Supporting Information for

Underlying Mechanisms on Synergistic Role of Li₂MnO₃ and LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ in High-Mn, Lirich Oxides

Jin-Myoung Lim,^a Duho Kim,^a Min-Sik Park,^{b,d} Maenghyo Cho,^{*,a} and Kyeongjae Cho^{*,a,c}

^a Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Seoul National University, Gwanak-ro 1, Gwanak-gu, Seoul 08826, Republic of Korea.

^b Advanced Batteries Research Center, Korea Electronics Technology Institute, 25 Saenari-ro, Bundang-gu, Seongnam, 13509, Republic of Korea.

^c Department of Materials Science and Engineering and Department of Physics, the University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080, USA.

^d Department of Advanced Materials Engineering for Information and Electronics, Kyung Hee University, 1732 Deogyeong-daero, Giheung-gu, Yongin 17104, Republic of Korea.



Figure S1. Powder XRD patterns of reference Li₂MnO₃ (JCPDS 84-1634), reference LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ (ICSD 171750), as-synthesized Li₂MnO₃, commercialized LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O₂ (ECOPRO), m-HMLO, and c-HMLO.



Figure S2. FESEM images of m-HMLO (a) and c-HMLO (b).



Figure S3. Field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) images of as-synthesized Li_2MnO_3 at low magnification (a) and high magnification (b).



Figure S4. Field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM) images of commercialized $LiNi_{1/3}Co_{1/3}Mn_{1/3}O_2$ at low magnification (a) and high magnification (b).



Figure S5. (a, c) FESEM images of m-HMLO at different two locations; (b, d) EDS patterns with the corresponding composition table.



Figure S6. (a, c) FESEM images of c-HMLO at different two locations; (b, d) EDS patterns with the corresponding composition table.



Figure S7. (a, b) STEM images of as-prepared m-HMLO with EDS elemental mapping of Mn (green), O (cyan), Ni (purple), and Co (red) in two different regions. (c) STEM image of asprepared c-HMLO with EDS elemental mapping of Mn (green), O (red), Ni (yellow), and Co (cyan).



Figure S8. (a) Galvanostatic charge-discharge profiles of as-synthesized Li_2MnO_3 , recorded in constant-current (CC) charging mode at a constant specific current of 13 mA g⁻¹, in the voltage range between 2.0 and 4.8 V *vs*. Li/Li⁺ at 1st, 2nd, and 20th cycles. (b) Discharge (filled black circles) cyclic performance of as-synthesized Li_2MnO_3 .



Figure S9. (a) Galvanostatic charge-discharge profiles of commercialized $\text{LiNi}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$ (NCM111) recorded in constant-current (CC) charging mode at a constant specific current of 25 mA g⁻¹, in the voltage range between 2.0 and 4.8 V *vs*. Li/Li⁺ at 1st, 2nd, and 20th cycles. (b) Discharge (filled black circles) cyclic performance of commercialized $\text{LiNi}_{1/3}\text{Co}_{1/3}\text{Mn}_{1/3}\text{O}_2$.

Table S1. Comparison of structural parameters of as-prepared c-HMLO obtained from Rietveld refinement and the atomic model of $Li_{1.67}Ni_{0.11}Co_{0.11}Mn_{0.67}$ Cu_{0.11}O_{2.67}, obtained by density functional theory (DFT) calculation.

	<i>a</i> [Å]	<i>b</i> [Å]	<i>c</i> [Å]	β[°]
Rietveld	4.9369	17.0907	5.0268	109.29
DFT	5.0076	17.3247	5.0867	109.42



Figure S10. Total energy predicted by the cluster expansion method (CEM) *vs.* total energy calculated by density functional theory (DFT) for the same configuration (average root mean square error: 0.102 %).



Figure S11. (a) Migration barriers of Ni ions with respect to the inverse Li content *x* in Li_{1-*x*}NiO₂ from the initial TM layer to the final Li layer *via* the middle tetrahedral site (inset). (b) PDOS for Ni d-orbital at the initial octahedral site (black solid line) and middle tetrahedral site (red dashed line) at Li_{0.75}NiO₂, where the Fermi level is 0.0 eV (blue dashed line). (c) Corresponding CFSDs of Ni d-orbitals at the initial octahedral site (upper) and middle tetrahedral site (lower) for Li_{0.75}NiO₂.