# Supporting Information: Interfacial charge separation and photovoltaic efficiency in Fe(II)-

# carbene sensitized solar cells

Mariachiara Pastore<sup>\*a</sup>, Thibaut Duchanois,<sup>b</sup> Li Liu,<sup>c</sup> Antonio Monari,<sup>a</sup> Xavier Assfeld,<sup>a</sup> Stefan Haacke,<sup>c</sup> Philippe C. Gros<sup>\*b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Université de Lorraine & CNRS, SRSMC, TMS, , Boulevard des Aiguillettes, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.

<sup>b</sup> Université de Lorraine & CNRS, SRSMC, HecRIn, Boulevard des Aiguillettes, 54506 Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, France.

<sup>c</sup> Université de Strasbourg & CNRS, IPCMS & Labex NIE, Rue du Loess, 67034 Strasbourg Cedex, France.

### Synthesis of heteroleptic complexes

The access to complexes C5, C6 and C7 required the synthesis of precursors L1, L2, L3 and L4.  $L1^1$  and  $L2^2$  were prepared following reported procedures. The first step towards L3 and L4 was the Ullmann synthesis of arylimidazoles  $1^3$  and  $2.^4$ 

### Synthesis of ligands



### Synthesis of ligand L3

A mixture of 2,6-dibromopyridine-4-carboxylic acid (0.5 g, 1.7 mmol) and **1** (1 mL, 12.4 mmol) was stirred at 150 °C under Argon overnight. After cooling, Et<sub>2</sub>O (5 mL) was added and the resultant precipitate was filtered. The crude product was dissolved in a minimum amount of water and a saturated solution of KPF<sub>6</sub> in water (10 mL) was added. The solution was finally acidified to pH=2 using diluted HNO<sub>3</sub>. Filtered, washing with water and drying under vacuum afforded **L2** (0.95g, 65%) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta = 9.95$  (s, 2 H), 8.62 (s, 2H), 8.56 (s, 2H), 8.02 (s, 2H), 7.74 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 7.23 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 4H), 3.92 (s, 6H) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>23</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub> m/z = 234.5870 [M - 2 PF6]<sup>2+</sup>. Found: 234.5910.

### Synthesis of ligand L4

The procedure used for L3 was repeated using 2,6-dibromopyridine-4-carboxylic acid (0.25 g, 0.85 mmol) and 2 (0.53 g, 1.7 mmol) affording L4 as a white powder (0.61 g, 45%). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  = 10.8 (s, 2 H), 9.1 (s, 2H), 8.8 (s, 2H), 8.5 (s, 2H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 7.4 (m, 8H), 7.19 (m, 16H) ppm. HRMS (ESI) calcd pour C<sub>48</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub> m/z = 371,6499 [M – 2 PF6]<sup>2+</sup>. Found: 371,6526.

### Synthesis of heteroleptic complexes C5, C6 and C7

### Synthesis of C5

L1 (92 mg, 0.17 mmol), L2 (100 mg, 0.17 mmol) and anhydrous  $FeCl_2$  (22 mg, 0.17 mmol) were dissolved in anhydrous DMF (3 mL), *t*BuOK (130 mg, 1 mmol) was then added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 20°C. A saturated solution of KPF<sub>6</sub> in water (10 mL) was then added. The solution was finally acidified to pH=2 using diluted HNO<sub>3</sub>. The dark red precipitate was collected by filtration and purified by column chromatography on silicagel using a mixture of Acetone/water/ KNO<sub>3 (sat)</sub> = 10/3/1. The dark orange fraction was collected

and acidified to pH=2 using diluted HNO<sub>3</sub> leading to a dark red solution. A saturated solution of KPF<sub>6</sub> in water (10 mL) was then added. The acetone was evaporated and after filtration, washing with water and drying under vacuum C5 was obtained as a dark red solid (31 mg, 20 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  = 8.29 (s, 2H), 8.26 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.18 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 8.04 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.01 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 2.55 (s, 6H), 2.50 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C RMN (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta$  = 199.5, 199.3, 164.5, 154.7, 153.6, 139.2, 138.8, 126.7, 126.6, 116.7, 116.4, 105.6, 104.5, 34.6, 34.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>26</sub>FeN<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub> m/z = 289.0789 [M – 2 PF6]<sup>2+</sup>. Found: 289.0793.

