Supplementary Information

Control of morphology and defect density in ZnO for improved dye sensitized solar cells

Seul Ah Kim,^{a‡} Muhammad Awais Abbas,^{b‡} Lanlee Lee,^a Byungwuk Kang,^a Hahkjoon Kim^c and Jin Ho Bang^{abd*}

^aDepartment of Bionano Technology, Hanyang University, 55 Hanyangdaehak-ro, Sangnok-gu, Ansan, Gyeonggi-do 15588, Republic of Korea
^bDepartment of Advanced Materials Engineering Department of Bionanotechnology, Hanyang University, Ansan, Republic of Korea
^cDepartment of Chemistry, Duksung Women's University, Seoul 01369, Republic of Korea
^dDepartment of Chemistry and Applied Chemistry, Hanyang University, Ansan, Republic of Korea

AUTHOR INFORMATION

[‡]Both authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding Author

* jbang@hanyang.ac.kr



Fig. S1 Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) of Zn-complex.



Fig. S2 Cross-sectional images of nanodisk-ZnO and nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl₄ films, which clearly shows improved connection between ZnO particles after TiCl₄ treatment. (A-B) nanodisk-ZnO and (C-D) nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl₄.



Fig. S3 N₂ adsorption/desorption isotherms of the TiCl₄-treated nanodisk-ZnO. The open symbols in the isotherms represent the adsorption curves, and the solid symbols represent the desorption curves. The inset of (B) provides the pore size distribution of nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl₄.

Table S1. Summary of BET surface area of various zinc oxides used in current work. It also show	VS
the gain in the electrode surface area as a result of TiCl4 treatment. ^a	

Parameter	Comm-ZnO	nanodisk-ZnO	nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl4
BET area (m^2/g)	34.39	24.75	23.81
Avg. Pore diameter (nm)	34.48	15.11	15.03
TiO2 mass gain (wt %)			60
Gain in Electrode Area (%)			54

^{*a*}After the TiCl₄ treatment, even though gravimetric surface area was decreased; however, additional TiO₂ was deposited on the nanodisk-ZnO surface. This increased the packing density of the electrode film and led to the overall increase in electrode area.



Fig. S4 Photoluminescence decay of N719 dye adsorbed onto three different mesoporous films. Solid black lines in each curve shows fitted lines. Faster decay on nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl4 indicates faster electron transfer to TiCl4-treated nanodisk-ZnO as compared to other two ZnO films.

Table S2. Photoluminescence lifetime pa	arameters of N719	dye used in curren	nt work on	glass slide
and adsorbed onto various mesoporous fi	ilms. ^a			

Sample	t 1 (%)	τ2 (%)	$\tau_{avg.}(ns)$	kc ^b
N719 on Glass	4.04 (26)	37.68 (74)	28.78	
comm-ZnO	2.19 (58)	22.40 (42)	10.59	5.97×10^7
nanodisk-ZnO	2.36 (58)	23.45 (42)	11.17	5.48×10^7
nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl4	0.96 (72)	7.63 (28)	2.83	3.19×10^8

^{*a*}The PL decay curves were fitted with the following equation: $f(t) = A_1 e^{-k\tau_1} + A_2 e^{-k\tau_2}$. ^{*b*}Calculated using the following equation: $k_c = 1/\tau_{ZnO} - 1/\tau_{Glass}$.



Fig. S5 Equivalent circuit used for fitting the EIS data. R_S is the series resistance, R_{CE} and C_{CE} are the charge transfer resistance and the chemical capacitance at the counter electrode/electrolyte interface, R_{tr} (= r_{tr} .L) is the transport resistance through mesoporous TiO₂ network, R_r (= r_r .L) and C_{μ} (= c_{μ} .L) are the recombination resistance and the chemical capacitance at the TiO₂/dye/electrolyte interface.^{1,2}



Fig. S6 Nyquist plots of three different solar cells studied in current work. (A-B) comm-ZnO, (C-D) nanodisk-ZnO, and (E-F) nanodisk-ZnO-TiCl₄. Figures (B), (D), and (F) are zoom in of the Nyquist plots on left side of the respective figures to show the linear region used to estimate the transport resistance.



Fig. S7 (A) Chemical capacitance in equivalent conduction band position to calculate the shift in the V_F for comparison of various parameters extracted from EIS. (B) Electron diffusion coefficient and (C) small perturbation diffusion length as calculated from EIS.



Fig. S8 (A) Open circuit voltage decay (OCVD) data of various ZnO-based DSSC studied in the current work. (B) Comparison of electron lifetimes measured by OCVD and EIS measurements.

References

- 1. F. Fabregat-Santiago, J. Bisquert, G. Garcia-Belmonte, G. Boschloo and A. Hagfeldt, *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, 2005, **87**, 117-131.
- 2. V. González-Pedro, X. Xu, I. Mora-Seró and J. Bisquert, ACS Nano, 2010, 4, 5783-5790.