Supporting Information

Changing the dehydrogenation pathway of $\rm LiBH_4-MgH_2$ by adding nanosized lithiated $\rm TiO_2$

J. A. Puszkiel^{**}, M. V. Castro Riglos^b, F. Karimi^c, A. Santoru^c, C. Pistidda^c, T. Klassen^c, J.M. Bellosta von Colbe^c and M. Dornheim^c

1. Experimental details

1.1 Additive and material preparation

1.1.1 Additive preparation

As starting materials LiH (Alfa Aesar, powder, purity 99.4 %) and TiO₂ (Aldrich, 99.99%, 21 nm, weight fraction: 0.85 of anatase and 0.15 of rutile determined via a method described in^[1]) were used. In Fig. S1 A the XRD of the starting TiO₂ is shown. A stoichiometric mixture of $0.5LiH+TiO_2$ was milled during 2 h in Ar atmosphere using a P6 Pulverissette planetary device, an 80 cm³ milling chamber and ball to powder ratio of 40:1. As seen, the $0.5LiH+TiO_2$ after milling is composed of Li_xTiO₂ (x = 0.07 and 0.5) in agreement with previous works as rutile-TiO₂ forms Li-poor polymorphs and anatase-TiO₂ forms Li-rich polymorphs^[2,3], Fig. S1 B. Additionally, after the preparation process the presence of H₂ gas in the milling chamber atmosphere was verified with a Bacharach combustible gas leak detector. This confirms the interaction between LiH and TiO₂.



Fig. S1 XRD for A TiO_2 and B $0.5LiH+TiO_2$ after milling.

1.1.2 Materials preparation

 $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ stoichiometric mixture was milled for 2 h in Ar atmosphere using a P6 Pulverissette planetary device, an 80 cm³ milling chamber and ball to powder ratio of 40:1. 5 mol % of Li_xTiO₂ (x = 0.07 and 0.5) was added to $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ mixture and milled in the above described conditions for 2 h. The mixture, as decribed in the work resulted in $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ plus further lithiated TiO₂ (LixTiO2 with x = 0.59 and 1) which is also in agreement with previously reported works^[4,5]. As starting materilas LiBH₄ (Sigma aldrich, powder, purity \geq 90 %) and MgH₂ pre-milled for 10 h (Alfa Aesar, powder, purity 98 %).

1.2 Materials characterization

1.2.1 Powder X-ray diffraction (PXD)

Ex-situ Lab PXD experiments (Device: PANanalytical Empyrean, CuKa radiation, λ = 1.5405 Å, graphite monochromator, 30 mA and 40 kV) were carried out for crystalline phase identification for the materials after milling,

different stages of the dehydrogenation and hydrogenation-dehydrogenation during cycling. A specially sealed chamber was used to avoid the hydrolysis/oxidation during the experiment.

1.2.2 Titration measurements

The dehydrogen and hydrogenation kinetic the pure $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ and $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ materials were assessed in a Sieverts kind device with mass flow controllers. Non-isothermal measurements wer performed from room temperature to 425 °C and 450 °C under 3 bar of hydrogen overpressure. Isothermal hydrogenation and dehydrogenation measurements were carriesd out for the $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ at 400 °C and 425 °C under 50 bar and 3.0 bar of hydrogen pressure, respectively.

1.2.3 High resolution transmission electron microscopy (HR-TEM)

HR-TEM images were obtained on a Tecnai G² microscope with a point resolution of 0.12 nm and gun emission field operating at 200 keV. $2LiBH_4+MgH_2$ and $2LiBH_4+MgH_2+0.05Li_xTiO_2$ samples were observed at different conditions: after milling, different steps of the first dehydrogenation process and after re-hydrogenation. It is important to point out that each sample was observed at least 4 times and the statistics were done from an average of 50 HR images to assure the nanocrystalline structure, nanosize and morphology of the Ti containing and MgB₂ phases. Samples for TEM were prepared by dispersing a small amount of powder in hexane and then ultrasonicated 10 minutes before depositing a drop of the resulting suspension on a commercial copper grid with an amorphous Formvar film and carbon coating. The sample was exposed a short time to the air. In order to identify the Ti species rich zone, point elemental analysis via energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) was performed – not shown. TEM images processing were done with the following programs: Digital Micrograph (License N^o 90294175), iTEM (License N^o A2382500) and JEMs (License N^o 1Eb59yBDfIUMh).