### Synthesis of C6

The procedure used for **C5** was repeated using **L1** (60 mg, 0.13 mmol), **L3** (100 mg, 0.13 mmol), FeCl<sub>2</sub> (17 mg, 0.13 mmol) and *t*BuOK (130 mg, 1 mmol) affording **C6** as a dark red solid (25 mg, 15 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Mz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta = 8.44$  (s, 2H), 8.31 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 7.84 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 7.05 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 4H), 6.25 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 3.76 (s, 6H), 2.6 (s, 6H) ppm. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>34</sub>FeN<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub> m/z = 381.1052 [M - 2 PF6]<sup>2+</sup> Found: 381.1069.

### Synthesis of C7

The procedure used for **C5** was repeated using **L1** (81 mg, 0.15 mmol), **L4** (150 mg, 0.15 mmol) FeCl<sub>2</sub> (20 mg, 0.15 mmol) and *t*BuOK (130 mg, 1 mmol) affording **C6** as a dark red solid (37 mg, 16 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400Mz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN): 8.40 (s, 2H), 8.30 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (t, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.9 Hz, 8H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 4H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 8H), 7.06 (d, J = 8 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 2H), 6.47 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 6.15 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 4H), 2.57 (s, 6H) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C RMN (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>CN):  $\delta = 199.5$ , 199.3, 164.5, 154.7, 153.6, 139.2, 138.8, 126.7, 126.6, 116.7, 116.4, 105.6, 104.5, 34.6, 34.5 ppm. HRMS (ESI) Calcd for C<sub>61</sub>H<sub>48</sub>FeN<sub>12</sub>O<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>F<sub>12</sub> m/z = 518.1682 [M - 2 PF6]<sup>2+</sup>. Found: 518.1727.

## NMR spectra of complexes





	In acetonitrile solution			Sensitized TiO <sub>2</sub>			
Dye	$\lambda_{abs-max}$	3	$E_{ox}(Fe^{III}/Fe^{II})^{b}(V)$	$E_{redl}(V)$	$\Delta E (eV)^{c}$	$\lambda_{abs-max}$	Absorbance
	(nm) <sup>a</sup>	(M <sup>-1</sup> .cm <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>				(nm)	(a.u.)
	302	19000		1 25			
C2	394	7000	0.85 (rev)	(irrev) 2.20	2.20	509	1.40
	520	16200					
	325	42200		1 23			
C4	370	5000	1.13 (rev)	(irrev)	2.36	494	0.67
	501	12800					
	285	18673	0.82	-1.35 (irrev) 2.17	2.17	484	1.30
C5	394	7625					
	433	7050					
	506	12650					
	298	25700					
C6	396	10100	0.81	-1.33 (irrev) 2.14	2 14	481	1 59
CU	428	8540			-01 1	1.59	
	509	17700					
C7	296	40600	0.82	-1.34	2.16	478	0.77
	395	6115					
	431	5075	0.02				0.77
	509	9500					

### **TABLE S1. Optical and electrochemical properties**

<sup>a</sup> Measured at 25 °C. <sup>b</sup> First oxidation potential. Potentials are quoted vs SCE. Under these conditions,  $E_{1/2 \text{ (Fc+/Fc)}} = 0.39 \text{V/S.C.E.}$  Recorded in using  $Bu_4 \text{N}^+\text{PF}_6^-(0.1\text{M})$  as supporting electrolyte at 100 mV. s<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>c</sup> Electrochemical band gap ( $\Delta E = E_{ax} - E_{redI}$ )



**Figure S1.** GGA optimized ground state molecular structures of the C2, C4, C2(D) and C5 grafted on the anatase  $(TiO_2)_{82}$  slab

System	TiO <sub>2</sub> VB	TiO <sub>2</sub> CB	Dye's HOMO	Dye's LUMO	Dye's LUMO+1
$C2@TiO_2$	-7.52	-3.46	-5.69	-2.62	-2.25
$C4@TiO_2$	-7.50	-3.47	-5.95	-2.71	-2.32
$C2(D)$ ( <i>a</i> ) $TiO_2$	-7.48	-3.44	-5.19	-2.12	-1.78
$C5@TiO_2$	-7.44	-3.50	-5.55	-2.16	-1.87

Table S2. Relevant diabatic energy levels (in eV) of the  $TiO_2$  slab and the dye molecules.



