Supplementary Information

Characterization of a Trinuclear Ruthenium Species in Catalytic Water Oxidation by Ru(bda)(pic)₂ in Neutral Media

Biaobiao Zhang,^a Fei Li,^{*a} Rong Zhang,^a Chengbing Ma,^b Lin Chen,^a and Licheng Sun^{a,c}

a State Key Laboratory of Fine Chemicals, DUT-KTH Joint Education and Research Center on Molecular Devices, Dalian University of Technology (DUT), 116024 Dalian, China

b State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Fuzhou, China

c Department of Chemistry, School of Chemical Science and Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 10044 Stockholm, Sweden

*To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: lifei@dlut.edu.cn

Experimental section

Materials. High purity Milli-Q water was used in all experiments. $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]Cl_2$, $Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6$ was purchased from Aladdin chemical Co. Ldt. $Ru(bda)(pic)_2$ (**1**),¹ $[Ru(bda)(pic)_2]PF_6^{1, 2}$ and $Ru(bpy)_3Cl_2^3$ were prepared according to the known procedures. All other chemicals are commercially available and all solvents are reagent grade.

Physical methods. UV-Vis absorption measurements were carried out on an Agilent 8453 spectrophotometer. The electrospray ionization mass (ESI-MS) and high resolution mass spectra (HR-ESI-MS) were recorded on LTQ-Orbitrap XL (Thermo Fisher Scientific, America) and Z-spray ionization source equipped Q-Tof Micro (Micromass Inc., Manchester, England). Elemental analyses were performed on an Elementar VarioELIII elemental analyzer.

Time-Resolved UV-Vis Spectroscopy under Irradiation. Time-resolved UV-Vis spectra during photochemical oxygen evolution was investigated in a quartz cuvette (path length, 10 mm) filled with 3 mL 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solutions (at different pH values, 0.1 M) containing complex **1** (53 μ M), [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ (13 μ M), [Co(NH₃)₅Cl]²⁺ (1 mM). The reaction solution was irradiated from the top of the quartz cuvette using a 300 W xenon arc lamp equipped with a 400 nm cut-off filter. Due to the low solubility of **1** in water, 1% CH₃CN was added into the reaction solution. To facilitate UV-Vis measurement, [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ and complex **1** were employed in a ratio of 1:4.

Electrochemical Analysis. The electrochemical measurements were recorded on a CHI 660D electrochemical potentiostat. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential

pulse voltammetry (DPV) were obtained in a three-electrode cell under argon. The working electrode was a glassy carbon disk (diameter, 3 mm) successively polished with 3 and 1 μ m diamond pastes and sonicated in ion-free water before use. Potentials versus NHE were calibrated by using [Ru(bpy)₃]Cl₂ as a reference with *E* (Ru^{II/III}) = 1.26 V vs. NHE.

Thin-layer spectroelectrochemical Studies. The thin-layer spectroelectrochemistry of complex **1** was carried out in a Y-shaped quartz cuvette equipped with Pt mesh as working electrode, a Pt wire as counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The quartz cuvette was filled with 2 mL 8×10⁻⁴ M complex **1** in 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M). The path length of the Y-shaped quartz cuvette is 1 mm.

Preparation and Isolation of Complex 2. Complex 1 (40 mg, 0.075 mmol) was dissolved in 6 mL H₂O and CH₃CN mixed solvent (v/v 10:1), to which was slowly added an aqueous solution (0.5 mL) of NaIO₄ (10.7 mg, 0.05 mmol). The color of the reaction solution changed from dark red to green. The solution was then treated with excess NH₄PF₆. The resulted green solid was collected, washed with water and dried in vacuum. Vapor diffusion of diethyl ether into the CH₃CN solution of this precipitate yielded purple crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction (yield 32%, 13.8 mg). ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃CN): δ 1.95 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 6H), 2.17 (s, 12H), 6.5 (d, 4H, J = 10 Hz), 6.73 (d, 8H, J = 5 Hz), 7.70 (m, 9H), 8.00 (m, 2H), 8.11 (m, 6H), 8.33 (m, 2H), 8.48 (d, 4H, J = 10 Hz). HRMS (ESI): m/z = 719.5777 ([M-2PF₆]²⁺, calcd: m/z = 719.5768). Anal. calcd for C₆₂H₅₉F₁₂N₁₁O₁₁P₂Ru₃: C 43.11, H 3.44, N 8.92, found: C 43.06, H 3.51,

