Supporting Information

Highly Stable Chemisorption of Dyes with Pyridyl Anchors over TiO₂: Application in Dye-Sensitized Photoelectrochemical Water Reduction in Aqueous Media

Kohei Takijiri,¹ Kohei Morita,¹ Takashi Nakazono,^{1,2} Ken Sakai^{*1,2,3} and Hironobu Ozawa^{*4}

1 Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

2 International Institute for Carbon-Neutral Energy Research (WPI-I2CNER), Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

3 Center for Molecular Systems (CMS), Kyushu University, Motooka 744, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395, Japan

4 Education Center for Global Leaders in Molecular Systems for Devices, Kyushu University, 774 Motooka, Nishi-ku, Fukuoka, 819-0395, Japan

Materials and general measurements

4,4':2',2":4",4"'-quaterpyridine (qpy),^{S1} *cis*-RuCl₂(dmbpy)₂,^{S2} [Ru(dmbpy)₂(dcbpy)](PF₆)₂ (**Ru-C**),^{S3} and [Ru(dmbpy)₂(dpbpy)](PF₆)₂ (**Ru-P**),^{S4} were prepared according to the previously reported procedures. All other reagents were purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry Co., Ltd, and were used without further purification.

¹H NMR spectra of the polypyridyl ruthenium dyes were acquired on a JEOL JNM-ESA 600 spectrometer. The ESI-TOF mass spectra were recorded on a JEOL JMS-T100CS spectrometer. The spectral changes in the IR region upon adsorption of the dyes over TiO₂ were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Spectrum One FT-IR Spectrometer, equipped with a Diamond ATR Crystal, where each FTO/TiO₂/Ru-dye electrode was directly located on the ATR system. UV-vis-NIR spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer. Transmittance and reflectance spectra of the FTO/TiO₂/Ru-dye electrodes were recorded on a Shimadzu UV-3600 spectrophotometer, equipped with an integrated sphere attachment. Luminescence spectra were recorded on a Shimadzu RF-5300PC spectrofluorophotometer equipped with a Hamamatsu R928 photomultiplier tube. Cyclic voltammograms were recorded on a BAS ALS Model 602DKM electrochemical analyzer, using a glassy carbon working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and an Ag/Ag⁺ reference electrode (-0.11 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺). The electrolysis was carried out using an acetonitrile solution containing 0.1 M TBAP (tetra(*n*-butyl)ammonium perchlorate) as a supporting electrolyte, where the scan rate was always 50 mV/s.

Synthesis of [Ru(dmbpy)₂(qpy)](PF₆)₂·2H₂O (Ru-py)

cis-RuCl₂(dmbpy)₂ (52 mg, 96 µmol) and qpy (29 mg, 94 µmol) were dissolved in 10 mL of ethylene glycol, and this mixture was refluxed for 1 h. After the solution was cooled to room temperature, 3 mL of H₂O and 0.5 mL of aqueous saturated NH₄PF₆ solution were added to afford a brown powder, which was collected by filtration, and washed with water. This crude product was purified by a silica gel column chromatography using a mixed solvent of CH₃CN, H₂O, and aqueous saturated KNO₃ (10:3:3, v/v) as an eluent. The second brown band was collected, and then most of CH₃CN was removed by evaporation to give a dark red powder, which was collected by filtration, 40%). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, acetone-d6): $\delta = 9.44$ (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 2H), 8.79 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H), 8.73 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H), 8.24 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 7.97 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 4H), 7.91-7.89 (m,

6H), 7.46 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.60 (s, 6H), 2.57 (s, 6H). ESI-TOF MS (positive ion, CH₃CN) 390.12 m/z ([**M**]²⁺). Anal. Calcd. for C₄₄H₄₂N₈O₂P₂F₁₂Ru ([**M**](PF₆)₂·2H₂O): C, 47.79; H, 3.83; N, 10.13. Found: C, 47.91; H, 3.65; N, 10.04.

