Supporting Information

Anderson-like Alkoxo-polyoxovanadate Clusters Serving as Unprecedented Second Building Units to Construct Metalorganic Polyhedra

Yu-Teng Zhang, Xin-Long Wang,* Shuang-Bao Li, Ya-Ru Gong,

Bai-Qiao Song, Kui-Zhan Shao, and Zhong-Min Su*

Institute of Functional Material Chemistry, Local United Engineering Lab for Power Battery, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, 130024 Jilin, People's Republic of China.

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: zmsu@nenu.edu.cn.

Contents

- 1. Materials and Methods
- 2. Synthesis and Characterization of VMOP-11~15
- 3. Single-crystal X-ray Crystallography

Table S1. Structural comparison of some typical vanadium-based metal-organic

 materials synthesized via different solvent systems.

Table S2–S6. Crystallographic data for VMOP-11~15.

Table S7. BVS results for the vanadium ions in VMOP-11~15.

Figure S1–S2. Packing arrangements of VMOP-11 and VMOP-14.

Figure S3–S7. The experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for **VMOP-11~15**.

Figure S8–S10. XPS spectra of VMOP-11, VMOP-14 and VMOP-15.

Figure S11–S15. TGA curves of VMOP-11~15.

Figure S16–S20. IR spectra of VMOP-11~15.

Figure S21–S22. Temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility χ_M^{-1} for **VMOP-14** and **VMOP-15** between 2 and 300 K.

1. Materials and Methods

All the reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) measurement was recorded ranging from 5 to 40° at room temperature on a Siemens D5005 diffractometer with Cu- $K\alpha$ ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å). The C, H, and N elemental analyses were conducted on a Perkin-Elmer 2400CHN elemental analyzer. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of the samples was performed using a Perkin-Elmer TG-7 analyzer heated from room temperature to 800 °C under nitrogen at the heating rate of 10 °C·min⁻¹. The C, H, and N elemental analyses were conducted on a Perkin-Elmer 2400CHN elemental analyzer. IR spectrum was performed in the range 4000–400 cm⁻¹ using KBr pellets on an Alpha Centaurt FT/IR spectrophotometer. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analyses were performed on a VG ESCALABMKII spectrometer with an Al-K α (1486.6 eV) achromatic X-ray source. The vacuum inside the analysis chamber was maintained at 6.2×10⁻⁶ Pa during the analysis.

2. Synthesis and Characterization

(1) Synthesis of VMOP-11:

VOSO₄·xH₂O (0.08 g) and 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate (0.02 g) in 2 ml DEF (N,N-Diethylformamide) and 1ml CH₃OH (methanol) were placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel heated to 130 °C and held at this temperature for 2 days. After slow cooling to room temperature, yellowish-brown crystals were obtained (washed with DEF) with a yield of 70 % based on H₂BDC. Elemental analysis (%) cacld: C, 30.96; H, 5.16; N, 2.87. Found: C, 30.82; H, 5.35; N, 2.58. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3430 (br), 2931 (w), 2815 (w), 2499 (w), 1564(w), 1589 (vs), 1402 (vs), 1201 (w), 1080 (m), 954 (s), 744 (w), 649 (w), 570 (w).

(2) Synthesis of VMOP-12:

The synthetic procedure is similar to that of **VMOP-11** except that H_2BDC was replaced by 2amino-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate. $VOSO_4$ ·x H_2O (0.08 g) and H_2BDC -N H_2 (0.03 g) in 2 ml DEF and 1ml CH₃OH were placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel heated to 130 °C and held at this temperature for 2 days. After slow cooling to room temperature, yellowish-brown crystals were obtained (washed with DEF) with a yield of 65 % based on H_2BDC -N H_2 . Elemental analysis (%) cacld: C, 30.10; H, 5.12; N, 4.41. Found: C, 29.92; H, 4.85; N, 4.58. IR (KBr, cm-1): 3484 (w), 3372 (w), 2931 (w), 2817 (w), 2497 (w), 1569 (s), 1440 (w), 1388 (w), 1205 (w), 1074 (s), 952 (s), 765 (w), 649 (w), 574 (w).

