Chemical Communications

Supporting Information

High Oxidation State Bromocarbyne Complexes

Anthony F. Hill,*^a Richard Y. Kong^a

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

General Considerations

All manipulations of air-sensitive compounds were carried out at room temperature under a dry oxygen-free nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk, vacuum line, or inert atmosphere (argon) glovebox techniques with dried and degassed solvents. NMR spectra were obtained on a Bruker Avance 400 (¹H at 400.1 MHz, ¹³C at 100.5 MHZ, ³¹P at 162.0 MHz), Bruker Avance 700 (¹H at 700.1 MHz, ¹³C at 176.1 MHz, ³¹P at 283.5 MHz), or Bruker Avance 800 with cryoprobe (¹H at 800.1 MHz, ¹³C at 200.2 MHz) spectrometer. Chemicals shifts $\left(\delta\right)$ are reported in ppm and referenced to the solvent peak (¹H, ¹³C) or external 85% H₃PO₄. The multiplicities of NMR resonances are denoted by the abbreviations s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), sep (septet), m (multiplet), and combinations thereof for more highly coupled systems and ⁿJ_{ab} reported in Hz. Assignments of phenyl ring resonances are denoted C_i for *ipso*, C_o for *ortho*, C_m for *meta* and C_p for *para* carbon environments. Whilst ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ for carbon nuclei of the $(C_6H_{11})_2P(CH_2CH_2)P(C_6H_{11})_2$ ligand were observed, their narrow spectral range precluded unequivocal assignment for the dcpe carbon environments. Similarly, proton environments within the dcpe ligand could not be uniquely identified due to the complex multiplicities and similar chemical shifts encountered. Infrared spectra were obtained using a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR spectrometer. Elemental microanalysis has been performed at the London Metropolitan University. Electrospray ionisation mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed by the ANU Research School of Chemistry mass spectrometry service with acetonitrile or methanol as the matrix. Data for X-ray crystallography were collected on either an Oxford Diffraction SuperNova or Oxford Diffraction Xcalibur diffractometer.

Synthesis of $W(\equiv CPh)Br(CO)_2(dcpe)$

To a To a suspension of $W(CO)_6$ (1.76 g, 5.00 mmol) in THF (50 mL), 2.6 mL of PhLi (1.9M in "butyl ether, 5.0 mmol) was

Crystal Data for $C_{35}H_{53}BrO_2P_2W$ (*M* =831.47 g/mol): monoclinic, space group P2₁/n (no. 14), *a* = 11.41025(11) Å, *b* = 13.66762(12) Å, *c* = 23.3661(3) Å, *β* = 100.0542(10)°, V = 3588.01(6) $Å^3$, Z = 4, T = 150.01(10) K, μ (CuK α) = 8.322 mm⁻ ¹, ρ_{calc} = 1.539 g/cm³, 55340 reflections measured (7.524° \leq 20 \leq 148.702°), 7242 unique (R_{int} = 0.0486, R_{sigma} = 0.0212) which





^{a.} Research School of Chemistry, Australian National University, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia ACT 2601. Email. A.

COMMUNICATION

were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0339 (I > 2 σ (I)) and wR_2 was 0.0914 (all data). CCDC 1515752

