Phase Engineering of MoS₂ through GaN/AIN Substrate

Coupling and Electron Doping

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

S1. Atomic configurations of different T Phases:



Fig. S1 (Color online): Atomic configurations of (a) 1T Phase; (b) 1T' Phase; (c) 1T' Phase of MoS₂.

S2. Conformation of 1T' and 1T'' on top of GaN/AIN:

In order to conform with the 2×2 supercell of GaN (AlN) slabs, 2×2 supercell of 2H-MoS₂ and 1T-MoS₂, 1×2 super cell of 1T'-MoS₂ and 1×1 supercell of 1T''-MoS₂ is required. The corresponding atomic configurations are demonstrated by Fig. S2 below:



Fig. S 2 (Color online): Atomic configurations of $\frac{1T'}{Ga_{AB}}, \frac{1T''}{Ga_{AB}}, \frac{1T''}{Al_{AB}}, \frac{1T''}{Al_{AB}}$

The equilibrium lattice parameters for different MoS_2 phases and [0001] facets of GaN and AlN are given in Table S1. The parameter a_x and a_y indicates the smallest periodic distance in x and y directions.

Phase	2H	1T	1T'	1T''	GaN	AlN
$a_x(\text{\AA})$	3.18	3.19	3.18	6.44	3.21	3.13
	$(3.18^{1,2})$	$(3.19^{1,2})$	$(3.18^{1,2})$	$(6.44^{1,2})$	(3.22^3)	(3.13^3)
a_y (Å)	3.18	3.19	6.54	6.44	3.21	3.13
-	$(3.18^{1,2})$	$(3.19^{1,2})$	$(6.55^{1,2})$	$(6.44^{1,2})$	(3.22^3)	(3.13^3)

Table S1: Lattice parameters of different MoS₂ phases and [0001] facet of GaN (AlN) in equilibrium.

S3. Stability of GaN/AlN substrate:

The relaxed structures of clean-surface GaN and AlN substrates are shown in Fig. S3. Our DFT calculations show that both GaN and AlN surfaces will be stable without external pertubation.



Fig. S3 (Color online): Relaxed structures of clean-surface GaN and AlN substrates.

S4. Electron localization functions of selected configurations:



Fig. S4 (Color online): Representative electron localization function (ELF) plots for MoS₂ phases on (a) Ga-terminated and N-terminated c-plane GaN substrates, and (b) Al-terminated and N-terminated c-plane AlN substrates. For each phase, the subfigure on the left shows the 3D isosurfaces

while the right subfigure illustrate the 2D slices with respect to top atom of GaN/AlN.

Stacking	Ga termination (eV)				N termination (eV)			
	2H	1T	1T'	1T"	2H	1T	1T'	1T"
T_{AB}	-96.14	-95.55	-96.64	-96.66	-95.97	-95.14	-95.45	-95.44
T_{AC}	-96.28	-95.87	-96.31	-96.29	-95.95	-95.20	-95.42	-95.40
T_{BA}	-96.58	-96.28	-96.65	-96.67	-95.96	-95.38	-95.77	-95.77
T_{BC}	-96.22	-95.92	-96.34	-96.34	-95.95	-95.38	-95.52	-95.49
T_{CA}	-96.53	-96.25	-96.63	-96.66	-95.94	-95.40	-95.79	-95.78
T _{CB}	-96.18	-95.78	-96.65	-96.67	-95.97	-95.27	-95.43	-95.44

S5. Calculated total energies of different configurations:

 $\label{eq:table_state} \textbf{Table S2:} Calculated total energies normalized by number of unit cell for MoS_2/GaN heterostructures.$

Stacking	Al termination (eV)				N termination (eV)			
	2H	1T	1T'	1T"	2Н	1T	1T'	1T"
T_{AB}	-111.42	-110.91	-112.17	-112.19	-111.31	-110.61	-110.78	-110.79
T_{AC}	-111.76	-111.38	-111.79	-111.80	-111.14	-110.50	-110.62	-110.75
T_{BA}	-112.13	-111.70	-112.18	-112.18	-111.13	-110.48	-110.55	-110.70
T_{BC}	-111.73	-111.51	-111.78	-111.78	-111.13	-110.60	-110.82	-110.84
T_{CA}	-112.09	-111.76	-112.17	-112.18	-111.13	-110.47	-110.69	-110.78
T_{CB}	-111.46	-111.03	-112.18	-112.19	-111.15	-110.37	-110.52	-110.61

Tab. S 3: Calculated total energies normalized by number of unit cell for MoS₂/AlN heterostructures.

