Ar atmosphere to produce PBPs ethanol solution. The components in PBPs ethanol solution were determined to be 2,5-dibromopyrrole (1) (86%), 2,3,5-tribromopyrrole (2) (7%), and 2,3,4,5-tetrabromopyrrole (3) (7%), respectively.¹





Figure S1. SEM of the electrode film: (a) Low magnification; (b) High magnification.

S4. Results of Lorentzian fittings of the Raman spectra

Sample	D_1 (cm ⁻¹)	$D_3(cm^{-1})$	$D_4(cm^{-1})$	$G + D_2(cm^{-1})$	$I_{D1}/I_{(G+D2)}$
RGO/PPBP-1	1347	1484	1250	1585	0.798
RGO/PPBP-2	1344	1473	1243	1584	0.797
RGO/PPBP-3	1345	1493	1219	1587	0.783
RGO	1348	1502	1229	1589	0.866

Table S1. Results of Lorentzian fittings of the Raman spectra.

S5. XPS analysis

Table S2. XPS results of the samples

Sai	mple		C (at.%) N (at.%)			O (at.%)						
RGO/	PPBP-1	84.65				9.06			6.29			
RGO/	PPBP-2			81.61 9.66		8.73						
RGO/	PPBP-3			81.29	11.66			7.04	7.04			
	С				N			0				
	Con	Component (eV)/content (at.%)			С	Component (eV)/content (at.%)			Component (eV)/content (at.%)			
RGO/PPBP-1	284.7/46.6	285.7/42.9	287.0/10.5	_	398.5/25.3	400.3/49.4	401.0/10.3	405.4/15.0	531.4/48.1	533.4/38.7	536.1/13.2	
RGO/PPBP-2	284.5/12.8	285.1/43.3	286.7/32.0	290.9/11.9	398.4/22.4	400.4/59.5	401.9/4.4	403.9/13.7	530.2/30.6	532.3/62.2	536.6/7.2	
RGO/PPBP-3	284.7/42.0	285.8/31.8	286.3/26.2	-	398.5/19.8	400.5/53.4	402.6/11.3	404.0/15.5	531.2/44.9	533.3/44.3	536.0/10.8	
Assignment	C=C	С–С	C-O/C-N	0–C=0	N-6	N-5	N-Q	N-X	C=O	СОН/С-О-С	O_2/H_2O	
refs.		2,	2,3			1	1,2,4			4		

S6. SEM images of individual RGO and PPBP



Figure S2. SEM images of : (a,b) RGO; (c,d) PPBP. a and c are the lowmagnification images; b and d are the high-magnification images corresponding separately to a and c.



S7. Scanning probe microscopy images of RGO/PPBP-2

Figure S3. (a,b) SPM images of different regions for RGO/PPBP-2; (c,d) Height distribution diagrams corresponding to a and b, respectively.

S8. GC/MS analysis

individual NMP.							
Intermediate	t _R	$M_{ m W}$	Structure	Main fragment ions (m/z)			
	(min)						
Pyrrolidone (1)	8.0	85	HN	85, 57/56, 29/28			
1-methyl-1 <i>H</i> -pyrrol-2(5 <i>H</i>)-one (2)	9.7	97		97, 69/68, 40, 29/28			
<i>N</i> -methylsuccinimide (3)	11.2	113	o N N N	113, 85/84, 57/56, 29/28			

Table S3. GC/MS results of the resulting products after microwave irradiation of

S9. BET analysis

Table S ⁴	1. BET	ana	lvsis	results	oft	he	samp	les.
IGNICN		with.	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	reparto	01.		Samp	100.

sample	BET analysis								
	$S_{\rm BET} ({ m m}^2~{ m g}^{-1})$	V_{total} (cm ³	$V_{\rm micro}~({\rm cm^3}$	$V_{\rm meso}~({\rm cm^3}$	$S_{ m micro}$ (m ²	$S_{\rm micro}/S_{\rm BET}$			
		g ⁻¹)	$g^{-1})$	g ⁻¹)	g ⁻¹)				
RGO/PPBP-1	378.4	0.4375	0.0171	0.4204	-	-			
RGO/PPBP-2	390.6	0.3448	0.0114	0.3334	174.1	44.6%			
RGO/PPBP-3	259.6	0.1846	0.0054	0.1792	104.1	40.1%			
RGO	173.1	0.1669	0.0000	0.1669	-	-			

S10. EIS spectrum fitted with Zsimpwin software



Figure S4. Fitted EIS spectrum obtained by Zsimpwin software using the data from the original EIS spectrum of RGO/PPBP-2|RGO/PPBP-2 supercapacitor cell.

index	fixed	symbol	start	end	% error
1	0	R	3.32	3.32	1.47
2	0	С	0.7756	7.756E-5	5.963
3	0	R	3.989	3.989	3.111
4	0	W	0.06378	0.0638	3.674
5	0	С	0.07506	0.07505	3.114

Table S5. Fitting report of Figure S4 based on a R(C(RW))C equivalent circuit.

References

1. Wang, S.; Gai, L.; Zhou, J.; Jiang, H.; Sun, Y.; Zhang, H. Thermal Cyclodebromination of Polybromopyrroles to Polymer with High Performance for Supercapacitor. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2015**, *119*, 3881–3891.

2. Chen, P.; Yang, J. J.; Li, S. S.; Wang, Z.; Xiao, T. Y.; Qian, Y. H.; Yu, S. H.

Hydrothermal Synthesis of Macroscopic Nitrogen-Doped Graphene Hydrogels for Ultrafast Supercapacitor. *Nano Energy* **2013**, *2*, 249–256.

3. Fang, Y.; Luo, B.; Jia, Y.; Li, X.; Wang, B.; Song, Q.; Kang, F.; Zhi, L. Renewing Functionalized Graphene as Electrodes for High Performance Supercapacitors. *Adv. Mater.* **2012**, *24*, 6348–6355.

4. Seredych, M.; Hulicova-Jurcakova, D.; Lu, G. Q.; Bandosz, T. J. Surface Functional Groups of Carbons and the Effects of Their Chemical Character, Density and Accessibility to Ions on Electrochemical Performance. *Carbon* **2008**, *46*, 1475–1488.