(3) Synthesis of VMOP-13:

The synthetic procedure is similar to that of **VMOP-11** except that H₂BDC was replaced by 2bromo-1,4-benzenedicarboxylate (H₂BDC-Br). VOSO₄·xH₂O (0.08 g) and H₂BDC-Br (0.035 g) in 2 ml DEF and 1ml CH₃OH were placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel heated to 130 °C and held at this temperature for 2 days. After slow cooling to room temperature, yellowishbrown crystals were obtained (washed with DEF) with a yield of 42 % based on H₂BDC-Br. Elemental analysis (%) cacld: C, 27.63; H, 4.46; N, 2.40. Found: C, 27.86; H, 4.25; N, 2.58. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3444 (br), 2929 (w), 2817 (w), 2499 (w), 1596 (s), 1398 (s), 1203 (w), 1079 (s), 950 (s), 763 (w), 647 (w), 576 (w), 539 (w).

(4) Synthesis of VMOP-14:

The synthetic procedure is similar to that of **VMOP-11** except that H₂BDC was replaced by tricarboxylate 1,3,5-benzentricarboxylate (H₃BTC). VOSO₄·xH₂O (0.08 g) and H₃BTC (0.015 g) in 2 ml DEF and 1ml CH₃OH were placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel heated to 130 °C and held at this temperature for 2 days. After slow cooling to room temperature, green crystals were obtained (washed with DEF) with a yield of 35 % based on H₃BTC. Elemental analysis (%) cacld: C, 27.93; H, 4.87; N, 2.60. Found: C, 27.76; H, 4.75; N, 2.76. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3432 (br), 2931 (w), 2817 (w), 2497 (w), 1619 (s), 1571 (s), 1442 (s), 1384 (s), 1205 (w), 1076 (s), 950 (vs), 723 (s), 649 (w), 578 (w), 539 (w).

(5) Synthesis of VMOP-15:

The synthetic procedure is similar to that of **VMOP-11** except that H₂BDC was replaced by tricarboxylate 1, 3, 5-tris(4-carboxyphenyl)-benzene (H₃BTB). VOSO₄·xH₂O (0.08 g) and H₃BTB (0.03 g) in 2 ml DEF and 1ml CH₃OH were placed in a Parr Teflon-lined stainless steel vessel heated to 130 °C and held at this temperature for 2 days. After slow cooling to room temperature, green crystals were obtained (washed with DEF) with a yield of 28 % based on H₃BTB. Elemental analysis (%) cacld: C, 39.39; H, 5.05; N, 2.38. Found: C, 39.16; H, 4.83; N, 2.47. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3436 (br), 2929 (w), 2817 (w), 2495 (w), 1658 (w), 1591 (s), 1419 (vs), 1201 (w), 1076 (s), 954 (s), 775 (s), 647 (w), 574 (w), 539 (w).

3. Single-crystal X-ray Crystallography

The crystallographic data for VMOP-11~15 are given in Table S2–S6. Intensity data were collected at 293 K on a Bruker APEX-II CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71069$ Å). Absorption corrections were applied using a multi scan technique. The structures were solved by direct methods with SHELXS-2014 and refined by full-matrix least squares techniques by the SHELXL-2014 program. The counter cations or disorder solvents cannot be exactly assigned from the weak reflections. Thus, the *SQUEEZE* program in *PLATON* was used to calculate and estimate the possible numbers of the cations and solvents in the accessible void of this crystal structure. During the refinement, most of the non-H atoms were refined ansiotropically. Additionally, in the final refinement, some restraints were used including DFIX, OMIT, SIMU and DELU. CCDC 1479717–1479721 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper.

