N-Alkyl Ammonium Resorcinarene Polyiodides

Fangfang Pan,^a Ngong Kodiah Beyeh,^b* Robin H. A. Ras^b and Kari Rissanen^c*

^a Central China Normal University, College of Chemistry, 152 Luoyu Road, Wuhan, Hubei 430079, China ^b Aalto University, School of Science, Department of Applied Physics P.O. Box 15100, FI-00076 Aalto, Espoo,

Finland

^c University of Jyväskylä, Department of Chemistry, Nanoscience Center, P. O. Box 35, 40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

Supporting Information

I GENERAL INFORMATION

The C₁-resorcinarene **4** and the *N*-ethanol ammonium resorcinarene halides **3**(Br₄) were synthesized according to reported procedures.^{1,2}. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance DRX 400 (400 MHz for ¹H and 100 MHz for ¹³C) spectrometer. All signals are given as δ values in ppm using residual solvent signals as the internal standard. Coupling constants are given in Hz. Melting points were determined with a Mettler Toledo FP62 capillary melting point apparatus. Experimental details for the synthesis and characterization data of *N*-ethanol ammonium resorcinarene bromide **3**(Br₄).

II SYNTHESIS





Scheme S1. Synthesis of *N*-ethanol ammonium resorcinarene bromide **3**(Br₄).

a) To a solution of C₁-resorcinarene (4g, 7.344 mmols) and excess formaldehyde (8 mL) in EtOH (60 mL), 2-aminoethanol (1.86 mL, 30.8 mmols) in EtOH (15 ml) was added slowly and stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The mixture of tetrabenzoxazenes **5** was not isolated. b) Into a solution of the crude tetrabenzoxazine **5** (1.0 g, 1.129 mmol), 3 ml conc. HBr and 4 ml H₂O in 40 ml isopropanol was heated under reflux. Water and formaldehyde were removed by azeotropic distillation with chloroform. The remaining isopropanol was evaporated and the crude product triturated with diethyl ether.

N-Ethanol ammonium resorcinarene bromide **3**(Br₄) (1.01 g, 77 %). m.p. > 300 °C; ESI-TOF-MS (Positive ion mode, sprayed from MeOH): m/z = Found 837.4271 [M-4Br-3H]⁺, 1 mDa, 1.2 ppm); calc. 837.4281. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 298K in CD₃OD) δ : 1.85 (d, J=7.16 Hz, 12H, CH₃), 3.17 (t, 5.22 Hz, 8H, OCH₂), 3.90 (t, 5.20 Hz, 8H,NCH₂), 4.42 (s, 8H,Ar-CH₂-N), 4.72 (q, J=7.07 Hz, 4H, CH), 7.47 (s, 4H, Ar-H) ppm; ¹³C NMR: (100 MHz, 298K in CD₃OD) δ = 18.9, 29.3, 41.2, 48.4, 56.2, 108.4, 125.4, 127.2, 150.2.



Figure S1. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of *N*-ethanol ammonium resorcinarene bromide **3**(Br₄).

III SOLID STATE ANALYSES

Crystals of the assembly $1(Cl_3 \cdot I_3)$, $1(Br_3 \cdot I_3)$, $[2(Br_3 \cdot I_3)]_2$ and $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2 \cdot I_5$ were obtained by slow evaporation of methanolic solution containing 1:2 mixture of the corresponding *N*-alkyl ammonium resorcinarene halide and I_2 in the presence of few drops of 1,4-dioxane. All the four data were collected at 123 K with an Agilent Super-Nova diffractometer using mirrormonochromatized Cu-*K* α (λ =1.54184Å) radiation. *CrysAlisPro*³ was used for both data collection and processing. The intensities were corrected for absorption using gaussian integration method³ for $1(Cl_3 \cdot I_3)$ and $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2 \cdot I_5$, multi-scan⁴ for $1(Br_3 \cdot I_3)$, and analytical face index absorption correction method⁵ for $[2(Br_3 \cdot I_3)]_2$. The structures were solved by Direct method with *SHELXS*⁶ and refined by full-matrix least-squares methods using the *OLEX2*,⁷ which utilizes the *SHELXL-2013* module.⁶ All non-hydrogen atoms in the four structures were refined with anisotropic thermal parameters. In $1(Cl_3 \cdot I_3)$, one of the four cyclohexane groups was slightly disordered, however without split, just geometrically restrained with "SADI" commands. The solvent water molecule was highly disordered, which was treated with split over three positions with fix occupancies. In $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2 \cdot I_5$, each bromide anion was disordered and split over two sites with fixed occupancies. The sum of the occupancy was 0.875 for each Br⁻, thus there were 7 Br⁻ in total rendering the dimeric capsule of $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2$ exhibiting one positive charge, which was balanced by a pentaiodide anion. Because of the disorder or the charge polarization of I atoms, large electron peaks were observed beside the assigned I atoms. Due to the high symmetry, the guest molecule in the cavity was heavily disordered. The electron density was squeezed out by PLATON⁸.

