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General remarks. All chemicals and solvents were commercial products and were used without further purification. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz ) and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $(100 \mathrm{MHz})$ spectra were acquired on Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometers in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ or DMSO- $d_{6}$ solutions at room temperature with trimethylsilyl (TMS) as an internal standard and the multiplicity is defined by s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), or m (multiplet). Mass spectra were acquired with the Surveyor MSQ Plus (Supporting Information) (Waltham, MA, USA) instrument. The synthetic trifluoroacetic acid salt forms of peptides $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-42}$ was obtained from Osaka Peptide Institute, Inc. (Osaka, Japan) and aggregated for in vitro studies using the previously reported procedures. ${ }^{1}$ All spectroscopic measurements were conducted using a spectrofluorophotometer (RF-5301PC, Shimadzu, Japan) and a UV spectrophotometer (UV-2450, Shimadzu, Japan). Fluorescent microscope observation was performed on the Axio Observer Z1 inverted fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Germany) that was equipped with DAPI, AF488, and AF546 filter sets. In the measurement of the brain uptake of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, HPLC analysis was performed on an Agilent 1260 Infinity Quaternary LC (Agilent Technologies, the USA) system using a Cosmosil packed column ( 5 C18-AR-II, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a binary gradient elution system, while the mobile phases A and B were separately water and acetonitrile at a flow rate of 1.0 $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Fluorine-18 was kindly provided by Xuanwu Hospital, Capital Medical University. HPLC purification and analysis of radiolabeled ligands were performed on a Shimadzu SCL-20 AVP equipped with a Bioscan Flow Count $3200 \mathrm{NaI} / \mathrm{PMT} \gamma$-radiation scintillation detector and a SPD-20A UV detector. A Venusil MP C18 reverse phase column (Bonna-Agela Technologies, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}$, $10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) was used for separations and purity determinations with a binary gradient system (acetonitrile and water) at a flow rate of $4.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$. HPLC analysis of metabolic samples was conducted on a Cosmosil packed column ( 5 C18-AR-II, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) with a binary gradient system (acetonitrile and water) at a flow rate of $1.0 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$.

Human brain sections were obtained from the Chinese Brain Bank Center. Normal ICR mice (5 weeks, male) that were used for BBB penetration test and nude mice (BALB/c nude, 6 weeks, female) that were used for in vivo NIR imaging were purchased from the Vital River Laboratory Animal Technology Co. Ltd., Beijing, China. Tg mice (C57BL6, APPswe/PSEN1) and WT mice (C57BL6) that were used for in vitro histology, in vivo NIR imaging, and ex vivo fluorescent staining were purchased from the Institute of Laboratory Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences.

All experiments requiring the use of mice were approved by the animal care committee of Beijing Normal University.

## Chemistry.

Compounds 1a-c were prepared by our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{2}$
2-((6-Formylnaphthalen-2-yl)(methyl)amino)ethyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (2a) To the solution of 6-((2-hydroxyethyl)(methyl)amino)-2-naphthaldehyde ( $422.4 \mathrm{mg}, 1.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added 4-toluene sulfonyl chloride ( $\mathrm{TsCl}, 1.5 \mathrm{~g}, 7.7 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and triethylamine $(1.5 \mathrm{~mL}, 10.8 \mathrm{mmol})$. The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h at $40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After the organic phase was evaporated, the residue was purified by column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (4:1, v:v) to give a yellow solid ( $561.1 \mathrm{mg}, 79.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $8.15(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.84(\mathrm{dd}, J=8.6,1.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $4.26(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.29(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 191.91,148.63,145.12,138.59,134.69,132.63,131.15,131.05,129.88,127.88,127.08$, 125.56, 123.78, 115.94, 106.03, 66.92, 51.30, 39.45, 21.65.
(E)-2-(methyl(6-(3-oxoprop-1-en-1-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)amino)ethyl 4-methylbenzenesulfonate (2b) The same procedures as described above to prepare compound 2a was followed to get compound $\mathbf{2 b}$ as a yellow solid ( $105.0 \mathrm{mg}, 59.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.70 (d, $J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.66 (d, $J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.60 (s, 2H), 7.58 (d, $J=$ $16.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8$, $7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.27(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{t}, J=5.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.05(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 193.88,153.66,147.78,145.06,136.69,132.72,130.91,130.26,129.87$, $128.10,127.90$, 127.19, 126.90, 126.31, 124.42, 115.98, 106.19, 67.00, 51.36, 39.39, 21.66.

## 2-(Methyl(6-((1E,3E)-5-oxopenta-1,3-dien-1-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)amino)ethyl

4-methylbenzenesulfonate (2c) The same procedure as described above to prepare compound 2a was followed to get compound $\mathbf{2 c}$ as a yellow solid ( $45.7 \mathrm{mg}, 63.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ $9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 7.32 (dd, $J=15.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.16$ (d, $J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.10(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.06-7.00(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.24(\mathrm{t}$, $J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.02(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}\left(100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta:$
193.71, 152.91, 147.25, 145.04, 143.39, 135.84, 132.75, 130.66, 129.87, 129.82, 129.75, 129.05, $127.90,127.05,126.61,124.65,124.17,115.95,106.34,67.09,51.40,39.36,21.65$.

