## **Supporting Information**

# Temperature dependence of cross-effect dynamic nuclear polarization in rotating solids: advantages of elevated temperatures

Michel-Andreas Geiger<sup>[a][+]</sup>, Marcella Orwick-Rydmark<sup>[a][+]</sup>, Katharina Märker<sup>[a]</sup>, W. Trent Franks<sup>[a]</sup>, Dmitry Akhmetzyanov<sup>[b]</sup>, Daniel Stöppler<sup>[a]</sup>, Maximilian Zinke<sup>[a]</sup>, Edgar Specker<sup>[a]</sup>, Marc Nazaré<sup>[a]</sup>, Anne Diehl<sup>[a]</sup>, Barth-Jan van Rossum<sup>[a]</sup>, Fabien Aussenac<sup>[c]</sup>, Thomas Prisner<sup>[b]</sup>, Ümit Akbey<sup>[a]</sup>, and Hartmut Oschkinat<sup>\*[a]</sup>

## **Supporting information**

Table of contents

- 1. Radical synthesis
  - **1.1 General Information**
  - 1.2 Synthetic concept
  - **1.3 Synthetic route of CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-X isotopologues**
  - **1.4 Experimental procedures**

## 2. DNP Measurements

- 2.1 Sample preparation
- 2.2 Acquisition of DNP MAS ssNMR spectra
- 2.3 MAS dependence of CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 vs. <sup>1</sup>H-TOTAPOL
- 2.4 2D NCACX spectrum

## **3. EPR Measurements**

- 3.1 Pseudo continuous wave EPR spectra
- 3.2 Electron spin longitudinal relaxation time measurements
- 3.3 Electron spin transversal relaxation time measurements
- 4. References

## 1. Radical synthesis

#### **1.1 General Information**

Chemicals and solvents were obtained from commercial sources and used without further purification. Reactions that required dry conditions were conducted under a nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques and commercially available anhydrous solvents stored over molecular sieves. Column chromatography for the purification of reaction mixtures was performed on a CombiFlash Rf 200 (Teledyne lsco) that was equipped with a binary pump and an automated fraction collector, triggered by a UV detector. RediSep Rf Normal-phase Silica Flash Columns were used for the separations.

Reaction controls were performed on an Agilent 1260 LC-MS system equipped with a diode array detector and a single quadrupole mass spectrometer (Agilent 6120). High-resolution ESI-TOF mass spectra for structure verification were obtained from an Agilent 1200 LC-MS system equipped with a diode array detector and an accurate-mass time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Agilent G6220A). Mixtures of water and acetonitrile with 0.1 % formic acid were used as eluents in both LC-MS systems. Samples were dissolved in MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1. Routine solution NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C) were recorded on a Bruker AV300 spectrometer in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to the residual solvent peak.<sup>1</sup>

3

#### **1.2 Synthetic concept**

The synthesis of deuterated TOTAPOL radicals was done following known procedures from literature, using deuterated 4-amino-TEMPO (5) and 4-hydroxy-TEMPO (4) as main building blocks<sup>2</sup> (see SI-Scheme (1)). For their preparation, deuterated 4-oxo-tetramethylpiperidine (2) was synthesized from deuterated acetone and ammonium chloride and further reacted with hydrogen peroxide in the presence of sodium tungstate to yield the nitroxide radical (3)<sup>3,4</sup>. The deuterium content in the methylene groups was then adjusted by equilibration in H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O at the desired deuterium (D<sub>2</sub>O) concentration<sup>5</sup>. The resulting ketone was further reacted with 4-hydroxy-TEMPO (4) and 4-amino-TEMPO (5), using NaBH<sub>4</sub> or NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN and ammonium acetate, respectively<sup>6, 7</sup>. TOTAPOL (1) was then synthesized according to the standard procedure<sup>2</sup>, by first reacting 4-hydroxy-TEMPO (4) with epichlorohydrine and then linking the two nitroxides 4-(2,3-epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO (6) and 4-amino-TEMPO (5) in the last step.

By utilizing the presence of exchangeable protons/deuterons in the radical precursors, this synthetic route represents a cost-efficient alternative to the exclusive use of deuterated solvents and reagents, which is often reported in literature<sup>8</sup>. Moreover, it provides a simple method to control the degree of deuteration in the CH<sub>2</sub> groups.

Detailed descriptions of all reactions are given in the following sections. Synthetic intermediates are named according to the convention introduced in this paper, indicating the percentage of deuterium in 3- and 5-positions as a number behind the name of the molecule.

4

#### 1.3 Synthetic route to CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-X isotopologues



**SI-Scheme 1:** Synthetic route to deuterated TOTAPOL isotopologues. Reagents and reaction conditions: (a)  $Na_2CO_3$ , MgO, 50°C, 3 d. (b) 1.  $H_2O_2$ ,  $Na_2WO_4$ ,  $Na_4EDTA$ , 23°C, 12 h. 2.  $Na_2CO_3/D_2O$ , 23°C, 12 h. (c)  $NaBH_4$ , 0 °C, 1 h. (d)  $NH_4OAc/ND_4OAc$ ,  $NaBH_3CN$ , 23 °C, 2 h. (e) epichlorhydrin, 23°C, 2 d. (f) LiClO<sub>4</sub>, 40°C, 3 d.

