Supporting Information

Characterization of Activated Cyclic Olefin Copolymer: Influence of the Ethylene/Norbornene Content on the Physiochemical Properties

Colleen E. O'Neil,¹ Scott Taylor,¹ Kumuditha Ratnayake,² Swathi Pullagurla,^{3,6} Varshni Singh² Steven A. Soper^{3,4,5,6}

¹ Department of Chemistry, the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, NC, USA
² Department of Biomedical Engineering UNC Chapel Hill, NC, USA
³ Department of Chemistry, the University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA
⁴ Department of Mechanical Engineering, the University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, USA

⁵ Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan, Republic of Korea

⁶Center for Biomodular Multiscale Systems for Precision Medicine

Power (mJ/s*cm ²)	Exposure Time (s)	Radiance (J/cm ²)		
16.01	300	4.803		
	600	9.606		
	900	14.409		
19.43	300	5.829		
	600	11.658		
	900	17.487		
21.85	300	6.555		
	600	13.11		
	900	19.665		

Table S1. Dose calculations for UV/O₃ treated COC samples.

		Native 1	Polymer	O2 Activated Polymer			
	-	RMS Roughness		RMS Roughness			
	Area Size					ΔRMS	ΔRMS
Polymer	(µm)	Average (nm)	Std. Dev (nm)	Average (nm)	Std. Dev (nm)	Roughness	Roughness Std.
COC 8007	2	2.12	0.19	3.07	0.48	0.94	0.52
COC 6013	2	1.23	0.03	2.03	0.54	0.80	0.54
COC 6017	2	2.45	0.08	3.12	0.30	0.67	0.31

Table S2. Raw AFM RMS roughness data for non-treated (native) and O₂ plasma treated COC.



Figure S1. ATR-FTIR determined percent change in O/C ratio for 0 min to 5 min UV/O₃ (21.85 mW/cm²) COC substrates treated versus the norbornene content. A linear best fit function is shown as the dotted line; $R^2 = 0.9979$.



Figure S3. UV-Vis spectra for native COC 8007, 6013 and 6017.