6-((2-Fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)-2-naphthaldehyde (3a) To the solution of compound 2a (256.6 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.67 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 mL ) was added tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF, 1 M in THF, $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 2.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and then the oily liquid was given after the solvent was removed in vacuum. The oily liquid was washed with water ( 30 mL ) and extracted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(3 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL})$. In the following, the organic phase was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Solvent was removed in vacuum and the residue was purified by flashing column chromatography (petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4: 1, \mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v}$ ) to give compound 3a as a yellowish solid ( $86.1 \mathrm{mg}, 55.6 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 10.02(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 8.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=1.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.1,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.3$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.18(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 191.91,149.43,138.76,134.76,131.09,131.04,127.04,125.48,123.76$, $116.19,105.87,81.86\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=169.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.66\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 39.33$.
(E)-3-(6-((2-fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)naphthalen-2-yl)acrylaldehyde (3b) The same procedure as described above to prepare compound $\mathbf{3 a}$ was followed, and a yellow solid compound $\mathbf{3 b}$ ( 99.7 mg , $37.9 \%$ ) was obtained by flashing column chromatography using $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ ethyl acetate $=40: 1 .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.77(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J$ $=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.61(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.19(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.02(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.8,7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.81(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.7,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.18 ( $\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 193.87,153.76,148.57,136.84,130.98,130.29$, $127.99,127.16,126.81,126.27,124.42,116.27,106.11,81.90\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=171.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.76\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=\right.$ $21.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 39.33$.
(2E,4E)-5-(6-((2-fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)naphthalen-2-yl)penta-2,4-dienal (3c) The same procedure as described above to prepare compound 3a was followed, and compound $\mathbf{3 c}$ was obtained by flashing column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate $=4: 1(\mathrm{v}: \mathrm{v})$ as an orange solid ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 65.0 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 9.62(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.75 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), 7.73 (d, $J=11.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.64(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.31(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,10.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.17 (d, $J=9.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.08-7.04(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.27(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1$, $8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.1,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.5,5.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.16(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR
(100.6 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 193.70,152.94,148.03,143.47,135.98,130.61,129.86,129.65,129.12$, $127.03,126.57,124.55,124.16,116.26,106.29,81.95\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=170.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 52.85\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=20.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 39.34.

Fluorinated DANIR (FDANIR) probes $\mathbf{4 a}, \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$. Compounds 4a-c were synthesized by following our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{2}$

2-((6-((2-Fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)naphthalen-2-yl)methylene)malononitrile (4a) A red solid ( $33.6 \mathrm{mg}, 54.7 \%$ ) was obtained. Mp 138.7-139.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 8.09(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, 7.97 (dd, $J=8.8,1.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (dd, $J=9.2,2.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.69(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.0,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.84(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.8$, $5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.21(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 159.27,150.16,138.39,135.08,131.51$, $127.27,125.35,125.28,124.94,116.44,115.05,113.91,105.63,81.64\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=170.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.44$, $52.47\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 39.27. MS: m/z calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$280.1, found 280.0.
(E)-2-(3-(6-((2-fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)naphthalen-2-yl)allylidene)malononitrile (4b) A dark red solid ( $57.4 \mathrm{mg}, 62.7 \%$ ) was obtained. Mp 174.1-175.4 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta 7.85$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.76(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.66(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, $J=15.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.70(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.1,5.0$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}$ ), $3.82(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.8,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $100.6 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ) $\delta: 160.23$, $156.92,151.28,148.87,137.23,132.19,130.59,127.91,127.34,127.06,126.16,124.38,121.72$, $120.32,116.24,114.26,112.39,106.15,81.69\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=169.7 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 79.86,52.65\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=20.9 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$, 39.33. HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{FN}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+} 306.1401$, found 306.1404.

2-((2E,4E)-5-(6-((2-fluoroethyl)(methyl)amino)naphthalen-2-yl)penta-2,4-dien-1-ylidene)malon onitrile (4c) A black solid ( 25.9 mg , $65.1 \%$ ) was obtained. Mp 214.1-214.9 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.74(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.72(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.63(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.56(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $7.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=11.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=15.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.14-7.08(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=15.1,10.8 \mathrm{~Hz}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 6.93(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 6.84-6.75(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.68(\mathrm{dt}, J=47.1,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.80(\mathrm{dt}, J=24.6,5.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, 3.17 (s, 3H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 100.6 MHz, DMSO- $d_{6}$ ) $\delta: 161.94,153.28,148.78$, 146.12, 136.37, 130.33, $130.22,129.79,127.22,126.41,126.21,125.54,124.59,116.86,115.27,113.37,106.00,82.61(\mathrm{~d}$, $\left.{ }^{1} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=166.1 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 77.91,52.19\left(\mathrm{~d},{ }^{2} J_{\mathrm{CF}}=20.0 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 39.16 . \mathrm{HRMS}: \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for $\left[\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{3}+\mathrm{H}\right]^{+}$ 332.1558 , found 332.1554 .