Synthesis of $W(\equiv CPh)(Br)_3(dcpe)$

To a yellow solution of W(CPh)(Br)(dcpe)(CO)₂ (166 mg, 0.200 DCM (10 mmol) in mL) at -78°C (dry ice/acetone), 0.20 mL of a 1.0 M solution of Br₂ in DCM was was added via syringe. The resultant dark brown solution was allowed to stir for 30 minutes at -78°C before being allowed to room temperature, upon which the solution turns dark green. The DCM was removed in vacuo to afford a crude green solid. Washing with 1x10 mL of benzene and 1x10mL of Et₂O afforded the product as a light green powder. Yield: 184 mg, 98%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C): 7.53-7.50 (dd, 2H, $C_m\underline{H} \text{ in } W \exists C\underline{Ph}), 7.04-7.02 \text{ (d, } 4H, 2xC_oH \text{ and } 2xC_mH \text{ in } W \exists C\underline{Ph}),$ 2.29-2.23 (m, 2 H, dcpe), 2.19-2.14 (m, 2 H, dcpe), 3.06-3.00 (2 H, dcpe), 2.48-2.35 (6 H, dcpe), 2.27-2.22 (4 H, dcpe), 2.20-2.14 (2 H, dcpe), 1.89-1.79 (4 H, dcpe), 1.72-1.68 (4 H, dcpe), 1.65-1.60 (2 H, dcpe), 1.59-1.43 (7 H, dcpe), 1.38-1.28 (7 H, dcpe), 1.26-1.18 (4 H, dcpe), 1.13-1.07 (2 H, dcpe), 1.05-0.98 (2 H, dcpe), 0.86-0.80 (2 H, dcpe). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C): δ_c 309.74 (t, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 13.4, W=<u>C</u>), 140.16 (C_i(Ph)), 136.72 (C_o(Ph), 131.03 $(C_m(Ph))$, 126.33 $(C_p(Ph))$, 40.80 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP} = 24.5$, $CH[C_6H_{11}]$), 37.41 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 18.15, CH[C₆H₁₁]), 30.69 (CH₂[C₆H₁₁]), 30.02 $(CH_2[C_6H_{11}])$, 29.27 $(CH_2[C_6H_{11}])$, 28.00 $(CH_2(C_6H_{11}))$, 27.73 (d, $J_{CP} = 12.4$), 27.46 (CH₂[C₆H₁₁]), 26.99 (CH₂[C₆H₁₁]), 26.95 (d, J_{CP} = 9.75, $CH_2[C_6H_{11}])$, 26.15 ($CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 25.89 ($CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 21.14 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 22.0, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 14.6, P(<u>C</u>H₂)₂P). ${}^{31}P{1H}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C): δ_P 53.10 (¹J_{PW} = 212 Hz). MS-ESI: 873.1588 [M-Br+OH₂]⁺. Accurate mass: found 871.1603 [M-Br+OH₂]⁺. Calcd for C33H55OP279Br2184W 861.1604. Anal. found: C, 42.32; H, 5.76; N, 0.00%. Calcd. for C₃₃H₅₃BrP₂W: C, 42.38; H, 5.71; N, 0.00%. Crystals suitable for crystallographic analysis were obtained via diffusion of pentane into a solution of 2 in DCM at -20°C.

Crystal Data for C₆₆H₁₀₅Br₆P₄W₂ (*M* =1869.53 g/mol): triclinic, space group P-1 (no. 2), *a* = 11.7140(4) Å, *b* = 15.8440(3) Å, *c* = 20.4006(4) Å, *α* = 81.8120(17)°, *θ* = 88.384(2)°, *γ* = 84.131(2)°, *V* = 3727.67(17) Å³, *Z* = 2, *T* = 150.01(10) K, μ(CuKα) = 10.458 mm⁻¹, ρ_{calc} = 1.666 g/cm³, 61566 reflections measured (4.376° ≤ 2Θ ≤ 148.164°), 15029 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.0491, R_{sigma} = 0.0423) which were used in all calculations. The final *R*₁ was 0.0379 (I > 2σ(I)) and *wR*₂ was 0.1021 (all data). CCDC 1515753

Synthesis of $W(\equiv CBr)(Br)_3(dcpe)$

To a yellow solution of W(CSiPh₃)(Br)(dcpe)(CO)₂ (101 mg, 0.100 mmol) in DCM (5 mL) at -78°C (dry ice/acetone), 0.200 mL of a 1.0 M solution of Br_2 in DCM (0.20 mmol) was added via syringe. The resultant dark brown solution was allowed to stir for 30 minutes at -78°C before being allowed to warm to room temperature. To the dark brown solution, 50mL of hexane was added, precipitating our product as a dark brown solid. The supernatant was filtered off and the product washed once with toluene (1x10mL) to afford the product as a brown powder. Yield: 58 mg, 62%. IR (Nujol) v/cm⁻¹: 1260 (C-Br). ¹H NMR (C₆D₆, 25°C):

δ_H 3.02-2.91 (m, 2 H, dcpe), 2.42-2.15 (m, dcpe), 2.98-1.14 (m, 46 H, dcpe). ¹³C NMR (C₆D₆, 25°C): δ_{C} 229.0 (²J_{CP} = 16.4, W=<u>C</u>), 39.96 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 24.3, CH[C₆H₁₁]), 37.36 (d, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 18.3, $CH[C_6H_{11}])$, 30.44 $(CH_2[C_6H_{11}])$, 30.08 $(CH_2[C_6H_{11}])$, 29.34 $(CH_2[C_6H_{11}]), 28.17 (CH_2[C_6H_{11}]), 27.69 (d, J_{CP} = 5.7, CH_2[C_6H_{11}]),$ 27.55 (d, $J_{CP} = 5.7$, $CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 27.36 (d, $J_{CP} = 10.2$, $CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 27.00 (d, $J_{CP} = 12.4$, $CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 26.12 ($CH_2[C_6H_{11}]$), 26.00 (CH₂[C₆H₁₁]), 26.06 (CH₂[C₆H₁₁]), 20.79 (dd, ${}^{1}J_{CP}$ = 22.4, ${}^{2}J_{CP}$ = 12.9, $(Cy)_2P(\underline{C}H_2)_2P(Cy)_2$). ³¹P{1H} NMR (CDCl₃, 25°C): δ_P 50.8 $({}^{1}J_{PW} = 206 \text{ Hz})$. Anal. found: C, 33.19; H, 5.23; N, 0.00%. Calcd for C₂₇H₄₈Br₄P₂W.0.5CH₂Cl₂: C: 33.69; H, 5.04; N, 0.00%. In the absence of in-house microanalytical facilities, the hydrolytic sensitivity of the complex has so far precluded the acquisition of satisfactory microanalytical data from the London Metropolitan University. Crystals suitable for crystallographic analysis were obtained via cooling a saturated solution of 2d in CDCl₃ to -20°C overnight as well as via vapour diffusion of pentane into a solution of 2d in DCM at -20°C.