### **1.4 Experimental procedures**



SI-Figure 1: structure of 4-oxo-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine (2)

A suspension of ND<sub>4</sub>Cl (1 eq.), anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.5 eq.) and MgO (1.25 eq.) in D<sub>6</sub>-acetone (3-4 eq.) was stirred at 50° C for 3 days. It was then cooled to RT, diluted with acetone and filtered. The filter cake was pulverized and washed again with acetone. The combined filtrates were concentrated in vacuum and purified by column chromatography (DCM  $\rightarrow$  DCM/MeOH 95:5). The product was obtained as yellow-orange needles (35 - 44 %) and used as such in the next step. No adjustment of H/D content in 3- and 5-positions was performed at this level of the synthesis.

Representative analytical data for 4-oxo-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine-0 (2) (after D/H-exchange as described in the next step):

<sup>1</sup>H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.22 (s, 2 x CH<sub>2</sub>) ppm.

<sup>13</sup>C-NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 211.06 (CO), 55.20 (C(CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>), 54.12 (CH<sub>2</sub>), 31.02 (sept, J = 19.2 Hz, CD<sub>3</sub>).

HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_5D_{12}NO [M + H]^+$  168.2136, found 168.2131.



SI-Figure 2: Structure of 4-oxo-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (3a-d)

H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (35 wt% in H<sub>2</sub>O, 2 eq.) was added drop wise to a solution of 4-oxo-2,2,6,6tetramethylpiperidine (2, 1 eq.),  $Na_2WO_4 \times 2H_2O$  (10% wt) and  $Na_4EDTA \times 2H_2O$ (10 wt%) in H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\sim$ 1 ml/100 mg substance) that was continuously cooled on ice. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight at RT, basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. To adjust the H/D content in 3- and 5-positions of the resulting product to the desired 0/25/50/100 % level of deuterium, the substance was stirred overnight in a 0.4 M solution of anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in a H<sub>2</sub>O/D<sub>2</sub>O mixture 1 ml (~ per 100 mg substance) of the respective composition (100:0/75:25/50:50/0:100). The solution was extracted with diethyl ether, the combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as orange-red needles (82 - 96 %) and used without further purification.



SI-Figure 3: Structure of 4-hydroxy-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (4a-d)

A solution of 4-oxo-TEMPO (3a-d, 1 eq.) in the respective mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O and D<sub>2</sub>O (100:0/75:25/50:50/0:100 for a-d, 1-2 ml) was added drop wise to a solution of NaBH<sub>4</sub> (3 eq.) in the same mixture of  $H_2O$  and  $D_2O$  (2 ml) continuously cooled on ice. The reaction mixture was stirred for 5 minutes before it was warmed to RT, and subsequently stirred for one hour. The solution was diluted with brine (15 ml) and extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O. The combined organic fractions were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as an orange-red solid and used without further purification.

<u>4-Hydroxy-TEMPO-0 (4a)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_6D_{12}NO_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 185.2164, found 185.2154.

<u>4-Hydroxy-TEMPO-25 (4b)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_5D_{13}NO_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 186.2226, found 186.2226.

4-Hydroxy-TEMPO-50 (4c): HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_4D_{14}NO_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 187.2288, found 187.2289.

<u>4-Hydroxy-TEMPO-100 (4d)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_2D_{16}NO_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 189.2415, found 189.2402.



SI-Figure 4: Structure of 4-(2,3-epoxypropoxy)-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl(4-(2,3epoxyprop-oxy)

4-Hydroxy-TEMPO (4a-d, 1 eq.) was added in portions to a solution of epichlorohydrin (5 eq.) and Bu<sub>4</sub>NHSO<sub>4</sub> (0.04 eq.) in 50 % w/w aqueous NaOH (~

1 ml/100 mg substance) and stirred vigorously for 1-2 days at RT. The solution was poured onto ice and extracted with EtOAc. The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography (cyclohexane  $\rightarrow$  cyclohexane/EtOAc 4:1) gave the product as a red solid (58 - 81 %).

<u>4-(2,3-Epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO-0 (6a)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{12}H_{10}D_{12}NO_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 241.2426, found 241.2423.

<u>4-(2,3-Epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO-25 (6b)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{12}H_9D_{13}NO_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 242.2488, found 242.2484.

<u>4-(2,3-Epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO-50 (6c)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{12}H_8D_{14}NO_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 243.2551, found 243.2550.

<u>4-(2,3-Epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO-100 (6d)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{12}H_6D_{16}NO_3$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 245.2677, found 245.2668.