Scheme S1. Reagents and conditions: (a) 4-methylbenzenesulfonic anhydride, pyridine, $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{RT}$, 3 d .

DANIR $\mathbf{8 b}$ and $8 \mathbf{c}$ were prepared by our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{2}$

## ( E)-2-((6-(4,4-dicyanobuta-1,3-dien-1-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)(methyl)amino)ethyl

4-methylbenzenesulfonate ( $\mathbf{5 b}$ ) DANIR $\mathbf{8 b}(10.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.033 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in 2 mL pyridine, and the solution was cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Then 4-methylbenzenesulfonic anhydride ( $35.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.11$ mmol ) was added immediately, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 d at room temperature After solvents were removed under vacuum, the residue was purified by column chromatography using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate ( $2: 1, \mathrm{v}$ :v) to give a dark red solid ( $14.3 \mathrm{mg}, 94.7 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.68(\mathrm{~d}, J=9.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.62-7.57(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{H}), 7.37$ (d, $J=15.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.30-7.23(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.01(\mathrm{dd}, J=9.0,2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$, 1H), $6.74(\mathrm{~d}, J=2.2 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.79(\mathrm{t}, J=5.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.06(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 2.32(\mathrm{~s}$, 3H).

## 2-((6-((1E,3E)-6,6-dicyanohexa-1,3,5-trien-1-yl)naphthalen-2-yl)(methyl)amino)ethyl

4-methylbenzenesulfonate ( $\mathbf{5 c}$ ) The same procedure as described above to prepare compound $\mathbf{5 b}$ was followed, and compound $\mathbf{5 c}$ was obtained as a black solid ( $22.0 \mathrm{mg}, 45.5 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 7.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.67(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.68-7.64(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.59-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.50(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $12.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.17$ (d, $J=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.18-7.11$ (m, 2H), 7.06-6.85 (m, 2H), 6.81 (dd, $J=12.9,12.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 4.25 (s, 2H), 3.77 (s, 2H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H).

Spectroscopic Measurements. Fluorescence quantum yields were measured using a calibrated integrating sphere with a Quantaurus-QY C11347 equipment (HAMAMATSU, Japan). The increase in fluorescence intensity when FDANIR 4a-c were activated by $\mathrm{A} \beta_{1-42}$ aggregates was measured by following our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{3}$

Excitation

## (2)











Figure S1. The excitation (left panel) and emission (right panel) spectra of the FDANIRs 4a-c in different solvents: dichloromethane, THF, methanol, DMSO, and phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, $10 \%$ ethanol). The emission wavelengths of the solutions were measured at a concentration of 10 $\mu \mathrm{M}$.


Figure S2. The excitation (top row) and emission (bottom row) spectra of the FDANIRs 4a-c (50 $\mathrm{nM})$ upon interaction with $\mathrm{A} \beta 1-42$ aggregates $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$ or BSA $(10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})$. The spectra of the FDANIRs solutions in PBS ( 50 nM ) and PBS alone were also measured under the same conditions.

Table S1. Spectroscopic properties of the FDANIR probes.

| Probe | Fluorescence spectrum |  |  |  | UV-vis spectrum |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Solvent | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\mathrm{ex}} \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\mathrm{em}} \\ & \mathrm{~nm} \end{aligned}$ | Stokes shift nm | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\mathrm{abs}^{\mathrm{a}}} \\ \mathrm{~nm} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \varepsilon^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \mathrm{~L} \mathrm{~mol} \\ \\ \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} \end{gathered}$ |
| 4a | DCM | 470 | 549 | 79 | 462 | 23273 |
|  | THF | 470 | 551 | 81 |  |  |
|  | MeOH | 471 | 597 | 126 |  |  |
|  | DMSO | 484 | 605 | 121 |  |  |
|  | PBS | 488 | 607 | 119 |  |  |
|  | with $\mathrm{A} \beta$ | 476 | 550 | 74 |  |  |
| 4b | DCM | 511 | 631 | 120 | 486 | 34540 |
|  | THF | 494 | 633 | 139 |  |  |
|  | MeOH | 507 | 664 | 157 |  |  |
|  | DMSO | 552 | 688 | 136 |  |  |
|  | PBS | 512 | 668 | 156 |  |  |
|  | with $\mathrm{A} \beta$ | 537 | 610 | 73 |  |  |
| 4c | DCM | 562 | 699 | 137 | 506 | 40760 |
|  | THF | 560 | 693 | 133 |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{MeOH}$ |  | 752 | $186$ |  |  |
|  | DMSO | 580 | 783 | $203$ |  |  |
|  | PBS | 589 | 771 | 182 |  |  |
|  | with $\mathrm{A} \beta$ | 589 | 666 | 77 |  |  |