 Table S1. Structural comparison of some typical vanadium-based metal-organic materials

 synthesized via different solvent systems.

	Solvent system ^a	Vanadium SBU	Structure	Ref
Vanadium-based metal organic materials synthesized by single solvent				
MIL-68	DMF	mononuclear	three-dimensional MOF	1
MIL-47	H ₂ O	mononuclear	three-dimensional MOF	2
MIL-59~61	H ₂ O	mononuclear	three-dimensional MOF	3-4
COMOC-2	DMF	mononuclear	three-dimensional MOF	5
V-MIL-101	DMF	trinuclear	three-dimensional MOF	6
Vanadium-based metal organic materials synthesized by mixed solvent				
VMOP-11	DEF+CH ₃ OH	$\{V_6S\}$	POV-based tetrahedral cage	This wok
Hyball-3	DMA+H ₂ O	$\{V_4Cl\}$	POV-based octahedral cage	7
Hyball-4	DBF+ H ₂ O	$\{V_5Cl\}$	POV-based octahedral cage	7
Hydoughnut-1	DEF +H ₂ O	$\{V_4Cl\}$	POV-based hydoughnut	8
Hydoughnut-2	DMF+H ₂ O	$\{V_4Cl\}$	POV-based hydoughnut	8
VMOP-1	DMF+CH ₃ CH ₂ OH	$\{V_5Cl\}$	POV-based octahedral cage	9

 $^{a}DMF = N,N-dimethyl formamide; DEF = N,N-diethyl formamide; DMA = N,N-dimethyl acetamide; DBF = N,N-dibutyl formamide.$

Tuble 52. Crystanographic data for virior 11	
Empirical formula	$C_{126}H_{250}N_{10}O_{102}S_4V_{24}$
Formula weight	4888.15
Crystal system	Cubic

 Table S2. Crystallographic data for VMOP-11

Space group	I-43m
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71069 Å
Unit-cell dimensions	a = b = c = 21.927(5) Å
	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90$ °
Volume	10542(4) Å ³
Ζ	2
Density (calculated)	1.540 g/cm ³
Absorption coefficient	1.139 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	5016
Limiting indices	-23<=h<=26, -26<=k<=26, -26<=l<=26
Theta range for data collection	1.31-25.00°
Reflections collected	30838
Independent reflections	1747 [R(int) = 0.0916]
Completeness to theta = 25.00°	100 %
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	1747 / 1 / 98
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.055
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0385, wR2 = 0.0860
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0477, wR2 = 0.0891
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.263 and -0.231 eA ⁻³

Table S3. Crystallographic data for VMOP-12

Empirical formula	$C_{123.5}H_{250.5}N_{15.5}O_{101.5}S_4V_{24}$
Formula weight	4927.68
Crystal system	Cubic
Space group	I-43m
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71069 Å
Unit-cell dimensions	a = b = c = 21.841(5) Å
	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	10419(4) Å ³
Ζ	2
Density (calculated)	1.571 g/cm ³
Absorption coefficient	1.154 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	5056
Limiting indices	-25<=h<=24, -25<=k<=25, -25<=l<=21
Theta range for data collection	1.32-24.99°
Reflections collected	30504
Independent reflections	1725 [R(int) = 0.0565]

Completeness to theta = 24.99°	100 %
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	1725 / 6 / 102
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.079
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0415, $wR2 = 0.1011$
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0480, wR2 = 0.1044
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.323 and -0.203 eA ⁻³

Table S4. Crystallographic data for VMOP-13

Empirical formula	$C_{121}H_{152}Br_6O_{101}S_4V_{24}$
Formula weight	5052.68
Crystal system	Cubic
Space group	I-43m
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71069 Å
Unit-cell dimensions	a = b = c = 22.108(5) Å
	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	10806(4) Å ³
Ζ	2
Density (calculated)	1.553 g/cm ³
Absorption coefficient	2.215 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	5024
Limiting indices	-26<=h<=25, -25<=k<=26, -26<=l<=23
Theta range for data collection	1.30-24.97°
Reflections collected	31352
Independent reflections	1787 [R(int) = 0.1085]
Completeness to theta = 24.97°	100 %
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	1787 / 6 / 113
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.042
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0427, wR2 = 0.0990
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0543, $wR2 = 0.1033$
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.498 and -0.659 eA ⁻³

