Electronic Supplementary Information

MoS₂ nanosheets-coated CoS₂ nanowire arrays on carbon cloth as

three-dimensional electrodes for efficient electrocatalytic hydrogen

evolution

Jilin Huang^a, Dongman Hou^b, Yucheng Zhou^a, Weijia Zhou^{a*}, Guoqiang Li^b, Zhenghua Tang^a, Ligui Li^a, and Shaowei Chen^{a,c*}

^a New Energy Research Institute, School of Environment and Energy, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou Higher Education Mega Center, Guangzhou, Guangdong 510006, China

^b State Key Laboratory of Luminescent Materials and Devices, South China University of Technology, 381 Wushan Road, Guangzhou 510641, China

^c Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, 1156 High Street, Santa Cruz, California 95064, United States

* Address correspondence to eszhouwj@scut.edu.cn (W. J. Z.); shaowei@ucsc.edu (S.W.C.)

HER catalysts	Tafel slope (mV/dec)	Overpotential (mV) at 10 mA/cm ²	Ref.
MoS ₂ /CoS ₂ /CC	73.4	-87	This work
CoS ₂	52	-192	10
Li-doped MoS ₂ /CC	62	-118	33
N-doped carbon-coated cobalt nanorod arrays on a Titanium mesh	78.2	-106	36
metallic CoS ₂ nanostructures	51.6	-148	39
metallic CoS ₂ nanopyramid arrays	70.1	-67	44

Table S1. Comparison of HER catalytic performance of $MoS_2/CoS_2/CC$ and other catalysts on 3D electrodes



Figure S1. SEM images of Co(OH)₂ nanowires on CC.



Figure S2. The amount of theoretically calculated (solid line) and experimentally measured (squares) hydrogen versus time for $MoS_2/CoS_2/CC$, CoS_2/CC and MoS_2/CC electrodes (0.5 cm × 0.5 cm) at the applied potential of -0.15 V (vs RHE). The gas generated from the electrochemical cell for 30 min was collected and quantified by gas chromatographic measurements (GC-2060F, LuNan Analytical Instruments, LTD, China).



Figure S3. SEM images of MoS₂/CoS₂/CC after i-t testing.



Figure S4. (a) Cyclic voltammograms within the range of 0 to +0.1 V where no faradaic reactions occurred. (b) Variation of double-layer charging currents at +0.05 V with potential scan rate.