Preparation of dye-adsorbed TiO₂ electrodes

Single or multi-layered mesoporous TiO_2 thin film on the FTO glass substrate (FTO/TiO₂ electrode) was fabricated by screen-printing and high-temperature sintering technique.^{S5} Film thickness of the single and multi-layered TiO₂ films were ca. 7 and ca. 25 µm, respectively. Active area of each TiO₂ film was 1.0 cm². Typically, a pristine FTO/TiO₂ electrode was immersed into a dye bath for 24 h at 20 °C to uptake each dye. The amount of the dye adsorbed was spectrophotometrically determined by observing the absorption change in the dye bath. After the FTO/TiO₂ electrode was removed from the dye bath, it was rinsed, and dried in air at room temperature.

Photoelectrochemical measurements

Linear sweep voltammetry (LSV) was carried out using a BAS ALS Model 602DKM electrochemical analyzer in a three-electrode PEC with a dye-adsorbed TiO₂ electrode as a working electrode, a platinum wire counter electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode (-0.02 V vs. SCE). Scan rate was 10 mV/s. The electrolyte solution used was an aqueous acetate buffer (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing 30 mM EDTA as a sacrificial reagent and 0.1 M NaClO₄ as a supporting electrolyte. Photoirradiation was carried out by an ILC Technology CERMAX LX-300 Xe lamp (300 W), equipped with a cutoff filter (L-42, HOYA) and a cold filter (SC1201, Asahi Spectra) (ca. 410 < λ < 770 nm). The whole PEC system was deaerated with Ar at least 30 min prior to the measurements. Amperometry was performed using the same three-electrode PEC with the potential held at -0.17 V vs. SCE, which corresponds to the open circuit potential of the FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode in the dark. The amount of H₂ evolved was measured by a Shimadzu GC-8A gas chromatograph equipped with a thermal conductivity detector and a Molecular Sieve 5A column (3 mm × 2.5 m; Ar as a carrier).



Figure S1. ¹H NMR spectrum of Ru-py in acetone.



Figure S2. Absorption and uncorrected luminescence spectra of **Ru-py** in acetonitrile. Excitation wavelength is 480 nm. The intersection wavelength between normalized absorption and normalized luminescence spectra is 595 nm.



Figure S3. Cyclic voltammograms of 0.1 mM acetonitrile solution of **Ru-py** (bottom, red), and FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode in acetonitrile (top, blue) under Ar at room temperature. The electrolyte solution contained 0.1 M TBAP. Scan rate was 50 mV/s. Film thickness of the mesoporous TiO₂ thin film was ca. 7 μ m, and the amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed was estimated as 5.6 × 10⁻⁸ mol/cm².



Figure S4. Schematic representation of the energy diagram for the relevant redox processes of **Ru-py**, together with the conduction band edge potential of TiO_2 and the H₂ evolution potential at pH 5. The edge potential of TiO_2 was calculated based on the reported value of -0.4 V vs. SCE at pH 0.^{S6}



Figure S5. Light harvesting efficiency (LHE; red), transmittance (*T*; blue) and reflectance (*R*; gray) of the FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode. The film thickness of the mesoporous TiO₂ thin film was ca. 25 μ m, and the amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed was 1.3 × 10⁻⁷ mol/cm². LHE was calculated by using following equation; LHE (%) = 100 – *T* – *R*.^{S7}



Figure S6. (a) Changes in the absorption spectrum of an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing 30 mM EDTA and 0.1 M NaClO₄ over time while the FTO/TiO₂/Ru-dye electrode was submerged in the dark (the raw date of Figure 2). The amount of dye adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface was 1.9×10^{-7} , 1.0×10^{-7} and 1.3×10^{-7} mol/cm² for **Ru-C**, **Ru-P** and **Ru-py**, respectively.



Figure S7. (a) Changes in the absorption spectrum of an aqueous borate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 7.0) containing 0.1 M NaClO₄ over time while the FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode was submerged in the dark. (b) Plots of the relative amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed on the TiO₂ electrode as a function of immersion time. The amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface was 1.0×10^{-7} mol/cm².