SI-Figure 5: Structure of 4-amino-2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidin-1-oxyl (4-amino-TEMPO, 5a-d)

Deuterated ammonium acetate (ND<sub>4</sub>OAc) was obtained by stirring NH<sub>4</sub>OAc in MeOD ( $_1$  ml/400 mg substance) for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure until a white solid precipitated. The precipitant was dissolved in the same volume of MeOD, and the procedure was repeated 3X. Following the final resolubilization, the salt was thoroughly washed with Et<sub>2</sub>O, collected by filtration, and dried under reduced pressure for 5 minutes. The product was directly used for the synthesis of 4-amino-TEMPO.

A mixture of anhydrous MeOH and MeOD (100:0/75:25/50:50/0:100 for a-d, respectively, 8 ml) was stirred over molecular sieves (3 Å) for one hour under dry conditions. A mixture of NH<sub>4</sub>OAc and ND<sub>4</sub>OAc (100:0/75:25/50:50/0:100 for a-d, respectively, 10 eq.) and a solution of 4-oxo-TEMPO (3a-d, 1 eq.) in the same

mixture of MeOH and MeOD (2 ml) were added. After the solution was stirred for one hour, NaBH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.4 eq.) was added in one portion and the reaction mixture was stirred for 16 h at RT. It was then filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The remaining orange oil was taken up with water and DCM (1:1), filtered again, and the pH adjusted to ~ 5-6 with 2 M aqueous HCI. The aqueous layer was washed with DCM, its pH was raised to 13 by the addition of 2 M aqueous NaOH, and extracted with DCM. The organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The remaining red oil was purified by column chromatography (DCM  $\rightarrow$  DCM/MeOH 9:1) to give the product as a red solid (39 - 50 %).

<u>4-Amino-TEMPO-0 (5a)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_7D_{12}N_2O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 184.2323, found 184.2318.

<u>4-Amino-TEMPO-25 (5b)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_6D_{13}N_2O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 185.2386, found 185.2383.

<u>4-Amino-TEMPO-50 (5c)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_5D_{14}N_2O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 186.2449, found 186.2447.

<u>4-Amino-TEMPO-100 (5d)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_9H_3D_{16}N_2O$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 188.2574, found 188.2566.



SI-Figure 6: Structure of 1-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-oxy-4-piperidinyl)oxy-3-(2,2,6,6-tetramethyl-1-oxy-4-piperidinyl)amino-propan-2-ol (CD3-TOTAPOL, 1a-d)

Under dry conditions, a solution of 4-amino-TEMPO (5a-d, 1 eq.) in anhydrous MeCN (1-2 ml) was added to a solution of 4-(2,3-epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO (6a-d, 1 eq.) and LiClO<sub>4</sub> (1-2 eq. with respect to 4-(2,3-epoxypropoxy)-TEMPO) in anhydrous MeCN (2 ml). The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 to 4 days at 40 °C. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure and the crude product was purified by column

chromatography (DCM  $\rightarrow$  DCM/MeOH 95:5) to yield TOTAPOL as an orange-red solid (60 - 75 %).

<u>TOTAPOL-0 (1a)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}D_{24}N_3O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 424.4676, found 424.4677.

<u>TOTAPOL-25 (1b)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{21}H_{15}D_{26}N_3O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 426.4802, found 426.4785.

<u>TOTAPOL-50 (1c)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{21}H_{13}D_{28}N_3O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 428.4927, found 428.4932.

<u>TOTAPOL-100 (1d)</u>: HR-MS (ESI-TOF): calcd for  $C_{21}H_9D_{32}N_3O_4$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 432.5178, found 432.5175.

#### 2 DNP Measurements

#### 2.1 Sample preparation

Radicals were tested by means of two types of standard samples. For a first evaluation, uniformly <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N labelled proline (0.25-0.27 mM) was dissolved in GDH containing either 20 mM or 10 mM radical. As a more representative biological case, standard samples of crystalline uniformly <sup>2</sup>H, <sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C SH3 preparations with 80 % <sup>1</sup>H back exchange were prepared in GDH with the different radicals (SI-Figure-7). The crystallinity of the SH3 samples in the presence of [D<sub>8</sub>]-glycerol was monitored by synchrotron X-ray radiation. Crystals were found to be stable, allowing us to compare reproducible microcrystalline SH3 samples in the presence of the different radicals.



**SI-Figure 7:** Protocol for the preparation of SH3 DNP ssNMR samples containing 5-7 mg ( $m_{SH3}$ ) DCN-labelled microcrystalline SH3

After initial precipitation in H<sub>2</sub>O:D<sub>2</sub>O (80%:20) the crystals were spun down by centrifugation (14.000 g; 4°C) (1a) to remove excess buffer (1b) that contained also protein ( $m_{SH3rem}$ ). This step was repeated 2-3 times to obtain a wet-pellet  $m_{wp}$  (2). The weight of the wet pellet is determined, and water content calculated by subtracting the protein detected in the removed buffer from the total amount  $m_{SH3}$ , i.e.  $m_{SH3}$  -  $m_{SH3rem}$ . Afterwards a radical stock solution prepared in the removed buffer was added (3). After overnight incubation (4), excess liquid was removed (5). Water content was determined again as above. To achieve a final 60 % volume ratio of glycerol with respect to the remaining water (v/v), an appropriate amount of [D<sub>8</sub>]-glycerol was added (6) taking the total water content into account. After 12 h of equilibration (7) excess buffer was removed again by cycles of centrifugation and pipetting (8a and 8b).