Crystal data **1**(Cl₃•I₃): 0.031×0.057×0.118 mm, C₇H₁₁₆N₄O₁₂Cl₃I₃, M = 1740.75, triclinic, space group *P*-1, a = 12.0439(8) Å, b = 13.1940(5) Å, c = 25.6543(10) Å, $a = 102.364(3)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 93.086(5)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90.337(4)^{\circ}$, V = 3975.7(3) Å³, Z = 2, $\rho = 1.454$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 10.659$ mm⁻¹, *F*(000) = 1788, 27119 reflections ($\theta_{max} = 66.750^{\circ}$) measured (14100 unique, $R_{int} = 0.0757$, completeness = 99.9%), Final *R* indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$): $R_I = 0.0909$, $wR_2 = 0.2347$, *R* indices (all data): $R_I = 0.1396$, $wR_2 = 0.2850$. *GOF* = 1.030 for 895 parameters and 47 restraints, largest diff. peak and hole 1.679/-2.318 eÅ⁻³. CCDC-1481996 contains the supplementary data for this structure.

Crystal data 1(Br₃•I₃): 0.0943×0.1791×0.3704 mm, C₇₄H₁₁₉N₄O₁₃Br₃I₃, M = 18890, triclinic, space group *P*-1, a = 12.2171(3) Å, b = 13.1621(3) Å, c = 25.6541(6) Å, $a = 77.8171(19)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 86.247(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 89.7322(18)^{\circ}$, V = 4023.52(17) Å³, Z = 2, $\rho = 1.560$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 11.374$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1914, 28250 reflections ($\theta_{max} = 66.747^{\circ}$) measured (14287 unique, $R_{int} = 0.0451$, completeness = 99.99%), Final *R* indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$): $R_I = 0.0831$, $wR_2 = 0.2289$, *R* indices (all data): $R_I = 0.0946$, $wR_2 = 0.2472$. *GOF* = 1.035 for 894 parameters and 8 restraints, largest diff. peak and hole 3.046/-1.679 eÅ⁻³. CCDC-1481997 contains the supplementary data for this structure.

Crystal data **2**(Br₃•I₃): 0.0331×0.0342×0.1561 mm, C₅₈H₉₆N₄O₁₀Br₃I₃, M = 1629.81, monoclinic, space group *P*21/*n*, a = 15.0087(4) Å, b = 27.3833(5) Å, c = 16.3215(4) Å, $a = 90^{\circ}$, $\beta = 91.343(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 90^{\circ}$, V = 6706.1(3) Å³, Z = 4, $\rho = 1.614$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 13.511$ mm⁻¹, *F*(000) = 3264, 29031 reflections ($\theta_{max} = 66.748^{\circ}$) measured (11813 unique, $R_{int} = 0.0767$, completeness = 99.3%), Final *R* indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$): $R_I = 0.0692$, $wR_2 = 0.1935$, *R* indices (all data): $R_I = 0.0994$, $wR_2 = 0.2275$. *GOF* = 1.021 for 722 parameters and 23 restraints, largest diff. peak and hole 2.691/-1.564 eÅ⁻³. CCDC-1481998 contains the supplementary data for this structure.

Crystal data $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2 \cdot I_5$: 0.0421×0.1285×0.2824 mm, C₈₈H₁₂₈N₈O₂₄Br₇I₅, M = 2875.85, tetragonal, space group *P*4/*nnc*, a = 12.3974(2) Å, b = 12.3868(2) Å, c = 36.9639(11) Å, $a = 90^\circ$, $\beta = 90^\circ$, $\gamma = 90^\circ$, V = 5663.1(3) Å³, Z = 2, $\rho = 1.687$ g cm⁻³, $\mu = 14.224$ mm⁻¹, *F*(000) = 2828, 16009 reflections ($\theta_{max} = 66.730^\circ$) measured (2522 unique, $R_{int} = 0.0593$, completeness = 99.9%), Final *R* indices ($I > 2\sigma(I)$): $R_I = 0.1135$, $wR_2 = 0.3201$, *R* indices (all data): $R_I = 0.1237$, $wR_2 = 0.3311$. *GOF* = 1.170 for 165 parameters and 0 restraints, largest diff. peak and hole 2.044/-4.022 eÅ⁻³. CCDC-1481999 contains the supplementary data for this structure.



(A)



Figure S2. Packing of the assembly $\mathbf{1}(Cl_3 \cdot I_3)$ (A) and $\mathbf{1}(Br_3 \cdot I_3)$ (B) along *a* direction.







(B)

(C)

Figure S3. Packing of the assembly $[3(Br_{3.5})]_2 \cdot I_5$ along *bc* plane (A), and *ac* plane in brick-andball model (B) and CPK model (C).

VI REFERENCES

- 1 P. Timmerman, W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 2663–2704;
- 2 (a) N. K. Beyeh, F. Pan, R. H. A. Ras, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2016, DOI: 10.1002/ajoc.201600187R1; (b) N. K. Beyeh, M. Cetina, M. Löfman, M. Luostarinen, A. Shivanyuk, K. Rissanen, Supramol. Chem. 2010, 22, 737–750.
- 3 CrysAlisPro 2012, Agilent Technologies. Version 1.171.36.31.
- 4. SCALE3 ABSPACK in CrysAlis PRO.
- 5. R. C. Clark, J. S. Reid, Acta Cryst. A 1995, 51, 887-897.

- 6. G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Cryst. A 2008, 64, 112.
- 7. O. V. Dolomanov, L. J. Bourhis, R. J. Gildea, J. A. K. Howard, H. Puschmann, *J. Appl. Cryst.* **2009**, *42*, 339.
- 8. A. L. Spek, Acta Cryst. D 2009, 65, 148–155.