Electronic Supplementary Information

Mechanism of the Large Second Harmonic Generation Enhancement Activated by the Zn²⁺ Substitution

Miriding Mutailipu, ^{a,b} Zhi Li, ^a Min Zhang, ^{*a} Dianwei Hou, ^{a,b}

Zhihua Yang, a Bingbing Zhang, Hongping Wu and Shilie Pan a

^a Key Laboratory of Functional Materials and Devices for Special Environments, Xinjiang Technical Institute of Physics & Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences; Xinjiang Key

Laboratory of Electronic Information Materials and Devices, 40-1 South Beijing Road,

Urumqi 830011, China

^bUniversity of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

*Corresponding authors, E-mails: zhangmin@ms.xjb.ac.cn; slpan@ms.xjb.ac.cn

1. Results and Discussion

1.1. Synthesis and thermal behavior

The polycrystalline samples of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ and Sr₂MgSi₂O₇ for the measurement of thermal behavior were characterized by powder XRD (Fig. S3), which illustrates that those samples are pure phase without impurity. The TG and DSC curves of those samples are shown in Fig. S4. It is obvious that there are no any endothermic peakson each DSC curve and no weight loss on their TG curves within the region from 40 to 1400 °C, which shows that the melting temperature of both compounds are higher than 1400°C. The results guide us to use the flux method to obtain the single crystals.

1.2. Description of the structure

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data show that $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ crystallize in a tetragonal crystal system with a noncentrosymmetric space group of P 42_1m (No. 113). Since $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ are isostructural, only the structure of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ will be discussed in details as a representation.

In the asymmetric unit of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$, Sr, Zn, Si, O each occupies one, one, one and three crystallographically unique positions, respectively. The structure of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ is shown in Fig. S1, which can be seen as the structure consisting of $[ZnSi_2O_7]_{\infty}$ layers with the Sr atoms locating between these layers to balance the charge and holding the layers together through coordination with the O atoms.

In their structures, the Si and Zn (or Mg) atoms are four-coordinated to form AO₄ (A= Si, Zn and Mg) tetrahedra. The two SiO₄ tetrahedra are further connected by sharing an O atom to form the Si₂O₇ dimers (Fig. S1a). The ZnO₄ tetrahedra are linked with the Si₂O₇ dimers by vertex-sharing to form ${}^{2}_{\infty}$ [ZnSi₂O₇] layers (Fig. S1b) and stacked in the direction of *c* axis forming the final structures(Fig. S1c). In the SiO₄ tetrahedron, the bond distances range from 1.569(7) to 1.650(6) Å for Si-O, while all bonds of Zn-O in ZnO₄ tetrahedra are in the same distances of 1.925(5) Å. The bond valence calculations (BVSs) (Sr = 1.958, Zn = 2.201, O = 1.720 - 2.352, Si = 4.207) for Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ show that the Sr, Zn, Si and O atoms are in oxidation states of +2, +2 +4 and -2, respectively, which are in agreement with reported compounds previously.

Moreover, two ZnO₄ tetrahedra and three SiO₄ groups are connected to construct a tunnel along *c* axis with the diameters of 3.8 Å (Fig. S1d), then eight-coordinated SrO₈ hexahedra are located in the tunnels.

1.3. Structure comparison among Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ with α- and β-Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇.

It is worth comparing the structure of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ with those of α - and β -Ba2ZnSi2O7.1,2 There are some similarities in structure among them, all of those compounds contain topologically identical ²_∞[ZnSi₂O₇] layers (Figs. S1c, S2a and S2c) and Sr(or Ba) atoms locating between these layers to constitute the network structures. There are also some differences among three crystal structures with same molecular formula, and these differences are characterized as the following points: firstly, Si₂O₇ and ZnO₄ as the fundamental building blocks (FBBs) of $[ZnSi_2O_7]_{\infty}$ layers, are alternately parallelly arranged to form a layer for α and β -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇ (Figs. S2a and S2c). But for Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇, the adjacent Si₂O₇ groups are perpendicular to each other in a regular manner (Fig. S2c). Secondly, the tunnels composed of two ZnO₄ unites and two Si₂O₇ groups adopt different arrangements, which is shown in Fig. S2c (A for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$, B for α -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇, C for β -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇) and there are one, one and two Sr (or Ba) atoms located in the tunnels for three compounds, respectively. Thirdly, there is no shared-oxygen O atom between two ZnO₄ units in chains I and II for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and α -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇, respectively. While for chain III in β -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇, there is single atom between two ZnO₄ groups(Fig. S2d), which may lead link more closely in β -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇ than another.