The conservation of the crystalline structure was further verified during DNP measurements via <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C correlation spectroscopy in GDH at room temperature (SI Fig. 8, blue-green cross peaks), and confirmed by comparing the chemical shifts associated with the cross peaks of the amino acids alanine, isoleucine, threonine and valine to those observed in a solution spectrum (green) and in a solid-state <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C correlation of microcrystalline material prepared without glycerol (red). For comparison, the spectra are plotted over a DNP enhanced ssNMR spectrum (blue) of the microcrystalline material in GDH that was prepared according to the procedure outlined in SI-Fig. 7, recorded at 200 K. Overall, the spectra of the two crystalline preparations recorded at room temperature show very similar chemical shifts. Characteristic differences to the solution spectrum were observed<sup>9</sup> involving amino acids L8, V9, Y13, P20, R21, I30, T37, W41, V53, and Y57. These residues are located in crystal contact areas, with I30 and T37 shown in SI Fig. 8. Cross peaks involving the other threonine residues superimpose relatively well (SI-Figure 8 B, left spectrum). The largest difference between the spectra of the solution vs. the crystalline material appears for the  $C_{\delta}$  chemical shift of I30 (Figure SI-Figure-8 A, right spectrum). The chemical shift patterns of I30 and T37 confirm that our samples consist largely of crystals.



**SI-Figure 8.** : (A) Estimation of sample quality by solution and solid-state NMR, showing the regions with threonine C -C cross peaks (left) and with the signal pattern involving the C of I30 (right). Blue: DNP DONER spectrum with 20 mM CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 at 200 K, 25 ms mixing; red: solid state <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C DARR spectrum of SH3 crystals at 295 K; Cyan: crystals with [D<sub>8</sub>]-glycerol; green: solution state NMR <sup>13</sup>C-<sup>13</sup>C 20 ms TOCSY (FLOPSY16) mixing at 304 K. (B) Orientation of protomers in the crystal structure 1U06 (left) and intermolecular contacts and possible hydrogen bond between I30 and T37 (right).

### 2.2 Acquisition of DNP MAS ssNMR spectra

All DNP MAS ssNMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker Avance III 9.4 T (400 MHz) wide-bore spectrometer system equipped with a 263 GHz gyrotron as a microwave source ( $\sim 5$  W and the end of the waveguide) and a triple resonance cryo-MAS probe. All samples were measured in standard 3.2 mm zirconia rotors, at spinning frequencies of 8000 ± 2 Hz for proline and 8889 ± 2 Hz for SH3 samples, respectively. Spectra were processed using Topspin 3.2.

Enhancements were determined by recording <sup>13</sup>C-CP spectra with and without microwave irradiation under otherwise identical conditions. For each temperature, the recycle delay was set to  $1.3 \times T_1$ . Proton decoupling (TPPM)<sup>10</sup> was set to 75 kHz and the acquisition time was 20 ms. For the CP, the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C rf fields were set to 52 kHz and 44 kHz respectively, with a linear ramp from 75 % to 100 %. Off-spectra were recorded with 8 - 64 scans and on-spectra with 4 - 32 scans, depending on the signal intensities. 2-4 dummy scans were applied prior to acquisition. Enhancements

were determined by overlaying both spectra, scaling the off-spectrum to the size of the on-spectrum.

To achieve cryogenic temperatures a Bruker low-temperature MAS system was used. Sample temperatures were calibrated using both the KBr T<sub>1</sub> and chemical shifts values according to known procedure<sup>11, 12.</sup> The melting point of deuterated pentane was used as an internal standard for temperature calibration when using chemical shifts.

The temperatures of the bearing, VT and drive gases ('set temperatures') were equilibrated for at least 15 minutes before measurements. After turning the microwaves on or off, the temperatures of the samples were additionally equilibrated for at least 5 minutes. MW on and MW off spectra were recorded at the same temperature settings. All reported temperatures refer to calibrated temperatures with microwave irradiation (MW on), which are about 7 K higher than with MW off on our instrument. Proton T<sub>1</sub> values were measured using an inversion recovery sequence followed by a CP and detection on the carbon channel, employing delays of 10  $\mu$ s, 0.1 s, 0.3 s, 1 s, 3 s, 10 s, 30 s and 60 s.

All two dimensional DNP MAS ssNMR  ${}^{13}C-{}^{13}C-$  correlation spectra were typically recorded within 2.5 -3 hrs with a spectral width 388.1 x 496.6. For the spectra acquired at 200 K the direct evolution time 25 ms were used with 1948 points and 8 scans/ 4 dummy scans per row. In the indirect dimension 744 data points were recorded (States-TPPI). 4069 x 4069 data points were used to process the spectra with GM LB = -30 Hz; GB= 0.08 SSB = 3 in the direct and Qsine LB= 0.3 Hz; GB= 0.12; SSB = 2 in the indirect dimension.