Table S5. Crystallographic data for VMOP-14

Empirical formula	$C_{106.50}H_{221.5}N_{8.5}O_{100.5}S_4V_{24}$
Formula weight	4580.21
Crystal system	Tetragonal
Space group	I-4
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71069 Å

Unit-cell dimensions	a = b = 21.848(5) Å	
	c =19.081(5) Å	
	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$	
Volume	9108(4) Å ³	
Ζ	2	
Density (calculated)	1.670 g/cm ³	
Absorption coefficient	1.311 mm ⁻¹	
F(000)	4680	
Limiting indices	-24<=h<=25, -25<=k<=24, -18<=l<=22	
Theta range for data collection	1.32-25.00°	
Reflections collected	26422	
Independent reflections	8051 [R(int) = 0.0600]	
Completeness to theta = 24.99°	100 %	
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²	
Data / restraints / parameters	8051 / 10 / 451	
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.921	
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0442, wR2 = 0.0951	
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0668, wR2 = 0.1021	
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.318 and -0.343 eA ⁻³	

Table S6. Crystallographic data for VMOP-15

Empirical formula	Cian cHanne (No cOint SV)
	5504 4C
Formula weight	5594.40
Crystal system	Tetragonal
Space group	I-4
Temperature	293(2) K
Wavelength	0.71069 Å
Unit-cell dimensions	a = b = 28.983(5) Å
	c =25.744(5) Å
	$\alpha = \beta = \gamma = 90^{\circ}$
Volume	21625(7) Å ³
Ζ	2
Density (calculated)	0.859 g/cm ³
Absorption coefficient	0.561 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	5752
Limiting indices	-33<=h<=31, -33<=k<=33, -28<=l<=30
Theta range for data collection	0.99-24.50°
Reflections collected	60011
Independent reflections	17997 [R(int) = 0.0886]
Completeness to theta = 24.99°	100 %
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	17997 / 6 / 607

Goodness-of-fit on F ²	0.714
Final R indices [I > 2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0399, wR2 = 0.0683
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.1056, wR2 = 0.0777
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.135 and -0.174 eA ⁻³

Atom	BVS calc. for V(IV)	BVS calc. for V(V)
BVS results in VMOP-11		
V1	4.23	4.45
BVS results in VMOP-12		
V1	4.24	4.47
BVS results in VMOP-13		
V1	4.19	4.41
BVS results in VMOP-14		
V1	4.18	4.40
V2	4.23	4.45
V3	4.22	4.44
V4	4.21	4.43
V5	4.19	4.41
V6	4.23	4.45
BVS results in VMOP-15		
V1	4.24	4.46
V2	4.08	4.30
V3	4.18	4.40
V4	4.30	4.52
V5	4.13	4.34
V6	4.17	4.38

Table S7. BVS results for the vanadium ions in VMOP-11~15.



Figure S1. Packing arrangements of **VMOP-11** with views in different directions (the unit cell is outlined in black). Color code: V, light blue; S, orange; O, red; C, gray. For clarity, hydrogen atoms of methoxo CH_3O^- ligand are omitted. The vertexes of the green tetrahedra are drawn using the crystallographic positions of the central sulphur atoms of {V₆O₆(OCH₃)₉(μ_6 -SO₄)} clusters.



Figure S2. Packing arrangements of **VMOP-14** with views in different directions (the unit cell is outlined in black). Color code: V, light blue; S, orange; O, red; C, gray. For clarity, hydrogen atoms of methoxo CH_3O^- ligand are omitted. The vertexes of the green tetrahedra are drawn using the crystallographic positions of the central sulphur atoms of {V₆O₆(OCH₃)₉(μ_6 -SO₄)} clusters.



