Supporting Information

The Effects of Varying Solvent for MoS₂ Treatment on its

Catalytic Efficiencies for HER and ORR

Xing Juan Chua[†] and Martin Pumera*[†]

[†]Division of Chemistry & Biological Chemistry, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371, Singapore and

*Email: pumera@ntu.edu.sg

Supporting Information

Contents

- Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface morphologies of the deposited MoS₂ dispersed in various solvents.
- Values of the observed heterogeneous electron transfer (HET) rates, k⁰_{obs}, of MoS₂ dispersed in various solvent.
- 3. Control Experiment using solvent-only modified GCE for HER
- 4. Tafel Plots of the Freshly-Prepared MoS_2 -Dispersion.

1. <u>Scanning electron micrograph showing the surface morphologies of the</u> <u>deposited MoS₂ dispersed in various solvents.</u>



Figure S1: SEM images of MoS₂ drop-casted on the silicon oxide wafer. The aliquots were taken from MoS₂ dispersed in various solvents: (a) ACN (5mg/mL; 1μL), (b) DMF (5mg/mL; 1μL), (c) EtOH (5mg/mL; 1μL), (d) MeOH (5mg/mL; 1μL) and (e) water (1mg/mL; 5μL). Scale bars represent 100 μm. Legend: (*X* mg/mL, *Y* μL), *X* refers to the concentration of the dispersion and *Y* refers to the volume used for drop-casting, with the final MoS₂ loading being constant.

2. <u>Values of the observed heterogeneous electron transfer (HET) rates, k^{θ}_{obs} , of</u>

MoS₂ dispersed in various solvent.

Table S1: Calculated observed heterogeneous electron transfer rate constant k^0_{obs} for the Fe(CN)₆^{3-/4-} redox probe when MoS₂ is dispersed in various solvents. Bare glassy carbon electrode (GCE) is also shown for reference.

Solvent	k^{θ}_{obs} (cm s ⁻¹)
ACN	2.99 × 10 ⁻³
DMF	$5.90 imes 10^{-4}$
EtOH	3.53×10^{-3}
MeOH	2.74×10^{-3}
Water	1.88×10^{-3}
Bare GCE	3.20×10^{-3}





Figure S2: The control experiment for the HER where only solvents (without any material suspended) were drop-casted on bare GCE. (a) Polarization curve for HER and (b) the bar chart shows the overpotential required to reach the specific current density of -10 mA cm⁻². Conditions: 0.5 M H₂SO₄; scan rate: 2 mV s⁻¹. Error bars correspond to standard deviations

based on triplicate measurements. Potentials are with respect to RHE.

1 1 (b) (a) 0.5 0.5 **Overpotential (V)** DMF EtOH 0 0 -2 0 2 -2 0 2 1 1 (c) (d) 0.5 0.5 MeOH Water ACN 0 0 -2 0 2 -2 2 0 Log₁₀ |Current Density (mA cm⁻²)|

4. Tafel Plots of the Freshly-Prepared MoS₂-Dispersion.

Figure S3. The Tafel plots of the freshly prepared dispersions of MoS_2 in the five solvents. DMF, EtOH and water were separated to ensure clarity.