**Figure S2.** Probability distribution  $\Gamma(\varepsilon_k)$ , left scale, solid line, (eV); and diabatic Density of States (DOS, right scale, dashed lines eV<sup>-1</sup>) for electron injection from the LUMO+1 of C2 and C4 to the TiO<sub>2</sub>. The dye energy levels are also reported as vertical lines.



Figure S3. Calculated electronic coupling V (in eV) for the LUMO+1 of C2 (black), LUMO of C2(D) (grey) and LUMO of C5 (red) with the CB states of the TiO<sub>2</sub> (left axis) ; the diabatic TiO<sub>2</sub> DOS (states/eV) is also reported (right axis, dashed lines).



Figure S4. Calculated electronic coupling V (in eV) for the HOMO of C2 (black) and C5 (red) with the VB and CB states of the TiO<sub>2</sub> (left axis); the diabatic TiO<sub>2</sub> DOS (states/eV) is also reported (right axis, dashed lines).



Figure S5. Calculated electronic coupling V (in eV) for the HOMO of C2 (black) and C4 (orange) with the VB and CB states of the TiO<sub>2</sub> (left axis) ; the diabatic TiO<sub>2</sub> DOS (states/eV) is also reported (right axis, dashed lines).

### **Photophysics in solution**

**C5, C6** and **C7** are studied in MeCN in exactly same conditions as in Refs. 2&5 by femtosecond transient absorption spectroscopy, with a time reslution of approx. 80 fs. After excitation in the maximum or on the red egde of the lowest energy <sup>1</sup>MLCT absorption band, the transient absorption is probed with a white light continuum covering the 320 - 750 nm range. The spectral features of <sup>3</sup>MLCT state are similar to **C2** and **C4** in MeCN as a narrow excited state absorption band around 350 nm and a broad excited state absorption band from 550-670 nm.<sup>5</sup> Figure S6 illustrates kinetic traces of **C5** (square curve), **C6** (circle curve) and **C7** (triangle curve) taken in the band of excited state absorption (red curves) and ground state bleaching (blue curves) with their fits (solid black curves). The kinetic traces are normalized at 1 ps in order to directly compare <sup>3</sup>MLCT state lifetimes.



**Figure S6**. Kinetic traces of the excited state absorption (red) and ground state bleaching (blue) with their fits (solid black curves) normalized at 1 ps for **C5** (square dots), **C6** (circle dots) and **C7** (triangle dots). Parameters are same as shown in Table S3.

Table S3 presents the excited state lifetimes obtained by global analysis for three complexes as described previously. The sub-picosecond component is due to electronic and vibrational relaxation after excitation of the singlet <sup>1</sup>MLCT, while the second longer component is the <sup>3</sup>MLCT lifetime<sup>2,5</sup>. Despite different chemical structures, **C5**, **C6** and **C7** have a similar <sup>3</sup>MLCT state lifetimes in MeCN, namely in the 10~14ps range. Note that the **C5** <sup>3</sup>MLCT lifetime is mid way between the ones of **C2** and its de-carboxylated mother compound<sup>1,2</sup>. An increased stabilization of the <sup>3</sup>MLCT orbital away from the metal center, as observed for **C4** does not seem to apply for **C6** and **C7**.

	( <sup>3</sup> MLCT) <sup>+</sup> in fs	<sup>3</sup> MLCT (ps)
C5	300	14
C6	<irf< td=""><td>10</td></irf<>	10
C7	400	12

**Table S3**. Excited state lifetimes of **C5**, **C6** and **C7** obtained by global analysis of the kinetic traces at all probe wavelengths.