1.4 Electronic structure

Electronic states near the band gap are important for the optical properties, so the electronic structure was analyzed. The density of states (DOS) and the partial density of states (PDOS) of $Sr_2MSi_2O_7$ (M = Zn and Mg) are shown in Fig. S8. The upper region of the valence band (VB) is mainly occupied by the O 2p orbitals for $Sr_2MSi_2O_7$ (M = Zn and Mg). The bottom of the conduction band (CB) mainly consists of the Zn 4s orbitals for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and Sr 5s for $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$. Hence, for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$, the band gap is determined by the O and Zn atoms, and the band gap of

 $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ is dependent on the O and Sr atoms. In contrast to the 3*s* orbitals of Mg, the 4*s* orbitals of Zn is more spatially extended. The spatially extended 4*s* orbitals will enhance the *sp* hybridization between Zn and O. This enhanced *sp* hybridization has two sequences: (1) it will enhance the bandwidth of 4*s* orbitals and reduce the band gap; (2) it will enhance the inter-band dipole and inter-band transition induced by laser light.



Fig. S1. Structure of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇. (a) The ZnO₄ tetrahedra and Si₂O₇ dimers. (b) The ${}^{2}_{\infty}$ [ZnSi₂O₇] layer in the structure viewed along the *c* axis.(c) Crystal structure of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ viewed in the direction of *b* axis. (c) The ${}^{2}_{\infty}$ [ZnSi₂O₇] layer in the structure viewed along the *c* axis. (d) The tunnel in Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇. The ZnO₄ and SiO₄ groups are shown in turquoise and rose, respectively.



Fig. S2. The ${}^{2}_{\infty}$ [ZnSi₂O₇] layers in α -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇ viewed along the *b* axis (a) and in β -Ba₂ZnSi₂O₇ viewed along the *c* axis (b). The tunnels of three compound (c). chains I , II and III for three compound (d). The ZnO₄ and SiO₄ groups are shown in turquoise and rose, respectively.



Fig. S3. Experimental and calculated XRD patterns of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ (a) and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ (b).



Fig. S4. The UV-vis-NIR diffuse reflectance spectra of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ and Sr₂MgSi₂O₇.



Fig. S5. Calculated band structures of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ (a) and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ (b). The weight of 3s-orbitals of Si is in red, and the weight of 3p-orbitals of O is in blue.



Fig. S6. Calculated band structures of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ (a) and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ (b). The weight of 3s-orbitals of Sr is in red, and the weight of 3p-orbitals of O is in blue.



Fig. S7. The TG and DSC curves of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ and Sr₂MgSi₂O₇.



Fig. S8. The density of states and the partial density of states of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ (a) and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$ (b).

| empirical formula | $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ | Sr ₂ MgSi ₂ O ₇ ³ |
|---|----------------------------------|---|
| Formula weight | 408.79 | 367.72 |
| Crystal system | Tetragonal | Tetragonal |
| space group | $P^{\bar{4}}2_1m$ (No. 113) | $P^{\bar{4}}2_1m$ (No. 113) |
| <i>a</i> (Å) | 7.956 (2) | 7.9957(10) |
| b (Å) | 7.956 (2) | 7.9954(10) |
| <i>c</i> (Å) | 5.136(3) | 5.1521(9) |
| Z | 2 | 2 |
| volume (Å ³) | 325.1 (2) | 329.54(8) |
| density (calcd) (g/cm ³) | 4.176 | 3.707 |
| abs coeff (mm ⁻¹) | 20.366 | - |
| F(000) | 380 | 344 |
| cryst size (mm ³) | 0.19 ×0.15 ×0.10 | $0.08 \times 0.07 \times 0.06$ |
| the range for data collection (deg) | 3.62 to 27.46 | - |
| index ranges | $-10 \le h \le 10, -4 \le k \le$ | |
| C | $10, -6 \le l \le 6$ | - |
| reflns collected/unique | 1978 / 416 | |
| - | [R(int) = 0.0259] | - |
| completeness to $\theta = 27.46^{\circ}$ | 100 % | - |
| data/restraints/param | 416 / 0 / 35 | - |
| GOF on F^2 | 1.192 | - |
| final <i>R</i> indices $[F_0^2 > 2\sigma(F_0^2)]^a$ | R1 = 0.0277, wR2 = | |
| | 0.0715 | - |
| <i>R</i> indices (all data) a | R1 = 0.0297, wR2 = | |
| | 0.0727 | - |
| extinction coeff | 0.010(3) | - |
| largest diff peak and hole (e/Å ³) | 0.637 and -1.288 | - |
| | | |

Table S1. Crystallographic data for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$.³

^{*a*} $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_0|$ and $wR_2 = [\Sigma w (F_0^2 - F_c^2)^2 / \Sigma w F_0^4]^{1/2}$ for $F_0^2 > 2\sigma (F_0^2)$ and $w^{-1} = \sigma^2 (F_0^2) + (0.0254P)^2 + 0.49P$ where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$.