Figure S8. (a) Changes in the absorption spectrum of an aqueous phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 9.0) containing 0.1 M NaClO₄ over time while the FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode was submerged in the dark. (b) Plots of the relative amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed on the TiO₂ electrode as a function of immersion time. The amount of **Ru-py** adsorbed on the TiO₂ surface was 1.0×10^{-7} mol/cm².



Figure S9. Linear sweep voltammograms of the FTO/TiO₂ and FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrodes with or without continuous photoirradiation at a scan rate of 10 mV/s. Measurements were carried out in a three-electrode PEC with a Pt wire counter electrode and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode in an aqueous acetate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 5.0) containing 30 mM EDTA and 0.1 M NaClO₄. Photoirradiation was carried out using a 300 W Xe lamp equipped with a cutoff filter (L-42, HOYA) and a cold filter (SC1201, Asahi Spectra).



Figure S10. Absorption spectrum of the electrolyte solution (0.1 M aqueous acetate buffer solution containing 30 mM EDTA and 0.1 M NaClO₄; pH 5.0) after 100 min photoelectrochemical measurement for the FTO/TiO₂/**Ru-py** electrode. The experimental conditions were same to those for Fig. 3b. The relative amount of **Ru-py** desorbed form the TiO₂ surface after measurement was estimated to be ca. 2%.

References

- S1. R. J. Morgan, A. D. Baker, J. Org. Chem., 1990, 55, 1986-1993.
- S2. (a) B. P. Sullivan, D. J. Salmon, T. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, **1978**, *23*, 3334-3341.
 (b) P. J. Giordano, C. R. Bock, M. S. Wrighton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **1978**, *100*, 6960-6965.
- S3. (a) G. Sprintschnik, H. W. Sprintschnik, P. P. Kirsch, D. G. Whitten, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1977, 99, 4947-4954. (b) D. Kotkar, P. K. Ghosh, Inorg. Chem., 1987, 26, 208-209. (c) Y. Shen, B. P. Maliwal, J. R. Lakowicz, J. Fluorescence, 2003, 13, 163-168.
- S4. (a) I. Gillaizeau-Gauthier, F. Odobel, M. Alebbi, R. Argazzi, E. Costa, C. A. Bignozzi, P. Qu, G. J. Meyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, 40, 6073-6079. (b) Y. Pellegrin, L. L. Pleux, E. Blart, A. Renaud, B. Chavillon, N. Szuwarski, M. Boujtita, L. Cario, S. Jobic, D. Jacquemin, F. Odobel, *J. Photochem. Photobiol. A, Chem.*, 2011, 219, 235-242.
- S5. For example; (a) H. Ozawa, T. Sugiura, T. Kuroda, K. Nozawa, H. Arakawa, J. Mater. Chem., A, 2016, 4, 1762-1770. (b) H. Ozawa, K. Fukushima, A. Urayama, H. Arakawa, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 8887-8889. (c) H. Ozawa, Y. Yamamoto, H. Kawaguchi, R. Shimizu, H. Arakawa, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 3152-3161. (d) H. Ozawa, T. Sugiura, R. Shimizu, H. Arakawa, Inorg. Chem., 2014, 53, 9375-9384. (e) H. Ozawa, K. Fukushima, T. Sugiura, A. Urayama, H. Arakawa, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 13208-13218. (f) H. Ozawa, S. Honda, D. Katano, T. Sugiura, H. Arakawa, Dalton Trans., 2014, 43, 8026-8036.
- S6. (a) G. Rothenberger, D. Fitzmaurice, M. Grätzel, J. Phys. Chem., 1992, 96, 5983-5986. (b) A. Hagfeldt, M. Grätzel, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 49-68. (c) G. Boschloo, D. Fitzmaurice, J. Electrochem. Soc., 2000, 147, 1117-1123.
- S7. (a) K. Sayama, S. Tsukagoshi, T. Mori, K. Hara, Y. Ohga, A. Shinpou, Y. Abe, S. Suga, H. Arakawa, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, **2003**, *80*, 47-71. (b) M. Liang, W. Xu, F. Cai, P. Chen, B. Peng, J. Chen, Z. Li, J. Phys. Chem. C, **2007**, *111*, 4465-4472.