Supporting Information for:

Antiferromagnetic vs. non-magnetic ϵ phase of solid oxygen. Periodic Density Functional Theory studies using localized atomic basis and the role of exact exchange.

A. Ramírez-Solís,*a C. M. Zicovich-Wilsona,a R. Hernández-Lamonedab and A. J. Ochoa-Callec

^aDepto. de Física, Centro de Investigación en Ciencias, IICBA. Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos. Av. Universidad 1001, Cuernavaca. Morelos 62209, México. Corresponding author: alex@uaem.mx. ^bCentro de Investigaciones Químicas, IICBA. Universidad Autónoma del Estado de Morelos. ^cDepartamento de Ciencias Físicas, Universidad EAFIT, Carrera 49 No. 7 Sur-50, Medellín – Colombia

Supplementary Material

The following figures show the pressure evolution of the intramolecular angle in the unit cell for the antiferromagnetic (AF1, AF2) and non-magnetic (NM) unit cell models. Note that this angle remains ca. 90° for the completely non-magnetic structure at all pressures in good agreement with the experimental C2/m crystal group for the ε phase of solid oxygen.



Fig. S1 Intramolecular angle in the $(O_2)_4$ unit cell for the AF1(red up triangles), AF2 (red down triangles) and non-magnetic (black squares) models for the ε phase at the PBE level of theory. The experimental value is 90°.



Fig. S2 Intramolecular angle in the $(O_2)_4$ unit cell for the AF1(red up triangles), AF2 (red down triangles) and non-magnetic (black squares) models for the ε phase at the B3LYP level of theory. The experimental value is 90°.



Fig. S3 Intramolecular angle in the $(O_2)_4$ unit cell for the AF1(red up triangles), AF2 (red down triangles) and non-magnetic models (black squares) for the ε phase at the PBE0 level of theory. The experimental value is 90°.