[^0]In Vitro Fluorescent Staining. Paraffin-embedded $8 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ brain sections from Tg mice (C57BL6, APPswe/PSEN1, 11 months old, male) and an AD patient (male, 91 years old, M91) were used for the neuropathological staining. The staining was conducted by following the previously reported procedures. ${ }^{3}$


Figure S3. Histological fluorescent staining on brain sections of Tg mouse (a-l, C57BL6, APPswe/PSEN1, 11 months old, male) and an AD patient (m-o, male, 91 years old) with 4a-c. Images of a (yellow), b (red), and c (purple) were stained with $\mathbf{4 a - c}$, respectively. The adjacent brain sections were stained with DANIR 3b (j-l, green). Images of d-i were enlarged partial views of homologous parts of the sections. Images of $m$ (yellow), $n$ (red), and o (purple) were stained with 4a-c, respectively. AF488 (4a), AF546 (4b, 4c and DANIR 3b) filter sets were used. Magnification, $5 \times$.

In Vitro Saturation and Inhibition Binding Studies. In inhibition binding assays, $\left[{ }^{125} \mathrm{I}\right]$ IMPY was used as competing radioligand. The saturation and inhibition binding studies of FDANIR 4a-c were performed by following the previously reported procedures. ${ }^{3}$

In competition binding assays, 4a-c showed high affinity with the values of $593.4 \pm 25.0,101.3 \pm$ 13.2, and $11.4 \pm 3.9 \mathrm{nM}$, respectively. Furthermore, the three probes inhibited the binding of [ ${ }^{125}$ I]IMPY, the competing radioligand, in a dose-dependent manner, where the probes also showed higher affinities with the extension of the $\pi$-conjugated system (Fig. S4).


Figure S4. Plots of saturation (a-c) and inhibition (d) binding assays of FDANIR 4a-c.

## Radiolabeling.



Scheme S2. Reagents and conditions: (a) Kryptofix 222, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3},{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}^{-}$, DMSO, $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 8 \mathrm{~min}$; (b) malononitrile, $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$, ethanol, RT, 5 min ; (c) Kryptofix $222, \mathrm{~K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3},{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}^{-}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 5 \mathrm{~min}$. As shown in scheme S 2 , we firstly used $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ as tosylate precursors, and tried a one-step nucleophilic substitution reaction with ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}^{-}$to prepare the desired ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-labeled ligands $\left(\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}\right.$ and $\left.\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}\right)$. Unfortunately, no final ${ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}$-labeled products were obtained, which may be caused by the instability of $\mathbf{5 b}$ and $\mathbf{5 c}$ under $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a base-catalyzed condition. As a result, a two-step strategy has to be applied and tosylate aldehydes $\mathbf{2 b}$ and $\mathbf{2 c}$ were used as precursors.
$\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right]$ Fluoride on the QMA cartridge was eluted into a 10 mL reaction vial with 1 mL of Kryptofix ${ }_{222} / \mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ solution ( 13 mg of Kryptofix 222 and 1.1 mg of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, 4 / 1$, $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ). The solvent was removed, and then the residue was dried azeotropically with anhydrous acetonitrile $(1 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3)$ under a stream of nitrogen at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The vessel was allowed to cool to room temperature, and then a solution of tosylate precursor $\mathbf{2 b}$ or $\mathbf{2 c}(2.4-3.0 \mathrm{mg})$ in anhydrous DMSO ( 1 mL ) was added. The reaction mixture was heated to $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and kept for 8 min . After that, 10 mL of water was added, and the reaction mixture was passed through a preconditioned Sep-Pak Plus-C18 cartridge (Bonna-Agela Technologies). The cartridge was washed with 10 mL of water, and the labeled compound ( $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{3 b}$ or $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{3 c}$ ) was eluted to a reaction vial with ethanol $(0.6 \mathrm{~mL} \times 2)$, and the average radiochemical yields for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{3 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{3 c}$ were $16 \%$ and $27 \%$ (decay corrected), respectively. Then malononitrile ( 0.4 mmol ) was dissolved in the reaction mixture, and saturated solution of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in methanol ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added as catalyst. The reaction was kept at room temperature for 5 min , and after that, acidized with $\mathrm{HCl}(1 \mathrm{M}, 100 \mu \mathrm{~L})$. Finally, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ were obtained by purifying with HPLC using a Venusil MP C18 reverse phase column (Bonna-Agela Technologies, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The detailed information of radiolabeling and separation was summarized in Table S2.

