Supporting Information

Hybridization and De-hybridization between Locally-excited (LE) state and Charge-transfer (CT) state: A Combined Experimental and Theoretical Study

Yu Gao, Shitong Zhang, Yuyu Pan, Liang Yao, Haichao Liu, Yacheng Guo, Qiang Gu, Bing Yang* and Yuguang Ma

Contents

- **SI-1:Functional Selection**
- SI-2: Computational methodology
- SI-3: Optimized geometry and UV wavelengths
- SI-4: NTOs and Centroids of charges of S1 and S2 states of cyano-substituend

molecules compared with NTOs of S1 of cyano-free molecules

- SI-5: The excitation energy diagram of S1-S5 states of all the molecules
- SI-6: Transition density matrix color-filled map and contents of LE and CT of S1

and S2 states of cyano-substituent molecules

SI-1: Functional Selection

Three DFT functional (B3lyp, M062x and ω b97xd) are used to choose the most suitable functional. B3lyp, which has a well-known problem, is obviously underestimated CT state enenrgy. Compared the simulation data with the emitting wavelengths of TPMCN and TPBMCN, M062x is the closest to the Experimental data. So we choose M062x for the following calculation. The NTOs and emiting wavelengths of H₁V₂ in acetonitrile were calculated by using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) under M062x functional and 6-31G(d,p) basis set.

Table S1 Emiting wavelengths of TPMCN and TPBMCN in Experiment and various TD-DFT(B3lyp, M062x and ωb97xd)

	mologulo	TD-B3lyp	TD-M062x	TD-ωb97xd	Experiment		
	molecule	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)	(nm)		
TPMCN	Absorption	482	341	363	360		
	Emission	654	444	487	440		
TPBMCN	Absorption	461	331	365	364		
	Emission	615	406	472	415		



Figure S1 NTOs on S0-geometry and calculated emiting wavelengths of S1 and S2 states of H1V2 in acetonitrile

SI-2: Computational methodology

Solvatochromic effect

The influence of solvent environment on the optical property of our compounds can be understood using the Lippert-Mataga equation, a model that describes the interactions between the solvent and the dipole moment of solute:

$$hc(v_{\rm a} - v_{\rm f}) = hc(v_{\rm a}^0 - v_{\rm f}^0) - \frac{2(\mu_e - \mu_g)^2}{a^3} f(\varepsilon, n)$$

where *f* is the orientational polarizability of the solvent, $v_a^0 - v_f^0$ corresponds to the Stokes shifts when *f* is zero, μ_e is the excited state dipole moment, μ_g is the ground-state dipole moment; *a* is the solvent cavity (Onsager) radius, derived from the Avogadro number (N), molecular weight (M), and density (d=1.0 g/cm³); ε and n are the solvent dielectric and the solvent refractive index, respectively; *f*(ε ,n) and *a* can be calculated respectively as follows:

$$f(\varepsilon,n) = \frac{\varepsilon - 1}{2\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{n^2 - 1}{2n^2 + 1}, \ a = (3M / 4N\pi d)^{1/3}$$

Centroids of charge^{SI-1}

The points in spaces where an increment or a depletion of the density upon absorption is produced is defined as:

In the equations above, $\rho_{EX}(r)$, $\rho_{GS}(r)$ are the electronic densities associated to the ground and excited states. Therefore two functions (x₊, y₊, z₊), (x₋, y₋, z₋), defining the barycenters of $\rho_+(r)$ and $\rho_-(r)$ is defined as:

$$(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}) = \frac{\int r\rho_{1}(\mathbf{r})dr}{\int \rho_{1}(\mathbf{r})dr}$$

$$(x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}) = \frac{\int r\rho_{1}(\mathbf{r})dr}{\int \rho_{1}(\mathbf{r})dr}$$
(2)

Also we can get the root-mean-square deviations along the three axes using the equations as below (σ_{aj} , j=x, y, z; a= + or -):

$$\sigma_{a,j} = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i} \rho_a(r_i)(j_i - j_a)^2}{\sum_{i} \rho_a(r_i)}}$$
(3)

According all the equations above, the centroids of charge can be defined as:

$$C_{+}(r) = A_{+}e\left(-\frac{(x-x_{+})^{2}}{2\sigma_{+x}^{2}} - \frac{(y-y_{+})^{2}}{2\sigma_{+y}^{2}} - \frac{(z-z_{+})^{2}}{2\sigma_{+z}^{2}}\right)$$
$$C_{-}(r) = A_{-}e\left(-\frac{(x-x_{-})^{2}}{2\sigma_{-x}^{2}} - \frac{(y-y_{-})^{2}}{2\sigma_{-y}^{2}} - \frac{(z-z_{-})^{2}}{2\sigma_{-z}^{2}}\right)$$
(4)

In Equation 4, A_+ and A_- are the normalized factors that ensure the integrated charge on the centroid to be equal to the corresponding density change integrated in the whole space.

SI-3: Optimized geometry and UV wavelengths

We divide 18 molecules into two groups: cyano-free, which named in lowercase; cyano-substituted, which named in uppercase. All the molecules are named according to the number of benzene on the backbone and the branch. For example, h_1v_3 means cyano-free series, horizontal backbone one benzene ring and vertical branch three benzene rings.