Table S4. Cartesian coordinates (Angstrom) of the  $(TiO_2)_{82}$  cluster

0	-5.30715	-2.62909	8.92822
Ti	-5.43364	-0.78436	9.21238
0	-7.20825	-0.66706	9.93828
Ti	-8.96665	-0.71616	9.38856
0	-8.54862	-0.96348	7.41915
Ti	-9.07975	0.85908	6.95606
0	-9.48724	0.85641	5.17110
Ti	-8.08269	0.86556	3.86313
0	-8.04715	2.65785	3.20943
Ti	-8.21032	2.36836	1.41030
0	-8.29017	2.27075	-1.02570
Ti	-6.38030	2.26660	-1.39403
0	-6.32193	1.62570	-3.41304
Ti	-6.44746	3.29399	-4.08003
0	-8.39072	2.96655	-4.42603
Ti	-10.19473	2.90130	-4.25411
0	-10.57033	3.48030	-2.53247
Ti	-10.06275	2.53275	-0.94530
0	-10.60940	0.76123	-0.93014
Ti	-10.28882	-1.02935	-0.75857
0	-8.52193	-1.44539	-0.50535
Ti	-6.54743	-1.45059	-0.81002
0	-6.66081	-1.60864	1.17725
Ti	-4.75389	-1.88114	1.68083
0	-4.63619	0.26301	1.76330
Ti	-4.51327	1.96818	1.16254
0	-6.40127	2.48676	0.68362
Ti	-5.83262	-2.89800	7.16275
0	-4.48589	-3.57559	6.24837
Ti	-4.50369	-3.08904	4.30916
0	-2.77345	-3.62722	4.21532
Ti	-0.96924	-3.16856	4.18548
0	-0.80037	-3.66624	2.27598
Ti	-0.93954	-2.05583	1.49826
0	-0.82908	0.12265	1.56337
Ti	-0.74297	0.64112	3.57437
0	-0.93699	-1.44987	3.51035
0	-7.59021	-3.67176	7.59209
Ti	-9.13007	-2.84005	7.40924
0	-9.85009	-2.31669	9.12601
0	-5.79244	-0.93792	7.14479

Ti	-5.78794	0.93281	6.81489
0	-4.22841	1.34254	5.86520
Ti	-2.36152	0.97529	6.35026
0	-2.16004	1.59401	8.10760
Ti	-2.13756	-0.10071	8.93441
0	-0.43518	-0.63128	9.48353
Ti	1.19989	-0.98804	8.66511
0	1.29467	0.95112	7.93703
Ti	1.21453	0.72406	6.19241
0	1.17219	-1.12627	6.58182
Ti	0 98546	-3 03809	6 55591
0	1 07011	-2 84392	8 41382
0	-6 59641	-3 02040	4 94413
Ti	-8 25857	-2 85764	4 23129
0	8 61780	2.03704	7.23127
т	-0.01709 8 63805	-5.29782	1 62009
0	-8.03803	-1.39800	2 (02(4
0	-8.38494	-1.11600	5.00204
0	-9.54440	-3.07792	5.62282
0	-9.79029	0.81736	8.76158
0	-7.55026	1.72745	6.89520
0	-8.42789	0.57752	1.83773
0	-6.34884	0.69856	4.48852
Ti	-4.43816	0.77691	3.81658
0	-2.58110	0.68658	4.20461
0	-9.87586	3.09551	0.81801
0	-10.46041	-1.52608	1.04901
0	-10.69385	-1.56224	-2.54972
Ti	-10.15881	-0.59004	-4.04780
0	-9.93294	-1.33390	-5.76841
Ti	-8.29144	-0.72147	-6.38923
Ti	-8.04082	1.03174	-8.74174
0	-6.41583	0.98301	-9.43378
Ti	-4.61070	1.03466	-8.73346
0	-2.98165	0.88906	-9.51309
Ti	-1.18243	0.95845	-8.88004
0	0 44690	0 63400	-9 71801
Ti	2 16342	0.15577	-9 19204
0	2 20121	-1 53348	-8 35703
Ті	2.20121	-0.91587	-6 60822
0	2.40387	1 35842	6 13373
ті	5 70702	0.04867	7 13854
0	6 20767	-0.94807	4 90427
U Ti	0.39707	-0.80933	-4.00457
0	8.10887	-0.98340	-4.12040
U T	8.05036	-2.77538	-3.43554
11	8.23568	-2.4//59	-1.63/82
0	8.35214	-2.21935	0.78262
Ti	10.09785	-2.61134	0.69950
0	10.75046	-0.86358	0.61722
Ti	10.40983	0.92402	0.47432
0	8.59755	1.31158	0.26977
Ti	6.64184	1.43409	0.60547
0	6.46827	-0.19527	1.29828
Ti	6.52250	0.44635	3.32513
0	6.66871	2.03782	2.42565
0	-8.36727	-0.22942	-4.03582
Ti	-6.50874	-0.44775	-3.52550
0	-4.72425	-0.40510	-4.11663
Ti	-4.72959	-0.63293	-6.25535

