# **Electronic Supporting Information**

Paper-Based Microfluidics for Experimental Design: Screening Masking Agents for Simultaneous Determination of Mn and Co

Nathan A. Meredith, John Volckens, and Charles S. Henry\*

## **Overview of Included Data**

ImageJ Analysis Procedure

Scheme S1: Image Analysis Flow Diagram

- Table S1: Reagents
- Table S2: Buffer Preparation
- Table S3: Masking Agent Preparation
- Figure S1: Buffer Screening Sample Image
- Figure S2: Masking Agent Screening Sample Images

## Figure S3: Masking Efficiency Schematic and Sample Image

### **Image J Analysis Procedure**

- 1. Devices were scanned as .jpg images using a Xerox Documate 3220 scanner after all solutions were fully dried. Scanner settings were 600 dpi, 50% brightness, and 80% contrast.
- 2. The image files were cropped and opened in ImageJ, and the image was split into the red, green, and blue color channels
  - Image  $\rightarrow$  Color  $\rightarrow$  Split Channels
- 3. Using the green channel only, the image was inverted.
  - Edit  $\rightarrow$  Invert
- 4. A 100 pixel by 100 pixel circle was drawn over the detection zone and the raw integrated density, which is the sum of the pixel intensities, was collected.
  - Analyze  $\rightarrow$  Set Measurements  $\rightarrow$  Integrated Density
- 5. The collected data was opened in Microsoft Excel 2010 for further analysis.
  - The two negative control spots of each device were averaged and used for a background subtraction.
  - The average intensity of the positive control spots, the average intensity of the control arms, and the intensities of the Mn and Co masking arms were plotted in Figure 4 of the main article text.
  - The masking efficiency was calculated as a percent change (Scheme 1 of the main article text) between the masking arms and the control arms as reported in Table 3 of the main article text.

Scheme S1: Image Analysis Flow Diagram



## Table S1: Reagents

Compound	Supplier	Compound	Supplier
Motols	Supplier	Masking Agants	Supplier
Chromium(III) chloride	Sigma	Viasking Agents Sodium fluoride	
hevahydrate	Sigilia	Potassium iodide	Fisher
Potassium dichromate	Fisher	Sodium acetate	Fisher
Manganese chloride	Fisher	Sodium sulfate anhydrous	Fisher
totrohydroto	1,121101	Sodium parculfate	Kodek
Earnous sulfate	Eichen	Sodium persuitate	Kouak
Femio chlorido	r Isilei Mallin alma dt	de de se hydrote	r Ishei
Cabalt ablarida, havabydrata	Aldrich	Detessium evenide	Signa
Ni-lash salfata hasabashata	Aldrich	Potassium cyanide	Sigma
Nickel suitate, nexanydrate	Acros	Potassium thiocyanate	Sigma
Copper nitrate,	Sigma	Sodium dithionate	Pfaltz & Bauer
nemipentahydrate	C.	Potassium tetrathionate	Sigma
Zinc nitrate, hexahydrate	Sigma	Potassium pyrophosphate	Aldrich
Cadmium nitrate, tetrahydrate	Fisher	Sodium thiosulfate	Aldrich
Lead nitrate	Fisher	Ascorbic acid	Sigma
Aluminum sulfate	Sigma	Malonic acid	Acros
Calcium chloride, dihydrate	Fisher	2-Picolinic acid	Fluka
Magnesium chloride	Sigma	Sodium citrate tribasic,	Fisher
Lead nitrate	Fisher	dihydrate	
Aluminum sulfate	Sigma	Sodium bitartrate, monohydrate	Sigma
Calcium chloride, dihydrate	Fisher	Sodium oxalate	Aldrich
Magnesium chloride	Sigma	Urea	Sigma
Sodium chloride	Macron	Thiourea	Acros
Potassium chloride	Fisher	L-Cysteine	Sigma
D 99		Meso-2,3-dimercaptosuccinic	Acros
Buffers		acid	
Sodium tetraborate, decahydrate	Fisher	Acetylacetone	TCI
Sodium carbonate, anhydrous	Sigma	Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid,	Sıgma
Sodium bicarbonate	Fisher	tetrasodium salt hydrate	
Sodium phosphate, dibasic	Sigma	Ethylenediamine	Fisher
Glycine	Fisher	Diethylenetriamine	Sigma
N-cyclohexyl-3-	Sigma	Triethylenetetramine hydrate	Aldrich
aminopropanesulfonic acid		Dimethyleglyoxime	Fluka
		1,10-phenanthroline	Aldrich
Other		Hydroxylamine hydrochloride	Pierce
Hydrochloric acid	EMD		
Sodium hydroxide	Fisher		
4-(2-pyridylazol)resorcinol	Fluka		