Heteronuclear 2D NCACX/NCOCX experiments were recorded at 200 K using 8 steady scans, 32 dummy scans, 30 ms of DARR mixing and a relaxation delay of 2 s. For the CP  $\omega_1 C \sim 7/2 \omega_r$ ,  $\omega_1 N \sim 9/2 \omega_r$  for the NCACX and  $\omega 1 C \sim 11/2 \omega_r$ ,  $\omega_1 N \sim 9/2 \omega_r$  for the NCOCX CP periods with  $\sim 75$  kHz decoupling was used. The direct dimension was acquired with 25 ms acquisition times and 1558 points (States TPPI) and 256 points (States TPPI) in the indirect dimension. The NCACX 3D experiments were recorded within 13 h, the NCOCX spectra in 9.5 h. For both spectra 4 steady

14

state scans and 32 dummy scans were used and acquired with 1558 in the direct and 64 and 88 points in the indirect dimension with 15 ms of DARR mixing. A spectral width of 388.2 on the direct carbon dimension, 73.01 on <sup>15</sup>N and 58.89 on the <sup>13</sup>C-CO dimensions was used. The spectra were processed with 4096x128x18 points.



2.3 MAS dependence of CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 vs <sup>1</sup>H-TOTAPOL

**SI-Figure 9:** MAS dependence at 110 K of <sup>1</sup>H DNP signal enhancement for <sup>1</sup>H-TOTAPOL and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 measured at 263 GHz. All signal enhancements were measured via <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS experiment on 1 mg U-<sup>13</sup>C-<sup>15</sup>N proline with 20 mM biradical concentration (by weight) in GDH with and without microwave irradiation for each data point.



**SI-Figure 10:** Temperature dependence of <sup>1</sup>H enhancements for CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 measured at 263 GHz. All signal enhancements were measured via <sup>13</sup>C CP MAS experiment on 1 mg U-<sup>13</sup>C-<sup>15</sup>N proline with 20 mM biradical concentration (by weight) in GDH with and without microwave irradiation for each data point

#### 2.4 2D NCACX spectrum



SI - Figure 11: 2D NCACX DNP enhanced ssMAS NMR spectra of microcrystalline  $\alpha$ -spectrin SH3 protein containing 20 mM CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPol-0 recorded at 200 K at a magnetic field strength of 9.4 T within 1 h at 8.8 kHz MAS spinning with 16 scans, 32 dummy scans a recycle delay of 0.7 sec 25 ms DARR mixing

#### 3 EPR experiments

EPR experiments were performed on a home-built high-frequency pulsed EPR spectrometer<sup>13, 14</sup> at 180 GHz (G-band), corresponding to a static magnetic field of approximately 6.42 T for nitroxide radicals. Temperature control was achieved with an ITC 503 temperature control unit (Oxford Instruments). The applied microwave power was approximately 30 mW, with a  $\pi$  pulse length of about 85 ns to 90 ns, and a cylindrical resonator operating in the fundamental TE<sub>011</sub> mode. To avoid saturation of the spectrometer receiver with high intensity echo signals, the frequency of the resonator was slightly detuned with respect to the spectrometer operation frequency to decrease the pulse flip angle. Samples were loaded into a silica capillary with an inner diameter of 0.40 mm. The electron spin longitudinal relaxation times T<sub>1e</sub> were obtained from the saturation-recovery curves, as described in detail in the Supporting

Information to minimize contributions from spectral diffusion.<sup>[4]</sup> Measurements of the electron spin transversal relaxation time  $T_{2e}$  were performed with a two pulse Hahn echo sequence. Due to the fact that echo-decays were not mono-exponential,  $T_{2e}$  was represented by a time constant after which the echo decayed by a factor of *e*, where *e* is Euler's number

#### 3.1 Pseudo continuous wave EPR spectra

Pseudo CW EPR spectra of TOTAPOL-1H and  $CD_3$ -TOTAPOL-25 obtained from Hahn echo-detected field-swept EPR spectra (see Fig. 3 in the main text) at different temperatures are depicted in **SI Figure 12** 



Pseudo-CW EPR spectra at G-band, 2G modulation ampltude

**SI Figure 12.** Pseudo CW G-band EPR spectra of TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 obtained at temperatures between 80 and 200 K. The spectra are normalized to the maximum value and vertically shifted for better visual comparison. The resonance fields were adjusted such that the spectral positions, corresponding roughly to  $g_{yy}$ , coincided for all spectra.