| Atoms | x | У | Z | $U_{eq}(Å^2)$ | BVS |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|---------------|-------|
| $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ | | | | | |
| Sr | 0.3327(1) | 0.8327(1) | 0.0069(2) | 0.012(1) | 1.958 |
| Zn | 0.0000 | 1.0000 | 0.5000 | 0.012(1) | 2.201 |
| Si | 0.1365(3) | 0.6365(3) | 0.4498(6) | 0.026(1) | 4.207 |
| 01 | 0.5000 | 1.0000 | -0.3475(19) | 0.018(2) | 2.352 |
| 02 | 0.1385(6) | 0.6385(6) | -0.2446(12) | 0.017(2) | 1.720 |
| 03 | 0.0755(7) | 0.8096(7) | 0.3005(11) | 0.024(1) | 2.025 |
| $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7^2$ | | | | | |
| Sr | 0.3345(5) | 0.1655(5) | 0.5077(1) | - | 1.958 |
| Mg | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | - | 2.041 |
| Si | 0.1387(2) | 0.3613(2) | 0.9438(4) | - | 4.260 |
| 01 | 0.5000 | 0.0000 | 0.1603(15) | - | 2.184 |
| 02 | 0.1396(6) | 0.3604(6) | 0.2528(10) | - | 1.509 |
| 03 | 0.0793(5) | 0.1915(5) | 0.8034(7) | - | 1.950 |
| Sr | 0.3345(5) | 0.1655(5) | 0.5077(1) | - | 1.958 |

 Table S2. Atomic coordinates equivalent isotropic displacement parameters and bond

 valence Sum (BVS) for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7^a$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7^{.3}$

| Selected bond | Bond lengths | Selected bond | Bond lengths | | |
|--|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Sr ₂ ZnSi ₂ O ₇ | | | | | |
| Sr(1)-O(2) | 2.538(7) | Zn(1)-O(3)#7 | 1.925(5) | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) | 2.548(5) | Zn(1)-O(3) | 1.925(5) | | |
| $Sr(1)-O(3)^{\#1}$ | 2.548(5) | $Zn(1)-O(3)^{\#8}$ | 1.925(5) | | |
| Sr(1)-O(1) | 2.619(7) | Zn(1)-O(3) ^{#9} | 1.925(5) | | |
| $Sr(1)-O(2)^{\#2}$ | 2.732(5) | Si(1)-O(2) ^{#11} | 1.569(7) | | |
| Sr(1)-O(2) ^{#3} | 2.732(5) | Si(1)-O(1) ^{#10} | 1.623(5) | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) ^{#2} | 2.740(6) | Si(1)-O(3) | 1.650(6) | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3)#4 | 2.740(6) | Si(1)-O(3) ^{#1} | 1.650(6) | | |
| $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7{}^3$ | | | | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) | 2.538 | Mg(1)-O(3) | 1.942 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) | 2.548 | Mg(1)-O(3) | 1.942 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(2) | 2.548 | Mg(1)-O(3) | 1.942 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(1) | 2.619 | Mg(1)-O(3) | 1.942 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(2) | 2.732 | Si(1)-O(2) | 1.592 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(2) | 2.732 | Si(1)-O(3) | 1.610 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) | 2.740 | Si(1)-O(3) | 1.610 | | |
| Sr(1)-O(3) | 2.740 | Si(1)-O(1) | 1.658 | | |

Table S3. Selected bond lengths (Å) for $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$.³

| Compounds | Calculated (pm/V) |
|--|-------------------|
| Sr ₂ ZnSi ₂ O ₇ | 0.29 |
| Sr ₂ MgSi ₂ O ₇ | 0.07 |

Table S4. Calculated SHG effect of $Sr_2ZnSi_2O_7$ and $Sr_2MgSi_2O_7$

Table S5. Calculated band-resolved results of Sr₂ZnSi₂O₇ and Sr₂MgSi₂O₇

| Compounds | VE process | VH process |
|--|------------|------------|
| Sr ₂ ZnSi ₂ O ₇ | 98.02% | 71% |
| Sr ₂ MgSi ₂ O ₇ | 1.98% | 29% |

References and Notes

- (1) Kaiser, J. W.; Jeitschko, W.; Krist, Z. New. Cryst. St. 2002, 217, 25.
- (2) Lin, J. H.; Lu, G. X.; Du, J.; Su, M. Z.; Loong, C. K.; Richardson, J. W. J. Phys. Chem. Sol. 1999, 60, 975.
- (3) Kimata, M. Z. Kristallogr. 1983, 163, 295.