0	-4.77601	-0.82184	-8.04570
0	-10.78154	1.14986	-4.24706
0	-8.02220	1.18377	-6.73060
Ti	-8.43158	3.10405	-6.65960
0	-6.64761	3.68072	-6.21568
Ti	-4.67293	3.18420	-6.69675
0	-4.65276	3.60039	-4.62444
Ti	-2.75944	3.29921	-4.12338
0	-0.98060	3.51696	-4.69056
Ti	-0.95001	3.01506	-6.77007
0	-1.05843	2.81586	-8.63499
0	-6 57258	-1 12052	-5 70166
0	-8 48687	-0 75348	-8 23537
0	-10 14461	3 56885	-6 09463
0	-8 62184	2 80965	-8 5130/
0	5 35480	1 12114	8 52165
0	-3.33469	0.52228	0.92103
0	-5.08540	-0.32328	9.80550
0	-4.45052	-1.32624	5.70505
0	-4./5/08	-1.99295	-0.39251
11	-2.88088	-1.69322	-0.93546
0	-2.85585	-1.90269	1.14517
0	-4.99563	-3.49242	2.49985
0	-2.94721	-2.20569	-2.74232
Ti	-2.86984	-0.55371	-3.59995
0	-2.96089	-1.12838	-5.74042
Ti	-1.17813	-0.74930	-6.40233
0	-1.07385	-0.47491	-4.16343
Ti	0.79033	-0.66148	-3.82201
0	0.63822	-1.17240	-5.88628
0	-2.85305	0.01982	-1.59277
Ti	-2.69881	2.11223	-1.51116
0	-2.65402	2.22113	0.58880
Ti	-0.75258	1.82826	0.95201
0	1.11022	2.10801	0.34153
Ti	1.01190	2.02782	-1.74587
0	2.92962	1.86941	-1.37096
Ti	4.82699	1.83652	-1.89320
0	5.06145	3 44455	-2 73991
Ti	4 58997	3 03172	-4 55830
0	4 55599	1 27320	-3 95780
Ti	4 49491	-0.80398	-4 08541
0	4 50121	-0.00370	-3.222
т	4.30121	1 00407	-3.22392
0	4.30034	-1.99497	-1.410/3
U T:	0.42022	-2.37308	-0.89002
11	6.43156	-2.2/4/8	1.15619
0	4.62057	-2.15507	0.70502
11	2.74995	-2.14608	1.26862
0	2.72789	-2.28030	-0.83251
Ti	0.82369	-1.87960	-1.21990
0	0.73624	-2.37389	-3.01939
0	-1.03318	-2.15349	-0.58832
0	-2.83363	1.56545	-3.53473
0	-2.69709	3.80156	-2.34245
0	-2.89048	3.55093	-6.36255
0	-0.87841	1.93375	-1.13085
0	-2.39048	-0.68124	7.13939
0	-0.75498	-3.27903	6.15755
0	1.03424	-3.52842	4.46997