The pseudo CW EPR spectra are calculated with the function fieldmod in EasySpin<sup>15</sup> package for MATLAB with the pseudo-modulation amplitude of 2 G. As these EPR spectra obtained from the Hahn-echo detected field sweeps, the anisotropic

transversal relaxation rate contributes to the intensities of different regions of the spectrum as well. The splitting of about 19 MHz was observed in the  $g_{zz}$  region of the spectra, that is better resolved for CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 sample. This value is similar to the intra-molecular electron-electron dipolar coupling for TOTAPOL radical of about 22-23 MHz.<sup>2</sup>

## 3.2 Electron spin longitudinal relaxation times measurements

For electron spin longitudinal relaxation time  $(T_{1e})$  measurements a saturationrecovery experiment (**SI Figure 13**) was used. As saturation, a pulse-train, consisting of 64 pulses with the length corresponding roughly to  $\pi/2$  pulse with the inter-pulse delay time of approximately  $2T_{2e}$  to  $3T_{2e}$ , was applied.  $T_{2e}$  is the electron spin transversal relaxation time (see section 3) at corresponding field position. The detection sequence applied after delay time T was a two-pulse Hahn-echo sequence. The delay time T was incremented to record the experimental curves



SI Figure 13: Saturation-recovery pulse sequence used for  $T_{1e}$  measurements on G-band EPR spectrometer

The experimental saturation recovery curves of TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 are depicted in **SI Figure 14**.



**SI Figure 14.** Experimental saturation-recovery curves of TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H (left side) and  $CD_3$ -TOTAPOL-25 (right side). **Upper row**: saturation recovery curves were obtained at the spectral position 2 (see Figure 3, the main text). **Bottom row**: saturation recovery curves were obtained at the spectral position 1

The experimental saturation-recovery curves are represented (**SI Figure 14**) using the following procedure. The constant offset  $I(T\rightarrow\infty)$ , which corresponds to the echo intensity at the time T exceeding  $5T_1$ , was subtracted from the experimental saturation-recovery curves. The obtained curves were then inverted and normalized, such that the intensity ranged from 1 at the initial time of  $T = T_0$  till 0 at the time of  $T\rightarrow\infty$ . The logarithmic scale was applied for the axes of ordinates in order to have a better visualization for the character of the decay. All the curves are not a monoexponential. At least two components with different decay constants and different weights are presented. One of the components (shorter decay constant) is strongly affected by spectral diffusion due to non-ideal saturation during the saturation pulse train. Therefore, the following procedure for extracting the longitudinal relaxation time was chosen.<sup>[4]</sup> The time constants  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  after which the echo decayed by a factor of *e*,  $e^2$  and  $e^3$ , respectively, were determined. The decay between  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  contains much less contribution from spectral diffusion and, hence, more reliably represents the  $T_{1e}$  time, though this part of the curves contains certain degree of noise. The values are given in **SI Table 1** (the 4<sup>th</sup> column).

TOTAPOL 1H: Position 2						
Temperature, K	τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	τ <sub>3</sub> , μs	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>fast</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>slow</sub> , μs	
80	519	865	346	76	321	
100	372	613	241	72	231	
120	280	452	172	69	179	
140	215	349	134	51	137	
160	165	272	107	40	110	
180	118	194	76	28	80	
TOTAPOL 1H: Position 1						
Temperature, K	τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	τ <sub>3</sub> , μs	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>fast</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>slow</sub> , μs	
80	314	526	212	48	202	
100	209	345	136	40	137	
120	147	245	98	32	99	
140	116	195	80	27	82	
160	91	155	64	23	70	
180	65	109	44	15	44	
		CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAP	OL-25: Position 2	2		
Temperature, K	τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	τ <sub>3</sub> , μs	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>fast</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>slow</sub> , μs	
80	670	1083	413	99	401	
100	451	733	282	81	274	
120	333	532	199	52	192	
140	245	396	151	44	147	
160	196	321	125	37	121	
180	137	222	85	28	84	
200	77	124	47	11	46	
		CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAP	OL-25: Position 1			
Temperature, K	τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	τ <sub>3</sub> , μs	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>fast</sub> , μs	Τ <sub>slow</sub> , μs	
80	356	581	225	61	222	
100	234	384	150	44	148	
120	174	285	111	33	107	
140	128	210	82	27	83	
160	103	175	72	25	73	
180	72	117	45	17	49	
200	42	69	27	8	27	

 Table 1. Longitudinal relaxation times.

The data are the values, obtained from the experiment or their fits, rounded to the nearest integer numbers

The dependence of the longitudinal relaxation time  $T_{1e} \approx \tau_3 - \tau_2$  on temperature is depicted in **SI Figure 15**.



**SI Figure 15.** Longitudinal relaxation time  $T_{1e}$  dependence on temperature for TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H (red) and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 (black) obtained at two spectral positions. The filled symbols represent the spectral position 2 and the unfilled symbols represent the spectral position 1 (See Figure 3, the main text).