LE\CT	1 phenyl	2 phenyl	3 phenyl
1 phenyl	م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م م	**** **** ***** ***** ***** **** **** ****	$h_1 V_3$
2 phenyl	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & $	h ₂ v ₂	$h_2 v_3$
3 phenyl	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$		م م م م م م م م م م م م م م

(a)



(b)

Figure S2. Optimized geometries of (a) cyano-free molecules and (b) cyano-substituted molecules.



Figure S3. Solvent effect of UV spectra of h_2v_1 , H_1V_1 and H_2V_1

SI-4: NTOs and Centroids of charges of S1 and S2 states of cyano-substituend molecules compared with NTOs of S1 of cyano-free molecules and corresponding calculation data.





Figure S4. Main transition processes of NTOs of all the 18 molecules on S0-geometry following with the oscillator strengths f (under the arrows) and the transition probabilities (above the arrows). Only S1 and S2 states are shown for our interest. From NTO analysis we can see that the S1 and S2 states of cyano-substituted molecules have the same wavefunction shapes on main backbone but different phase on the branch except for H_2V_2 and H_3V_3 molecules (phase reversion phonomenon occurs on the main backbone, which we guess is caused by the program calculation), and the sum of oscillator strengths of S1 and S2 states of cyano-substituted molecules almost equal to that of S1 states of corresponding cyano-free molecules.

Hole-particle distribution























Figure S5. hole-particle distributions of S1 and S2 states of cyano-substituted molecules on S0geometry. Green areas and blue areas refer to the zones where the electron density is partily increased and decreased respectively.

Molecule structure	Lable	Excited state	Overlap integral	Distance of CT(Å)
0,00	ЦV	\mathbf{S}_1	0.869	2.233
6 Crack	11 1 v 1	S_2	0.979	0.808
90000	нν	\mathbf{S}_1	0.947	1.299
0.000	$11_2 \mathbf{v}_1$	S_2	0.938	1.379
20002	ЦV	\mathbf{S}_1	0.958	1.053
6	$\mathbf{\Pi}_{3}\mathbf{v}_{1}$	S_2	0.940	1.279
92 <u>9</u> 9	цV	\mathbf{S}_1	0.886	2.739
07 - 0 K	$11_1 \mathbf{v}_2$	S_2	0.972	1.145
alano	ЦV	\mathbf{S}_1	0.985	0.892
6,000	$\Pi_2 \mathbf{v}_2$	S_2	0.896	2.642
Second C	uv	\mathbf{S}_1	0.997	0.546
φ0 φ	113 v 2	S_2	0.903	2.486
Sec.E	uv	\mathbf{S}_1	0.963	1.634
	11] v 3	S_2	0.934	2.593
a de la	11 17	\mathbf{S}_1	0.990	0.531
30005	11 ₂ v ₃	S_2	0.927	3.209
ç ç	ЦΛ	\mathbf{S}_1	0.998	0.465
ర్థంరంగ్గ	11 ₃ V ₃	S_2	0.947	2.677

Table S2. Calculated overlap integral and distance of CT of S1 and S2 states of cyano-subsituted molecules on S0-geometry. The smaller overlap integral and larger distance of CT, the more character of CT the state has.

SI-5: The excitation energy diagram of S1-S5 states



Figure S6. Excitation energies of first five singlet states of all the 18 molecules on S0-geometry. Dark lines and red lines represent the cynao-free and cynao-substituent molecules respectively.



SI-6: Transition density matrix color-filled map of S1 and S2 states of cyanosubstituted molecules and corresponding calculation data.





(b)



(c)

Figure S7. transition density matrix color-filled map (a) of S1 and S2 states of cyanosubstituted molecules on S0-geometry. Labels in abscissa and ordinate correspond to indices of heavy atoms, for clarity, the correspondence between labels and atoms is marked on the plot (b) by taking H_1V_1 molecules as an example. We order the atoms by labeling the main backbone first and then the branch. Since hydrogen atoms usually have little contribution to the transitions we are interested in, they are usually ignored by default. According to this, we divide the transition density marix colorfilled map into LE and CT areas (c), and evaluate the contents of them by using the equations below:

$$R_{LE} = \frac{M_{LE}}{M_{LE} + M_{CT}}$$
$$R_{CT} = \frac{M_{CT}}{M_{LE} + M_{CT}}$$

In equations, R_{LE} , R_{CT} represent the ratios of LE and CT in states, M_{LE} , M_{CT} represent the sum of the matrix elements in LE and CT areas respectively.

Table S3. Contents of LE and CT of S_1 and S_2 states of cyano-substituted molecules on S0geometry by using the equations above.

	$\mathrm{H_{1}V_{1}}$		H_1V_1 H_2V_1		$\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{V}_1$		H_1V_2		H_2V_2		$\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{V}_2$		H_1V_3		$\mathrm{H}_2\mathrm{V}_3$		$\mathrm{H}_3\mathrm{V}_3$	
	S_1	S_2	S_1	S_2	S_1	S_2	S_1	S ₂	S_1	S_2	S_1	S_2	S_1	S_2	S_1	S_2	S_1	S ₂
LE%	28.7	86.7	61.8	58.5	64.8	57.0	33.8	78.8	84.0	30.8	91.0	26.4	66.6	47.4	94.9	18.8	95.9	26.9
CT%	71.3	13.3	38.2	41.5	35.2	43.0	66.2	21.2	16.0	69.2	9.0	73.6	33.4	52.6	5.1	81.2	4.1	73.1

[SI-1] Le Bahers T, Adamo C, Ciofini I. Journal of Chemical Theory and

Computation, 2011, 7(8): 2498-2506.