Table S2. Detailed information of radiolabeling and separation.

| Probe | Mobile phase <br> $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | Flow rate <br> $\mathrm{mL} / \mathrm{min}$ | Retention time <br> min | UV detector <br> nm | Total synthesis time <br> min |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ | $65 / 35$ | 4 | 24.9 | 500 | 87 |
| $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$ | $65 / 35$ | 4 | 37.6 | 510 | 108 |



Figure S5. HPLC profiles of co-injection. HPLC conditions: Venusil MP C18 column (Bonna-Agela Technologies, $5 \mu \mathrm{~m}, 10 \times 250 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=70 \% / 30 \%, 4 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{UV}, 500$ and 510 nm for $4 b$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, respectively.

Biological Evaluation. Partition coefficient determination, in vitro autoradiography and in vivo biodistribution of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$ were all conducted according to previously reported methods. ${ }^{4}$ In addition, in vivo metabolism in mice were carried out. Normal ICR mice ( $n=2-3$ ) were i.v. injected with $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ or $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}(250-500 \mu \mathrm{Ci}, 300 \mu \mathrm{~L})$, and then sacrificed at 2,10 , and 30 min post-injection. Organ samples (brain, plasma and liver) and excreta (urine and feces) were collected, and the extracts were analyzed by following previously reported procedures, ${ }^{5}$ which provided the percentage of the radioactive parent tracers and metabolites to total radioactivity (no decay corrected). Furthermore, the BBB penetration test of cold compounds $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$ was conducted by following our previously established procedures. ${ }^{6}$

In Vitro Autoradiography. To characterize the specificity and high affinities of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ to $\mathrm{A} \beta$ plaques, in vitro autoradiography was performed on brain sections from Tg mice (C57BL6, APPswe/PSEN1, 11 months old, male), two AD patients (female, 64 years old, F64; female, 71 years old, F71) and a cerebral amyloid angiopathy (CAA) patient (female, 68 years old, F68). As shown in Figure $\mathrm{S} 6, \mathrm{~A} \beta$ plaques densely distribute in brain sections both from Tg mice (cortical, cerebellar and hippocampal regions) and AD/CAA patients (cortical regions), and were precisely detected by both $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$. The results were confirmed by the fluorescent staining patterns with DANIR 3b on the same section. Furthermore, the fluorescent observation revealed that the $\mathrm{A} \beta$ plaques of the three AD/CAA patients existed in three different forms, including dense-core plaques (F64), diffuse plaques (F71) and the $\mathrm{A} \beta$ deposits within the blood vessel walls that responsible for CAA (F68). In contrast, the brain sections from WT mouse and healthy human displayed no significant accumulation of radioactivity (Figure S7). Therefore, in vitro autoradiography demonstrated that $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ have great abilities to bind with $\mathrm{A} \beta$ plaques in different forms, which proved their feasible and practical application in detecting $\mathrm{A} \beta$ plaques.


Figure S6. In vitro autoradiography of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ (top row) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ (bottom row) on brain sections from Tg mice and $\mathrm{AD} / \mathrm{CAA}$ patients. The presentation and distribution of plaques on the same sections were confirmed by fluorescent staining using DANIR 3b (green images of the right panels).


Figure S7. In vitro autoradiography of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ (top row) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ (bottom row) on brain sections of WT mice (left panel) and health control (HC) human (male, 84 years old, right panel). The results was confirmed by staining the same sections with DANIR 3b.


Figure S8. HPLC profiles of brain extraction at different time points after i.v. injection of $\mathbf{4 b}$ (a) and $4 c$ (c). (b) and (d) are enlarged partial views of the peaks. HPLC conditions: Cosmosil packed column (5C18-AR-II, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); for $\mathbf{4 b}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=60 \% / 40 \%, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}$, UV, 500 nm ; for 4c: $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=70 \% / 30 \%, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{UV}, 510 \mathrm{~nm}$.

Table S3. Brain uptakes of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathrm{~b},\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathrm{c}, 4 \mathrm{~b}$ and 4 c at different time points

| probe | brain uptake/\% ID/g |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 min | 10 min | 30 min | 60 min | ${ }^{2}$ brain $10 \mathrm{~min} / \mathrm{brain} 60 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| $\mathbf{4 b}$ | $10.97 \pm 1.09$ | $6.16 \pm 0.30$ | $2.63 \pm 0.19$ | $0.71 \pm 0.09$ | 15.5 |
| 4c | $6.88 \pm 0.47$ | $5.08 \pm 0.74$ | $2.11 \pm 0.37$ | $1.03 \pm 0.07$ | 6.7 |