Alternatively,  $T_{1e}$  times were obtained from the fits of the experimental saturation recovery curves with the bi-exponential function (Formula 1):

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{T}) = \mathbf{A}_{1} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T}_{slow}}\right) \right) + \mathbf{A}_{2} \left( 1 - \exp\left(-\frac{\mathbf{T}}{\mathbf{T}_{fast}}\right) \right) + \mathbf{C}$$
(1)

where S(T) is the signal intensity at given delay time T, A<sub>1</sub> and A<sub>2</sub> represent the weights of the components, decaying with slow (T<sub>*slow*</sub>) and fast (T<sub>*fast*</sub>) time constants, respectively, and constant C represents the residual magnetization at the time of T = T<sub>0</sub>. The spectral diffusion, mimicked in the saturation-recovery curves as a component decaying with a constant T<sub>*fast*</sub>, and the residual magnetization, are both occur due to non-ideal saturation during the pulse train. Both, T<sub>*fast*</sub> and T<sub>*slow*</sub> decay constants are given in **SI Table 1** (the 5<sup>th</sup> and 6<sup>th</sup> column, respectively). The decay constant T<sub>*slow*</sub> represents the T<sub>1e</sub>. The values for T<sub>1e</sub> obtained with both strategies are similar. However, as the longitudinal relaxation times obtained as T<sub>1e</sub>  $\approx \tau_3 - \tau_2$  do not rely on the fitting procedure, including a variation of five parameters, the uncertainty

of obtaining the data with this procedure is reduced. Therefore, these data are further used.

## 3.3 Electron spin transversal relaxation time measurements

A two pulse Hahn-echo sequence depicted in SI Figure 16 was used for the measurements of the transversal relaxation time.



SI Figure 16. Hahn-echo pulse sequence used for  $T_{\rm 2e}$  measurements

The inter-pulse delay time  $\tau$  was incremented from 200 ns in order to record the decay of the echo signal amplitude. The normalized Hahn-echo decay curves are depicted in **SI Figure 17** in the logarithmic scale applied to the axes of ordinates. As the echo intensity decays are not mono-exponential functions, the transversal relaxation time was mimicked by the phase memory time ( $T_{me}$ ), obtained as a time constant  $T_{2e}$  after which the echo decayed by a factor of *e*.



**SI Figure 17.** Experimental Hahn-echo decay curves of TOTAPOL 1H (left side) and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 (right side). **Upper row**: saturation recovery curves were obtained at the spectral position 2 (see Figure 3, the main text). **Bottom row**: saturation recovery curves were obtained at the spectral position 1

The values for the transversal relaxation time are depicted in **SI Table 2** together with corresponding  $T_{1e}$  and a product of  $T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}$ , which representing the electron spins saturation factor.

relaxation time and saturation factor I 1e · I 2e								
TOTAPOL 1H: Position 2								
Temperature,	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈τ <sub>3</sub> – τ <sub>2</sub> ,	$T_{2e}, \mu s$	$T_{1e}$ · $T_{2e}$ , $\mu s^2$					
K	μs							
80	346	1.31	453					
100	241	0.55	133					
120	172	0.35	60					
140	134	0.29	39					
160	107	0.30	32					
180	76	0.28	21					
TOTAPOL 1H: Position 1								
Temperature,	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈τ <sub>3</sub> – τ <sub>2</sub> ,	Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs	T <sub>1e</sub> ·T <sub>2e</sub> , µs²					
K	μs							
80	212	1.19	252					
100	136	0.51	69					
120	98	0.32	31					
140	80	0.27	22					
160	64	0.27	17					
180	44	0.26	11					
200	-	0.15	-					
CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL-25: Position 2								
Temperature,	T <sub>1e</sub> ≈τ <sub>3</sub> – τ <sub>2</sub> ,	Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs	$T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}, \mu s^2$					
K	μs							
80	413	1.72	710					
100	282	0.58	164					
120	199	0.40	80					
120 140								
	199	0.40	80					
140	199 151	0.40 0.52	80 79					
140 160	199 151 125	0.40 0.52 0.66	80 79 83					
140 160 180 200	199 151 125 85 47	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15	80 79 83 35 7					
140 160 180 200	199 151 125 85 47 CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1	80 79 83 35 7					
140 160 180 200	199 151 125 85 47 2D <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> ,	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15	80 79 83 35 7					
140 160 180 200 Contemporature,	199 151 125 85 47 CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs	80 79 83 35 7					
140 160 180 200 Temperature, K 80	199 151 125 85 47 20 <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 $T_{1e} \approx τ_3 - τ_2, μs$ 225	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs 1.57	80 79 83 35 7 7 Τ <sub>1e</sub> ·T <sub>2e</sub> , μs <sup>2</sup> 353					
140 160 180 200 Temperature, K 80 100	199 151 125 85 47 20 <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 T <sub>1e</sub> ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs	80 79 83 35 7 Τ <sub>1e</sub> ·T <sub>2e</sub> , μs <sup>2</sup>					
140 160 180 200 Temperature, K 80 100 120	199 151 125 85 47 CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 $T_{1e}$ ≈ τ <sub>3</sub> − τ <sub>2</sub> , μs 225 150 111	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 $T_{2e}, \mu s$ 1.57 0.55 0.35	$     \begin{array}{r}       80 \\       79 \\       83 \\       35 \\       7 \\       7 \\       T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}, \mu s^{2} \\       \overline{353} \\       83 \\       39 \\       \overline{39}     \end{array} $					
140 160 180 200 ( Temperature, K 80 100 120 140	199 151 125 85 47 CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 $T_{1e} \approx τ_3 - τ_2, \\ μs$ 225 150	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 $T_{2e}, \mu s$ 1.57 0.55 0.35 0.45	80 79 83 35 7 Τ <sub>1e</sub> ·Τ <sub>2e</sub> , μs <sup>2</sup> <u>353</u> 83					
140 160 180 200 Temperature, K 80 100 120 140 160	199 151 125 85 47 20 <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 $T_{1e} \approx τ_3 - τ_2, \mu s$ 225 150 111 82	$\begin{array}{r} 0.40\\ \hline 0.52\\ \hline 0.66\\ \hline 0.41\\ \hline 0.15\\ \hline 25: Position 1\\ \hline T_{2e}, \mu s\\ \hline 1.57\\ \hline 0.55\\ \hline 0.35\\ \hline 0.45\\ \hline 0.60\\ \hline \end{array}$	$     \begin{array}{r}       80 \\       79 \\       83 \\       35 \\       7 \\       \\       T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}, \mu s^{2} \\       \hline       353 \\       83 \\       39 \\       36 \\       43 \\     \end{array} $					
140 160 180 200 ( Temperature, K 80 100 120 140	199 151 125 85 47 CD <sub>3</sub> -TOTAPOL 2 $T_{1e} \approx τ_3 - τ_2, \mu_s$ 225 150 111 82 72	0.40 0.52 0.66 0.41 0.15 25: Position 1 $T_{2e}, \mu s$ 1.57 0.55 0.35 0.45	$     \begin{array}{r}       80 \\       79 \\       83 \\       35 \\       7 \\       T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}, \mu s^{2} \\       \overline{353} \\       83 \\       39 \\       36 \\       \end{array} $					