N 8.84. UV-Vis (1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer, 0.1 M, pH 7): $\lambda_{max} = 300 \text{ nm} (4.0 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1})$, 445 nm (1.3 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 500 nm (1.1 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹), 690 nm (5 × 10⁴ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

Photocatalytic Water Oxidation. Oxygen evolution monitored by oxygen electrode: In a typical experiment, $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$ (2.9 ×10⁻² M), $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (6.7×10⁻⁵ M), **1** (5×10⁻⁶ M) were dissolved in 2 mL 1 % CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (different pHs, 0.1 M) at room temperature. After degassing, the above solution was irradiated by an Xe lamp (300 W) with a cut-off filter (λ > 400 nm) and the evolved oxygen was recorded by a Clark-type oxygen electrode (Hansatech Instruments DW2/2 unit with an S1 electrode).

Oxygen evolution monitored by GC: In a typical experiment, $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$ (2.9 ×10⁻² M), $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (10⁻⁴ M) and **1** (10⁻⁵ M) in 5 mL 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (different pHs, 0.1 M) were added to a Schlenk bottle with the total volume (including the gas phase and the solution) of 51 mL. The solution was degassed for 20 min prior to irradiation. The photocatalytic water oxidation reaction was started by light irradiation at room temperature using an Xe lamp (300 W) with a cut-off filter (λ > 400 nm). The gas sample was taken by syringe from the headspace of the bottle and analyzed on a Techcomp GC 7890T instrument.

Preparation of $[Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2]PF_6$. $[Ru(bda)(pic)_2]PF_6$ was prepared according to the literature method.^{1, 2} Complex **1** (53 mg, 0.1 mmol) was dissolved in a 3 mL triflic acid aqueous solution (pH = 1.0) containing 0.2 mL acetonitrile. 1 mL aqueous solution of ammonium cerium nitrate (54.8 mg, 0.1 mmol) was gradually added to the above

solution with vigorous stirring. The color of the solution changed to light yellow accompanied by the evolution of oxygen. After adding excess NH₄PF₆ aqueous solution, the resulting mixture was kept stationary to obtain a light yellow precipitate. The precipitate was filtered, washed with diethyl ether and dried in vacuum to give a yellow solid of [Ru(bda)(pic)₂]PF₆. HRMS (ESI): m/z = 530.0540 ([Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]⁺, calcd: m/z = 530.0534). Anal. calcd for C₂₄H₂₀F₆N₄O₄PRu: C 42.74, H 2.99, N 8.31, found: C 42.68, H 2.87, N 8.40.

X-Ray Crystallographic Characterization of Complex 2. The single-crystal X-ray diffraction data were collected with a Bruker Smart Apex II CCD diffractometer with a graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) at 296 K using the ω -2 θ scan mode. The absorption corrections were performed by SADABS program. All structures were solved by direct methods and refined on F^2 against full-matrix least-squares methods by using the SHELXTL-97 program package. Hydrogen atoms were located by geometrical calculation. Details of crystal data, data collections and structure refinements are summarized in Table S1. CCDC-1061590 contains the supplementary crystallographic data of **2** for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Disproportion of [Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]PF₆. The disproportionation of [Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]PF₆ was performed by standing 60 μ M [Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]PF₆ in a quartz cuvette filled with 3 mL 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M). The formation of **2** was in situ monitored by UV-Vis in a quartz cuvette filled with 3 mL 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer

solution (0.1 M). In order to carry out MS spectrometry during disproportionation, a 1 mM phosphate buffer solution was used as solvent to lower the ionization effect of the phosphate and potassium ion.

Detect the formation of 2 from Ru^{III} by HR-ESI-MS.

The self-transformation of $[Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2]^+$ was further described by mass spectrometry (Figure S4). Initially, Ru^{III} as the only species was detected in solution. Subsequently, two new signals at 547.0515 and 569.0161 appeared. They were assigned to $[Ru^{IV}=O + H]^+$ and $[Ru^{II} + K]^+$ resulted from disproportionation. The formation of **2** was then evidenced by two growing signals at 719.0787 and 1584.1170 due to the reaction between $Ru^{II}-OH_2$ with $Ru^{IV}=O$. The former signal corresponds to $[Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2-O-Ru^{IV}(pic)_2(OH_2)(CH_3CN)-O-Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2]^{2+}$ and the latter corresponds to $\{[Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2-O-Ru^{IV}(pic)_2(OH_2)(CH_3CN)-O-Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2] + (PF_6)^{-}\}^+$



Figure S1 HR-ESI-MS spectrum of the green species generated from photochemical reaction.