S6. Strain influence of calculated energetics

Since 1T' and 1T'' phases were made to conform to 2H-MoS₂, their lattices were strained. This straining leads to strain energies, which are calculated to be (per supercell) 0.096 eV and 0.064 for 1T' and 1T'' phases respectively. Suppose that the above strain energies are relieved because of the incommensurate interface, one would expect (*a*) for MoS₂ on a metal-terminated GaN or AlN, the $2H\rightarrow 1T'/1T''$ transition will be slightly enhanced due to the lowering of energy states in 1T'/1T'' (see Fig. 2); (*b*) for MoS₂ on a N-terminated GaN or AlN, the relative phase stability will not be altered as the magnitude of strain energy is smaller than the energy gap between 2H and 1T' (or 1T'') (being 0.15~0.55 eV and 0.29~0.63 eV per supercell respectively, see Fig. 2, Tab. S2 and Tab. S3). Thus the presence of strain will not change the general picture of phase stability and transition.

S7. Calculated DOS for 2H/GaAB and 2H/NAB for MoS2 on top of GaN

The electronic properties are certainly modified by the underlying GaN (AlN) substrate. Such modification is illustrated below in Fig. S5, where we presented (as representatives) the DOS plots of $1T'/Ga_{AB}$, $1T''/Ga_{AB}$, $2H/N_{AB}$ (for MoS₂ on GaN), $1T'/Al_{AB}$, $1T''/Al_{AB}$, $2H/N_{AB}$ (for MoS₂ on GaN) and the DOS plot of a free-standing 2H-MoS₂, $1T'-MoS_2$ and $1T''-MoS_2$.



Fig. S5: (a) DOS of free standing 2H-MoS₂ monolayers; (b) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T'/Ga_{AB}$ (GaN Substrate); (c) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T''/Ga_{AB}$ (GaN Substrate); (d) Partial DOS and total DOS for $2H/N_{AB}$ (GaN Substrate); (e) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T'/Al_{AB}$ (AlN Substrate); (f) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T''/Al_{AB}$ (AlN Substrate); (g) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T''/Al_{AB}$ (AlN Substrate); (g) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T''/Al_{AB}$ (AlN Substrate); (g) Partial DOS and total DOS for $1T''/Al_{AB}$ (AlN Substrate); (g) Partial DOS for $1T''/Al_{AB}$ (

DOS for 2H/NAB (AlN Substrate).



S8. Work of adhesion for different stacking conditions.

Fig. S6 (Color online): Work of adhesion for all stacking sequences at different surface termination (a) Ga termination; (b) N termination; (c) Al termination; (d) N termination.



S9. Minimum reaction path of phase transitions.

Fig. S7 (Color online): Minimum reaction paths for (a) $2H/Ga_{AB}$ (GaN) $\rightarrow 1T''/Ga_{AB}$ (GaN); (b) $2H/N_{AB}$ (GaN) $\rightarrow 1T''/N_{AB}$ (GaN); (c) $2H/Al_{AB}$ (AlN) $\rightarrow 1T''/Al_{AB}$ (AlN); (d) $2H/N_{AB}$ (AlN) $\rightarrow 1T''/N_{AB}$ (AlN).



S10. Energetic profile with electron injection within other Metal termination.

Fig. S8 (Color online): Charge engineering of phase stabilities of MoS_2 growing at (a) Ga_{AB} ; (b) Ga_{CB} ; (c) P/Al_{AB} ; (d) P/N_{AB} .



S11. Energetic profile with electron injection of GaN with Nitrogen termination.

Fig. S9 Charge engineering of phase stabilities of MoS₂ growing on GaN at (a) N_{AB};

(b) N_{AC} ; (c) N_{BA} ; (d) N_{BC} ; (e) N_{CA} ; (f) N_{CB} .

S12. Energetic profile with electron injection of AlN with Nitrogen termination.



Fig. S10 Charge engineering of phase stabilities of MoS_2 growing on AlN at (a) N_{AB} ; (b) N_{AC} ; (c) N_{BA} ; (d) N_{BC} ; (e) N_{CA} ; (f) N_{CB} .

Reference:

- 1. S. S. Chou, N. Sai, P. Lu, E. N. Coker, S. Liu, K. Artyushkova, T. S. Luk, B. Kaehr and C. J. Brinker, *Nature Communications*, 2015, 6, 8311.
- 2. M. Kan, J. Y. Wang, X. W. Li, S. H. Zhang, Y. W. Li, Y. Kawazoe, Q. Sun and P. Jena, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, 118, 1515-1522.
- 3. L. Gordon, J. L. Lyons, A. Janotti and C. G. Van de Walle, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2014, 89, 085204.