Ti	2.80678	-3.30033	3.90319
0	2.76152	-3.81765	2.12370
0	2.92202	-3.58141	6.14537
Ti	4.70362	-3.21159	6.48435
0	6.67986	-3.69368	6.01633
Ti	8.46740	-3.14476	6.43225
0	10.19928	-3.60831	5.85623
Ti	10.20123	-2.99761	4.00942
0	10.76348	-1.24657	3.95728
Ti	10.18086	0.50584	3.78611
0	10.01924	1.24907	5.52249
Ti	8.34478	0.69087	6.11888
0	6.58873	1.10631	5.46439
Ti	4.76760	0.61277	6.04604
0	4 74758	0.33887	3 90264
Ti	2 89719	0.52303	3 36170
0	2 99792	2 17684	2 52077
Ti	2.99792	1 66424	0.70753
0	2.93717	-0.03752	1 3/1860
0	2.91290	-0.03732	3 28700
0	2.85092	-1.58485	1 40645
т	4.08730	-3.02299	4.40045
0	0.40970	-3.31041	J.04095
0	8.40293 2.00921	-5.07570	4.19556
0	2.99831	1.11034	2.02210
0	1.12219	0.42188	3.93319
0	4.84394	1.96182	0.182/2
0	4.72370	-0.290/1	-2.01390
0	6.73302	1.56549	-1.38067
Ti	8.69021	1.46364	-1.89695
0	8.47526	-0.69429	-2.08583
0	2.64260	-0.66980	-4.45677
0	0.90568	-0.17527	-1.80454
0	0.97800	1.42391	-3.74005
Ti	1.03845	3.14774	-4.40893
0	0.79687	3.25000	-6.37001
0	0.88501	3.64067	-2.51231
0	-0.67991	2.33038	2.74604
0	-0.58171	1.18950	5.65321
Ti	4.63327	-1.08394	8.51968
0	4.75570	-3.02402	8.29820
0	4.66354	-1.29409	6.47147
0	6.48939	-3.92463	2.08686
0	6.37297	-1.65203	3.19471
0	8.38463	0.22308	3.83363
0	8.50075	0.75141	7.97144
Ti	8.06713	-1.03817	8.46978
0	8.65689	-2.81426	8.26565
0	8.05696	-1.20946	6.47249
0	6.45786	-1.00150	9.18810
0	10.57005	-3.58094	2.26946
0	9.88148	-3.24175	-1.01784
0	9.43709	-1.03243	-5.46387
Ti	9.10175	-0.88682	-7.27616
0	8.59536	0.95336	-7.68753
Ti	9.19774	2.82825	-7.66239
0	7.66218	3.65601	-7.80240
Ti	5.90496	2.88693	-7.39991
0	5.35738	2.68687	-9.16976

Ti	5.44288	0.84058	-9.49096
0	7.22626	0.69126	-10.20773
Ti	8.98393	0.71839	-9.66952
0	9.86967	2.32115	-9.42400
0	8.43401	0.99021	-3.87290
Ti	8.32716	2.74200	-4.47987
0	8.67684	3.17119	-2.69441
0	9.79164	-0.84473	-9.08116
0	7.54944	-1.72009	-7.34973
0	9.62047	2.99614	-5.87254
0	6.66795	2.93534	-5.17854
0	2.83538	3.54644	-4.45670
0	4.58083	3.59089	-6.47233
0	4.77474	0.78825	7.82782
0	3.01061	-0.93681	9.29762
0	0.92915	-1.94514	0.88106
0	-4.45141	2.44782	2.96475
0	-4.57042	2.16640	-0.94199
0	-6.42638	0.20582	-1.49870
0	-6.45437	3.91836	-2.33617
0	-6.63170	-2.03978	-2.61624
0	-4.63274	1.25081	-6.67056
0	-4.71726	2.97713	-8.50501
0	-1.13972	1.10312	-6.79080
0	-1.26440	-0.98859	-8.14714
0	10.75759	1.43019	2.28125
0	10.51012	1.37162	-1.35497
0	2.42214	0.74355	-7.41122
0	3.68336	0.62250	-10.14119
0	5.81960	0.94253	-7.43413
0	5.28705	-1.08565	-8.82246

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Liu, Y.; Harlang, T.; Canton, S. E.; Chabera, P.; Suarez-Alcantara, K.; Fleckhaus, A.; Vithanage, D. A.; Goransson, E.; Corani, A.; Lomoth, R.; Sundstrom, V.; Warnmark, K. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 6412-6414.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Duchanois, T.; Etienne, T.; Cebrián, C.; Liu, L.; Monari, A.; Beley, M.; Assfeld, X.; Haacke, S.; Gros, P. C. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2015**, *2015*, 2469-2477.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Huang, Z.; Li, F.; Chen, B.; Xue, F.; Chen, G.; Yuan, G. Appl. Catal. A, 2011, 403, 104–111.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ghorbani-Vaghei, R.; Hemmati, S.; Veisi, H. Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 7095–7099

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Liu, L.; Duchanois, T.; Etienne, T.; Monari, A.; Beley, M.; Assfeld, X.; Haacke, S.; Gros, P. C., *Phy. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 12550-12556