SI Table 2. The transversal relaxation time with corresponding longitudinal relaxation time and saturation factor  $T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}$ 

The data corresponding the  $\mathsf{T}_{2e}$  are presented as rounded to the nearest second decimal digit

The transversal relaxation time dependences on temperature are depicted in **SI** Figure 18.



**SI Figure 18.** Transversal relaxation time dependence on temperature for TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H (red) and  $CD_3$ -TOTAPOL-25 (black) obtained at two spectral positions. The filled symbols with solid lines represent the spectral position 2 and the unfilled symbols with dashed lines represent the spectral position 1 (See Figure 3, the main text)

The dependences of product of  $T_{1e}$ ,  $T_{2e}$  on temperature are depicted in **SI Figure 19**.



**SI Figure 19.** Temperature dependence of product of  $T_{1e} \cdot T_{2e}$  for TOTAPOL-<sup>1</sup>H (red) and CD<sub>3</sub>-TOTAPOL-25 (black) obtained at two spectral positions. The filled symbols with solid lines represent the spectral position 2 and the unfilled symbols with dashed lines represent the spectral position 1 (See Figure 3, the main text).

## References

- 1. H. E. Gottlieb, V. Kotlyar and A. Nudelman, *The Journal of Organic Chemistry*, 1997, **62**, 7512-7515.
- 2. C. Song, K.-N. Hu, C.-G. Joo, T. M. Swager and R. G. Griffin, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2006, **128**, 11385-11390.
- 3. E. G. Rozantsev and H. Ulrich, *Free nitroxyl radicals*, Plenum press, New York; London, 1970.
- 4. R. Chiarelli and A. Rassat, *Tetrahedron*, 1973, **29**, 3639-3647.
- 5. F. Barbarin, B. Chevarin, J. P. Germain, C. Fabre and D. Cabaret, *Molecular Crystals and Liquid Crystals*, 1978, **46**, 195-207.
- K.-i. Yamada, Y. Kinoshita, T. Yamasaki, H. Sadasue, F. Mito, M. Nagai, S. Matsumoto, M. Aso, H. Suemune, K. Sakai and H. Utsumi, *Archiv der Pharmazie*, 2008, **341**, 548-553.
- 7. P. Schattling, F. D. Jochum and P. Theato, *Chemical Communications*, 2011, **47**, 8859-8861.
- 8. S. R. Burks, J. Bakhshai, M. A. Makowsky, S. Muralidharan, P. Tsai, G. M. Rosen and J. P. Kao, *J Org Chem*, 2010, **75**, 6463-6467.
- 9. J. Pauli, M. Baldus, B. van Rossum, H. de Groot and H. Oschkinat, *ChemBioChem*, 2001, **2**, 272-281.
- 10. A. E. Bennett, C. M. Rienstra, M. Auger, K. V. Lakshmi and R. G. Griffin, *The Journal of chemical physics*, 1995, **103**, 6951-6958.
- 11. K. R. Thurber and R. Tycko, *Journal of magnetic resonance*, 2009, **196**, 84-87.
- 12. K. R. Thurber, W. M. Yau and R. Tycko, *Journal of magnetic resonance*, 2010, **204**, 303-313.
- 13. M. Rohrer, O. Brügmann, B. Kinzer and T. F. Prisner, *Applied magnetic resonance*, 2001, **21**, 257-274.
- 14. V. P. Denysenkov, T. F. Prisner, J. Stubbe and M. Bennati, *Applied magnetic resonance*, 2005, **29**, 375-384.
- 15. S. Stoll and A. Schweiger, *Journal of magnetic resonance*, 2006, **178**, 42-55.