Figure S2 CV and DPV of complex **1** (1 mM) in 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 7).



Figure S3 UV-Vis spectral changes of 8×10^{-4} M complex **1** in phosphate buffer solution (pH 7, 0.1 M, 1% CH₃CN) at a constantly applied potential of 0.9 V. The spectra before and after electrolysis are shown in black and red, respectively. The inset shows DPV of 8×10^{-4} M complex **1** in the same solution. The path length of the quartz cuvette is 1 mm.



Figure S4 ESI-HRMS spectral changes of [Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]PF₆ in 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (pH 7, 1 mM) during a period of 15 min. Initially, Ru^{III} as the only species was detected in solution. Subsequently, two new signals at 547.0515 and 569.0161 appeared. They were assigned to [Ru^{IV}=O + H]⁺ and [Ru^{II} + K]⁺ resulted from disproportionation. The formation of 2 was then evidenced by two growing signals at 719.0787 and 1584.1170 due to the reaction between Ru^{II} -OH₂ with Ru^{IV} =O. The former signal corresponds to [Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂-O-Ru^{IV}(pic)₂(OH₂)(CH₃CN)-O-Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)₂]²⁺ the and latter { $[Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2-O-Ru^{IV}(pic)_2(OH_2)(CH_3CN)-O-Ru^{III}(bda)(pic)_2]$ corresponds to + $(PF_6)^{-}$



Figure S5 DPVs of complex 1 (1.0 mM, black line) and complex 2 (0.33 mM, green line) in phosphate buffer solution (pH 7, 0.1 M, 1% CH₃CN).



Figure S6 Proposed water oxidation mechanism for complex 1 under neutral conditions.



Figure S7 Photocatalytic oxygen evolution detected by Clark-type electrode in 2 mL 1 % CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (pH 7.0) containing $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$ (29 mM), $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (6.7 × 10⁻⁵ M) and complex **1** (5 × 10⁻⁶ M, black line), complex **1** (3.34 × 10⁻⁶ M, red line) and complex **2** (1.67 × 10⁻⁶ M, blue line).



Figure S8 UV-Vis spectral changes of 8×10^{-4} M complex **1** in 1% CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution (pH 4, 0.1 M) at a constant potential of 1.0 V for 10 min. The inset shows the DPV of complex **1** at pH 4. The path length of the quartz cuvette is 1 mm.



Figure S9 Photocatalytic oxygen evolution in 5 mL 1 % CH₃CN-phosphate buffer solution containing $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl]^{2+}$ (29 mM), $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$, and complex 1 (1×10⁻⁵ M) at different pHs. The data were recorded by GC.



Figure S10 ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 in CD₃CN.

Complex	2
Formula	$[C_{62}H_{59}N_{11}O_{11}Ru_3](PF_6)_2$
Fw	1727.36
Crystal system	triclinic
Space group	P -1
Т	296(2) K
a/Å	15.8008(7)
b/Å	16.2412(11)
c/Å	19.6284(11)
α/deg	107.027(4)
β/deg	102.696(3)
γ/deg	109.127(2)
V/Å ³	4263.5(4)
Ζ	2
$D_{calcd}/Mg m^{-3}$	1.346
Radiation type	Μο Κ _α
absorption coefficient μ	0.642
θ Range/°	2.154/27.663
Refins collected	19085
no. parameters	887
F(000)	1732
GOF on F ²	1.040
R _{int}	0.0521
Final R_1 ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)	0.0706
Final wR_2 ($l > 2\sigma(l)$)	0.2160

Table S1 Crystallographic data of complex 2.

References

- 1. L. Duan, A. Fischer, Y. Xu and L. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, **131**, 10397-10399.
- 2. L. Li, L. Duan, Y. Xu, M. Gorlov, A. Hagfeldt and L. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 7307-7309.
- 3. M. S. Lowry, W. R. Hudson, J. Pascal, R. A. and S. Bernhard, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 14129-14135.