Crystal Data for C₂₇H₄₈Br₄P₂W.3CDCl₃ (*M* =1296.18 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group Pna2₁ (no. 33), *a* = 12.26967(13) Å, *b* = 17.8133(2) Å, *c* = 20.5242(3) Å, *V* = 4485.82(9) Å³, *Z* = 4, *T* = 150.00(10) K, µ(CuKα) = 14.726 mm⁻¹, ρ_{calc} = 1.919 g/cm³, 90176 reflections measured (6.57° ≤ 20 ≤ 148.152°), 9044 unique (*R*_{int} = 0.0399, R_{sigma}= 0.0185) which were used in all calculations. The final *R*₁ was 0.0522 (I > 2σ(I)) and *wR*₂ was 0.1219 (all data)

Crystal Data for C₂₇H₄₈Br₄P₂W.CH₂Cl₂ (*M* =1296.18 g/mol): orthorhombic, space group Pna2₁ (no. 33), *a* = 12.26967(13) Å, *b* = 17.8133(2) Å, *c* = 20.5242(3) Å, *V* = 4485.82(9) Å³, *Z* = 4, *T* = 150.00(10) K, μ (CuKα) = 14.726 mm⁻¹, ρ_{calc} = 1.919 g/cm³, 90176 reflections measured (6.57° ≤ 20 ≤ 148.152°), 9044 unique (R_{int} = 0.0399, R_{sigma} = 0.0185) which were used in all calculations. The final R_1 was 0.0522 (I > 2σ(I)) and wR_2 was 0.1219 (all data). CCDC 1515754.



Figure S1. Calculated IR spectrum (DFT: MO6-LACVP) of the model complex [W(\equiv CBr)Br₃(dmpe)] with v_{WC} = 1203 cm⁻¹ indicated.

NB: The calculated spectrum for $[W(\equiv CPh)Br_3(dmpe)]$ had no significant absorptions that could be assigned primarily to v_{WC} however a number of rather weak absorptions involving Ph ring deformation modes showed modest v_{WC} character (1557, 1455, 1041, 1073 cm⁻¹).

Journal Name

1507.60cm-1 1314.13cm-1 1192.44cm-1 1447.48cm-1 1600 1400 1260.78cm-1 1095.82cm-11000 800.48cm-1 1005.82cm-11000 800

Figure S2. Experimental IR spectrum (Nujol) for the complex [W(=CBr)Br₃(dcpe)] with v_{WC} = 1261 cm⁻¹.

Calculated Atomic Charges

Table S1. Calculated Char	ges ^a for the Cor	nplexes [W=CR)	Br₃(dmpe)]
(R = Ph, Br)			

	Electrostatic		Mulliken		Natural			
	Ph	Br	Ph	Br	Ph	Br		
С	-1.08	-0.70	-0.05	-0.31	-0.29	-0.51		
W	1.47	1.31	-0.46	-0.20	+0.75	+0.78		
<i>trans</i> -Br	-0.66	-0.58	-0.33	-0.32	-0.59	-0.67		
<i>cis</i> -Br	-0.37	-0.35	-0.10	-0.12	-0.28	-0.30		
^a DET: MO6-LACVP								

Observations

- For the Mulliken and Natural charge calculations, the trans bromide bears more negative charge for the bromocarbyne complex than the benzylidyne suggesting a stronger trans influence by CBr than CPh.
- In all cases, the trans bromide bears a greater negative charge than do the ci-bromides, again refecting the strong trans influence of carbyne ligands.
- (iii) Natural charges for the W–C linkage suggest little change in the charge at tungsten but an increase in charge for the carbyne carbon of the CBr complex.