# Table S2: Buffer Preparation

Buffer	Component 1	Component 2	Component 3	pН
NaOH/HCl	0.1 M NaOH	0.1 M HCl	-	9.921
0.125 M Borate	10 mL 0.25 M Na <sub>2</sub> B <sub>4</sub> O <sub>7</sub> ·10H <sub>2</sub> O	2.5 M 1 M NaOH	7.5 mL H <sub>2</sub> O	10.057
0.5 M Carbonate	6 mL 0.5 M Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	4 mL 0.5 M NaHCO <sub>3</sub>	-	9.933
0.1 M Phosphate	0.142 g Na <sub>2</sub> HPO <sub>4</sub>	9.98 mL H <sub>2</sub> O	0.02 mL 1 M NaOH	9.964
1 M Glycine	1.5 g glycine	0.4 g NaOH	20 mL H <sub>2</sub> O	9.935
0.25 M CAPS	9.5 mL 0.25 M CAPS	0.5 mL 1 M NaOH	-	9.946

# Table S3: Masking Agent Preparation (10 mL, 0.1 M in pH 10 glycine)

Group	Compound	Mass (g)	Initial pH	Adjusted pH
1	Sodium fluoride	0.0416	9.880	-
	Potassium iodide	0.1662	9.760	-
	Sodium acetate	0.0824	9.881	-
	Sodium sulfate	0.1471	9.905	-
	Sodium persulfate	0.2361	9.932	-
	Sodium phosphate, tribasic	0.3823	10.145	-
	Potassium cyanide	0.0684	9.803	-
	Potassium thiocyanate	0.0965	9.816	-
C	Sodium dithionate	0.2054	9.782	-
2	Potassium tetrathionate	0.3003	9.821	-
	Potassium pyrophosphate	0.3333	10.013	-
	Sodium thiosulfate	0.2478	9.841	-
	Ascorbic acid	0.1735	9.202	9.507
	Malonic acid	0.1041	9.381	9.560
2	Picolinic acid	0.1238	9.664	9735
3	Sodium citrate	0.3082	9.939	-
	Sodium bitartrate	0.1955	9.689	9.760
	Sodium oxalate	0.1338	9.813	-
	Urea	0.6105	9.892	-
	Thiourea	0.0760	9.645	-
4	Cysteine	0.1336	9.292	9.699
	Dimercaptosuccinic acid	0.1827	9.161	9.566
	Acetylacetone	0.1040	9.616	9.763
	Ethylenediaminetetracetic acid,	0.3853	9.938	-
	tetrasodium salt			
5	Ethylenediamine	0.0604	9.978	-
	Diethylenetriamine	0.1187	10.029	-
	Triethylenetetramine	0.1476	10.003	-
	Dimethyleglyoxime	0.1150	N/A – prepared in isopropanol	
	1,10-phenanthroline	0.1812	N/A – prepared in isopropanol	
	Hydroxylamine	0.0691	9.399	-

#### Figure S1: Buffer Screening Sample Image



**Figure S1:** Sample results from one run of the buffer testing experiment with  $H_2O$  in the positive control arm, NaOH/HCl in arm 1, 0.125 M borate buffer in arm 2, 0.5 M carbonate in arm 3, 0.1 M phosphate in arm 4, 1 M glycine in arm 5, and 0.25 M CAPS in arm 6. PAR showed a color change with all of the metals in arm 5 indicated that glycine was the least interfering buffer solution. \*While Co appears to be masked by phosphate (arm 4), a subsequent test showed this was not the case.



### Figure S2: Masking Agent Screening Sample Images

**Figure S2:** Sample results from one run of the masking agent screening experiment with masking agents as follows (numbers denote position on device):

- Group 1: 1-fluoride, 2-iodide, 3-acetate, 4-sulfate, 5-persulfate, 6-phosphate
- Group 2: 1-cyanide, 2-thiocyanate, 3-dithionate, 4-tetrathionate, 5-pyrophosphate, 6-thiosulfate
- Group 3: 1-ascorbic acid, 2-malonic acid, 3-picolinic acid, 4-citrate, 5-bitartrate, 6-oxalate
- Group 4: 1-urea, 2-thiourea, 3-cysteine, 4-dimercaptosuccinic acid, 5-acetylacetone, 6-ethylendiaminetetracetic acid
- Group 5: 1-ethylenediamine, 2-diethylenetriamine, 3-triethylenetetramine, 4-dimethylglyoxime, 5-1,10-phenanthroline, 6-hydroxylamine



**Figure S3:** Sample results from one run of the masking effectiveness study. Top Left (control):  $Z1 - H_2O$ ,  $Z2 - H_2O$ ; Top Right (control):  $Z1 - H_2O$ ,  $Z2 - H_2O$ ; Bottom Left (Mn procedure): Z1 - pH 10 borate buffer, Z2 - trien + DMSA in pH 10 borate buffer; Bottom Right (Co procedure): Z1 - EDTA in pH 10 phosphate buffer, Z2 - trien in pH 10 phosphate buffer.