

Figure S1. The optimized geometry of the complex in which indigo is aligned parallel to the tube axis

Functional	$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{stab}}$ of dyad (kcal/mol)	$\Delta \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{stab}}$ of triad (kcal/mol)
B97-D	-28.27	-56.75
B3LYP-GD2	-27.85	-55.94
M062X	-18.36	-37.03
CAM-B3LYP	4.17	8.39
B3LYP	11.85	23.71

Table S1. Stabilization energy of dyad and triad using different functional at 6-31G** level

Table S2. The calculated values of absorption wavelengths, corresponding oscillator strengths, prominent molecular orbitals involved and the respective percentage contribution for Indigo, CNT and their complexes. The isodensity plot of molecular orbitals is also shown.



Indigo

λ _{max}	Oscillator	Molecular	Contribution
(nm)	Strength	orbitals	(in %)
		involved	
614	0.22	номо →	99
		LUMO	
384	0.12	номо-4 →	89
		LUMO	





CNT



LUMO



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
		HOMO-1	51
874	0.68	→ lumo	
		НОМО	46
		→ LUMO+1	



HOMO-1



номо



LUMO+2



LUMO+3



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
548	0.21	HOMO-2	37
		→ LUMO+3	
429	0.07	HOMO-8	31
		→ LUMO+2	





λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
429	0.07	HOMO -2	36
		→ LUMO+14	
399	1.17	HOMO-9	22
		→ LUMO+4	

LUMO+14

LUMO+4



HOMO-2



HOMO-9

Dyad



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
		HOMO-1	25
884	0.58	→lumo	
		номо	37
		→ LUMO+2	



LUMO+2



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
805	0.06	HOMO -2	69
		→ LUMO+2	
549	0.11	номо	54
		→ LUMO+18	







λ_{max}	Oscillator	Molecular	Contribution
(nm)	Strength	orbitals	(in %)
		involved	
549	0.19	HOMO-3	35
		→LUMO+4	
539	0.10	HOMO -3	31
		→ LUMO+5	
401	0.07	HOMO -3	62
		→ LUMO+19	



LUMO+4



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals	Contribution (in %)
		involved	
		HOMO-13	17
399.7	0.83	→LUMO+4	
		HOMO-11	19
		→LUMO+5	



HOMO-13



LUMO+5

Triad



LUMO+1







LUMO+2







λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
887	0.47	номо	30
		→ LUMO+3	
585	0.05	HOMO-11	74
		→ lumo	

λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
551	0.15	HOMO-4	30
		→LUMO+5	
549	0.12	HOMO-13	74
		→LUMO+2	









λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
542	0.10	HOMO-4	42
		→ LUMO+6	
539	0.07	HOMO-13	32
		→LUMO+2	





HOMO-13

0000



LUMO+2



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
		HOMO-14	30
536	0.06	→ LUMO+2	
		HOMO-9	44
		→LUMO+3	

LUMO+3

HOMO-9



λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals involved	Contribution (in %)
428	0.05	HOMO-5	48
		→ LUMO+14	
404	0.08	HOMO-4	56
		→LUMO+20	





λ _{max} (nm)	Oscillator Strength	Molecular orbitals	Contribution (in %)
		involved	
		HOMO-17	12
400.4	0.42	→ LUMO+5	
		HOMO-13	16
		→ LUMO+6	

LUMO+5