## In Vivo Biodistribution.

Table S4. Biodistribution of radioactivity after i.v. injection of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4}$ c. ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| organ | uptake/\% ID/g |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2 min | 10 min | 30 min | 60 min |
|  | $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}(\log D=2.82 \pm 0.57)$ |  |  |  |
| blood | $4.83 \pm 0.28$ | $4.95 \pm 0.23$ | $5.53 \pm 0.30$ | $6.22 \pm 0.032$ |
| brain | $\mathbf{5 . 0 4} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 . 2 9} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2 1} \pm \mathbf{0 . 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 5} \pm \mathbf{0 . 2 4}$ |
| heart | $10.52 \pm 1.15$ | $5.57 \pm 0.30$ | $4.82 \pm 0.21$ | $5.24 \pm 0.41$ |
| liver | $27.09 \pm 2.48$ | $16.39 \pm 0.89$ | $9.13 \pm 0.45$ | $7.82 \pm 0.90$ |
| spleen | $10.25 \pm 2.79$ | $5.71 \pm 0.23$ | $4.33 \pm 0.35$ | $4.23 \pm 0.47$ |
| lung | $9.45 \pm 1.27$ | $6.16 \pm 0.32$ | $5.25 \pm 0.20$ | $5.16 \pm 0.094$ |
| kidney | $14.45 \pm 0.68$ | $7.82 \pm 0.35$ | $5.34 \pm 0.39$ | $4.71 \pm 0.42$ |
| pancreas | $8.73 \pm 2.40$ | $5.27 \pm 0.38$ | $3.58 \pm 0.33$ | $3.31 \pm 0.46$ |
| muscle | $4.47 \pm 0.46$ | $4.38 \pm 0.45$ | $3.86 \pm 0.31$ | $3.92 \pm 0.54$ |
| bone | $2.90 \pm 0.43$ | $3.58 \pm 0.34$ | $4.68 \pm 0.50$ | $9.70 \pm 0.95$ |
| stomach ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.18 \pm 0.20$ | $1.41 \pm 0.26$ | $1.51 \pm 0.21$ | $1.59 \pm 0.31$ |
| small intestine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $5.53 \pm 0.77$ | $9.38 \pm 1.05$ | $12.70 \pm 0.94$ | $18.04 \pm 2.41$ |
| $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathbf{c}(\log D=3.41 \pm 0.047)$ |  |  |  |  |
| blood | $4.01 \pm 0.17$ | $3.01 \pm 0.20$ | $3.58 \pm 0.17$ | $3.69 \pm 0.25$ |
| brain | $\mathbf{3 . 0 0} \pm \mathbf{0 . 1 2}$ | $4.26 \pm 0.60$ | $\mathbf{3 . 8 3} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 1} \pm \mathbf{0 . 3 4}$ |
| heart | $13.65 \pm 0.90$ | $4.91 \pm 0.32$ | $4.08 \pm 0.34$ | $3.96 \pm 0.90$ |
| liver | $36.84 \pm 3.86$ | $17.51 \pm 1.66$ | $9.46 \pm 0.57$ | $6.68 \pm 0.60$ |
| spleen | $10.78 \pm 1.44$ | $6.02 \pm 1.02$ | $3.80 \pm 0.24$ | $3.13 \pm 0.30$ |
| lung | $10.10 \pm 1.01$ | $4.94 \pm 0.62$ | $3.99 \pm 0.33$ | $3.86 \pm 0.36$ |
| kidney | $15.35 \pm 1.10$ | $7.84 \pm 0.74$ | $5.45 \pm 0.39$ | $4.06 \pm 0.41$ |
| pancreas | $6.40 \pm 0.38$ | $4.87 \pm 0.34$ | $3.45 \pm 0.38$ | $3.02 \pm 0.28$ |
| muscle | $4.13 \pm 0.43$ | $3.44 \pm 0.34$ | $3.32 \pm 0.13$ | $2.95 \pm 0.68$ |
| bone | $2.93 \pm 0.44$ | $2.45 \pm 0.54$ | $4.99 \pm 1.01$ | $7.23 \pm 0.61$ |
| stomach ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $1.04 \pm 0.19$ | $1.58 \pm 0.57$ | $1.84 \pm 0.66$ | $1.51 \pm 0.18$ |
| small intestine ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $4.25 \pm 0.68$ | $11.44 \pm 1.09$ | $25.79 \pm 2.09$ | $31.16 \pm 2.43$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Each value represents the mean $\pm$ SD for 4-5 mice.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Expressed as \% injected dose per organ.
We may attribute the differences in brain kinetics to measuring means. That is to say, in the biodistribution experiments of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$, the radioactivity was characterized by the "total counts" measured with a $\gamma$-counter, which included the signals from both parent compounds and
radio-metabolites; but conversely, in measuring the brain uptake of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}$, an HPLC device was used, and the brain uptake values were calculated from the peak areas derived from HPLC profiles, which only reflected the signals of parent compounds (Figure S8).