Figure S3. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for VMOP-11.



Figure S4. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for VMOP-12.



Figure S5. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for VMOP-13.



Figure S6. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for VMOP-14.



Figure S7. Experimental and simulated powder X-Ray diffraction patterns for VMOP-15.



Figure S8. The XPS spectrum of VMOP-11 gives one peak at 516.2 eV, which can be ascribed to $V^{4+}2p_{3/2}$.¹⁰



Figure S9. The XPS spectrum of VMOP-14 gives one peak at 516.0 eV, which can be ascribed to $V^{4+}\,2p_{3/2}.^{10}$



Figure S10. The XPS spectrum of VMOP-15 gives one peak at 516.1 eV, which can be ascribed to $V^{4+}2p_{3/2}.^{10}$



Figure S11. TGA curve of VMOP-11.



Figure S12. TGA curve of VMOP-12.



Figure S13. TGA curve of VMOP-13.



Figure S14. TGA curve of VMOP-14.



Figure S15. TGA curve of VMOP-15.



Figure S16. IR spectrum of VMOP-11.



Figure S17. IR spectrum of VMOP-12.



Figure S18. IR spectrum of VMOP-13.



Figure S19. IR spectrum of VMOP-14.



Figure S20. IR spectrum of VMOP-15.



Figure S21. The temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility χ_{M}^{-1} for **VMOP-14** between 2 and 300 K. The solid red line was generated from the best fit by the Curie-Weiss expression in the range of 25–300 K with the Curie constant C = 0.56 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and the Weiss constant $\theta = -37.38$ K.



Figure S22. The temperature dependence of the inverse magnetic susceptibility χ_M^{-1} for **VMOP-15** between 2 and 300 K. The solid red line was generated from the best fit by the Curie-Weiss expression in the range of 35–300 K with the Curie constant C = 0.30 cm³ K mol⁻¹ and the Weiss constant $\theta = -30.97$ K.

References

1 K. Barthelet, J. Marrot, G. Férey and D. Riou, Chem. Commun., 2004, 520-521.

2 K. Barthelet, J. Marrot, D. Riou and G. Férey, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2002, 114, 291-294.

3 K. Barthelet, D. Riou and G. Férey, Chem. Commun., 2002, 1492-1493.

4 K. Barthelet, D. Riou, M. Nogues and G. Férey, Inorg. Chem., 2003, 42, 1739-1743.

5 Y. Liu, S. Couck, M. Vandichel, M. Grzywa, K. Leus, S. Biswas, D. Volkmer, J. Gascon, F. Kapteijn, J. F. M. Denayer, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck and P. Van Der Voort, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 113–120.

6 S. Biswas, S. Couck, M. Grzywa, J. F. M. Denayer, D. Volkmer and P. Van Der Voort, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, 2481–2486.

7 Z. J. Zhang, L. Wojtas and M. J. Zaworotko, Chem. Sci., 2014, 5, 927-931.

8 Z. X. Zhang, W. Y. Gao, L. Wojtas, Z. J. Zhang and M. J. Zaworotko, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 9223–9226.

9 Y. T. Zhang, X. L. Wang, E. L. Zhou, X. S. Wu, B. Q. Song, K. Z. Shao and Z. M. Su, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 3698–3701.

10 (a) K. Leus, S. Couck, M. Vandichel, G. Vanhaelewyn, Y. Y. Liu, G. B. Marin, I. Van Driessche, D. Depla, M. Waroquier, V. Van Speybroeck, J. F. M. Denayerb and P. Van Der Voort, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2012, 14, 15562–15570; (b) J. Q. Shen, Y. Zhang, Z. M. Zhang, Y. G. Li, Y. Q. Gao and E. B. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, 50, 6017–6019.