In Vivo Metabolism. To further understand the pharmacokinetics of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$, we conducted in vivo metabolism experiments in ICR mice (male, 5 weeks) at different time points of 2, 10 and 30 min . Table S5 summarized the detailed information of radioactive metabolites. In brain, two radioactive metabolites were observed after dosing each of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$, and they displayed good biostability with $68.4 \%$ and $74.8 \%$ of parent tracers left at 2 min post-injection, respectively, but steadily dropped to $27.1 \%$ and $11.5 \%$ at 30 min , respectively. In plasma, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ was rapidly metabolized and only $29.7 \%$ of intact tracer at 2 min post-injection was left. Similarly, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ was more extensively degraded with only $1.6 \%$ of parent remained at 10 min . For both, no parent tracers were found at 30 min post-injection in plasma, and all of the detected radioactivity was determined to be polar metabolites with the shortest retention times of approximately 2 min . In liver, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ exhibited good biostability, and the intact $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ occupied $30.2 \%$ of total radioactivity at 30 $\min$ post-injection. Consistent with the results in plasma, $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ displayed a faster metabolism in liver, and the percentage of unmetabolized $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathbf{c}$ decreased to $12.7 \%$ at 30 min .

Table S5. Percentages and retention times (RTs) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ of metabolites extracted from the brain, plasma, liver, urine and feces of ICR mice after intravenous injection of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c} .{ }^{\text {b }}$

| probe | organ | post-injection time (min) | $\begin{gathered} {\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}-\mathbf{1}(\%)} \\ \mathrm{RT}=2.3 \mathrm{~min} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b - 2}(\%)} \\ \mathrm{RT}=7.2 \mathrm{~min} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} {\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}(\%)} \\ \mathrm{RT}=11.2 \mathrm{~min} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ | brain | 2 | $23.3 \pm 4.1$ | $8.3 \pm 1.8$ | $68.4 \pm 2.2$ |
|  |  | 10 | $32.2 \pm 6.7$ | $14.0 \pm 4.1$ | $53.8 \pm 3.1$ |
|  |  | 30 | $61.4 \pm 4.9$ | $11.5 \pm 4.1$ | $27.1 \pm 0.8$ |
|  | plasma | 2 | $70.3 \pm 1.6$ | - | $29.7 \pm 1.6$ |
|  |  | 10 | $81.2 \pm 1.9$ | - | $18.8 \pm 1.9$ |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |
|  | liver | 2 | $35.1 \pm 8.9$ | - | $64.9 \pm 8.9$ |
|  |  | 10 | $14.8 \pm 3.6$ | - | $85.2 \pm 1.9$ |
|  |  | 30 | $69.8 \pm 9.3$ | - | $30.2 \pm 9.3$ |
|  | urine | 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | 100 | - | - |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |
|  | feces | 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | 100 | - | - |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |
|  |  |  | $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c - 1}$ (\%) | $\left.{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathrm{c}-2$ (\%) | $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] 4 \mathrm{c}$ (\%) |
|  |  |  | $\mathrm{RT}=2.2 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{RT}=7.2 \mathrm{~min}$ | $\mathrm{RT}=10.9 \mathrm{~min}$ |
| $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$ | brain | 2 | $25.2 \pm 6.5$ | - | $74.8 \pm 6.4$ |
|  |  | 10 | $63.7 \pm 6.8$ | $11.3 \pm 3.1$ | $25.0 \pm 3.7$ |
|  |  | 30 | $75.6 \pm 4.9$ | $12.9 \pm 2.5$ | $11.5 \pm 2.4$ |
|  | plasma | 2 | $48.8 \pm 5.9$ | - | $51.2 \pm 5.9$ |
|  |  | 10 | $98.4 \pm 1.6$ | - | $1.6 \pm 1.6$ |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |
|  | liver | 2 | $12.7 \pm 2.0$ | $11.2 \pm 0.5$ | $76.1 \pm 2.6$ |
|  |  | 10 | $46.6 \pm 6.1$ | $10.0 \pm 2.9$ | $43.4 \pm 3.4$ |
|  |  | 30 | $87.3 \pm 1.4$ | - | $12.7 \pm 1.4$ |
|  | urine | 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | 100 | - | - |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |
|  | feces | 2 | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10 | 100 | - | - |
|  |  | 30 | 100 | - | - |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{HPLC}$ conditions: Cosmosil packed column (5C18-AR-II, $4.6 \times 150 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); for $\mathbf{4 b}$ : $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{2} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=$ $60 \% / 40 \%, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{UV}, 500 \mathrm{~nm}$; for $\mathbf{4 c}: \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=65 \% / 35 \%, 1 \mathrm{~mL} / \mathrm{min}, \mathrm{UV}, 510 \mathrm{~nm}$.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Each value represents the mean $\pm$ SD for 2-3 mice at each interval.

In Vivo NIR Imaging. Nude mice (BALB/c nude, 6 weeks, female), $\operatorname{Tg}$ mice ( $\mathrm{n}=3$, C57BL6, APPsw/PSEN1, 22 months old, female) and age-matched WT mice ( $\mathrm{n}=4$, C57BL6, 22 months old, female) were used for in vivo NIR imaging of $\mathbf{4 b}$ and $\mathbf{4 c}(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}, 15 \%$ DMSO, $85 \%$ propylene glycol, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ). The imaging was performed on an IVIS Lumina III system (PerkinElmer, the USA) with proper filter sets (Table S6). The fluorescence signals from brain were collected and analyzed by following our previously reported procedures. ${ }^{3}$

Table S6. Details of filter sets.


Figure S9. (a) In vivo NIR imaging images of nude mice at different time points before and after i.v. injection of $\mathbf{4 b}$ (top row) and $\mathbf{4 c}$ (bottom row). (b) Brain clearance curves of $\mathbf{4 b}$ (blue line) and $\mathbf{4 c}$ (red line) in nude mice.

Ex Vivo Histology. A Tg mouse (C57BL6, APPsw/PSEN1, 22 months old, female) and an age-matched WT mouse (C57BL6, 22 months old, female) were i.v. injected with $\mathbf{4 c}(0.2 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$, $15 \%$ DMSO, $85 \%$ propylene glycol, $50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) and sacrificed at 20 min after injection. We prepared frozen sections and conducted fluorescent microscopy following previously reported protocols. ${ }^{3}$


Figure S10. Ex vivo histology results of Tg mice brain slices containing cortical, cerebellar and hippocampal regions after i.v. injection of $\mathbf{4 c}(a)$. The $\mathrm{A} \beta$ plaques were confirmed by staining the same sections with Th-S (c). Images band dare partial enlarged views of homologous sections.


Figure S11. (a) Ex vivo histological staining of the brain sections from WT mouse. The microscope observation result was also confirmed by the staining the same section with Th-S (b).

Determination of Cerenkov Efficiency. The Cerenkov efficiency was measured with an IVIS Lumina III system (PerkinElmer, the USA). The radiotracers $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4} \mathbf{c}$ were diluted with water into different concentrations (radioactivity). Aliquots of $300 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ aqueous solution with the radiotracer ( $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ or $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ ) were placed in each well of black 96 -well Costar assay plates in triplicate for each concentration. Images were acquired with open filter over an exposure time of 100 $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{f}=1$, bin $=8)$. Wavelength-resolved spectral images were obtained using a series of narrow emission filter sets ( $520-845 \mathrm{~nm}$ ). Cerenkov signal was normalized to photons per second per centimeter square per steradian ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sr}$ ) and analyzed with Living Image software 4.5.3 (PerkinElmer, the USA).


Figure S12. (a and c) Optical images of $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ (a) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ (c) detected with different amount of radioactivity (top row), and correlation of the optical signals and radioactivity ( $\mathrm{r}^{2}=0.999$, bottom row). (b and d) Optical images derived with various narrow filters for $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 b}$ (b) and $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}$ (d) with fixed radioactivity (top row) and its schematic (bottom row).

In Vivo Cerenkov Luminescence Imaging. For in vivo CLI, the same animals as for NIR imaging of $\mathbf{4 c}$ were used. After scalp hair removed, mice were i.v. injected with $\left[{ }^{18} \mathrm{~F}\right] \mathbf{4 c}(200 \mu \mathrm{Ci})$, and the acquisition of CLI signal in brain compartment was performed (open filter, $\mathrm{f}=1$, bin $=8$, and exposure time $=100 \mathrm{~s}$ ) immediately over a time course of 90 min . During this, the mice were under anesthesia with $2.5 \%$ isoflurane gas in an oxygen flow of $0.8 \mathrm{~L} / \mathrm{min}$. After the images were normalized to photons per second per centimeter square per steradian ( $\mathrm{p} / \mathrm{s} / \mathrm{cm}^{2} / \mathrm{sr}$ ), the same region of interest (ROI) as for NIR imaging was draw with the area around the brain region, and the brain clearance curves were obtained by following the same method that was used for NIR imaging of $\mathbf{4 c}$. The CLI images were not decay corrected for all quantification because our comparisons between different animal groups were made at the same time points, and under the same acquisition parameters.


Figure S13. (a) CLI images of Tg (top row) and WT (bottom row) mice at different time points post-injection. (b) Brain clearance curves derived from CLI.

Appendixes: ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR},{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$-NMR, MS, and HRMS
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## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance $=5.0$ PPM / DBE: $\min =-1.5, \max =100.0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Element prediction: Off } \\
& \text { Elote }
\end{aligned}
$$



Page 1

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=2$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
1794 formula(e) evaluated with 9 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { C: 0-50 } & \mathrm{H}: 0-60 & \mathrm{~N}: 0-15 & 0 & 0-15\end{array} \quad \mathrm{~F}: 0-3$
FHL-NPF-2 8 (0.137)
TOF MS ES +
$1.37 \mathrm{e}+004$


## Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis


Page 1

Tolerance $=5.0$ PPM DBE $\min =-1.5, \max =100.0$
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT $=2$
Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
2159 formula(e) evaluated with 9 results within limits (up to 100 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-50 H: 0-60 N: 0-15 O: 0-15 F: 0-3
FHL-NPF-3 1 (0.017)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda_{\text {abs }}$ and $\varepsilon$ were measured in the solutions of dichloromethane (DCM).