1.2.4 Raman spectroscopy (RS)

Raman spectroscopy measurements were carried out on a Confocal Jobin Yvon Micro-Raman T64000 NIR-UV with 20×LWD objective. Spectra of the 2LiBH₄+MgH₂ and 2LiBH₄+MgH₂+0.05Li_xTiO₂ samples after different stages of the first dehydrogenation were collected at room temperature with a 514 nm excitation laser and 15 mW of laser power on the sample. The spectra were taken as an average of 10 iterations of 15 seconds. To prevent the samples from air exposure during the RS measurements, the samples (5–10 mg) were loaded into 7 mm diameter aluminium pans and sealed with optical quality glass window inside glove box. For RS analysis, reference peak positions of LiBH₄^{(6,7]}, Li₂B₁₂H₁₂^{(8–10]}, B^(9–13) and MgB₂^(10,13) were taken from the literature. Additionally, spectra for as-purchased MgB₂ (Alfa Aesar, powder, 325 mesh) and LiBH₄ (Sigma-Aldrich, purity ≥ 90%) were collected.

1.2.5 Thermogravimetry (TG)

TG measurements were performed in a TG device TGA-HP50F – TA Instruments with $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ and $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ samples after MM and different stages of the first dehydrogenation from room temperature to 450 °C at a heating rate of 5 °C/min and under 3 bar of H₂ maintained at a flow of 50 cm³/min. All samples were put into aluminium capsules in order to minimize the exposure to the air. Then the aluminium capsules were introduced into the TG device in a quarz crucible.

1.2.6 High Pressure-Differential Scanning Calorimetry (HP-DSC)

HP-DSC measurements were performed in a TA 2910 calorimeter with $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2$ and $2\text{LiBH}_4+\text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ samples after MM and different stages of the first dehydrogenation from room temperature to 390 – 400 °C. For HP-DSC a heating rate of 5 °C/min and hydrogen overpressure pressure of about 3 bar were utilized. All samples were put into aluminium capsules, pressed and carried to the DSC/HP-DSC device in a sealed vessel. Then, the aluminium capsules were introduced into the DSC device exposing them to air for a short time.

1.3 Material handling

All material handling was carried out in MBraun Unilab glove boxes with oxygen and moisture controlled atmosphere (level of O_2 and H_2O were below 5 ppm, respectively), so as to prevent the oxidation of the samples.

2. Additional results



Fig. S2 XRD patterns from 35° to 50° of 20 at Point 1 od the first dehydrogenation for $2LiBH_4+MgH_2$ and $2LiBH_4+MgH_2+0.05Li_xTiO_2$.



Fig. S3 HR-TEM, FFT, DP, structure simulations and size distribution of Li_xTiO_2 nanoparticles at different points of the 1st dehydrogenation from room temperature to 425 °C and 3 bar of hydrogen overpressure: **A** Point 1 and **B** Point 2, and **C** after re-hydrogenation at 425 °C and 50 bar of H₂.



Fig. S4 A HP-DSC curves, **B** TG curves and **C** HPDSC and TG measurements for (a) $2\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2$, (b) $2\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ after milling, (c) $2\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ during the first dehydrogenation (point 1, Fig. 3) and (d) $2\text{LiBH}_4 + \text{MgH}_2+0.05\text{Li}_x\text{TiO}_2$ after re-hydrogenation at 425 °C and 50 bar of H₂. **Comments:** 1 - The TG curve (C) presents a mass gain owing to the re-hydrogenation of free Mg since the dehydrogenation was performed at 3 bar of hydrogen overpressure and at about 300 °C the equilibrium pressure for hydrogenation is about 2 bar. Then as the temperature increases, the formed MgH₂ decomposes. This observation is supported by the XRD shown in Fig. 5S (d) where is possible to noticed the most intense reflection of MgH₂ at about $2\theta = 27^\circ$. 2 – The fact that the small mass loss from about 140 °C to 300 °C associated to hydrogen release is noticed by the TG technique, but it is not evident in the titration curves (Fig. 2) is related to the sensitivity of the titration device.



Fig. S5 A XDR for 2LiBH_4 +MgH₂+0.05Li_xTiO₂ after (a) milling, (b) milling and then heated up to 200 °C, (c) dehydrogenation at first point (Fig. 3) and (d) dehydrogenation at first point (Fig. 3) and then heated up to 200 °C. **B** Zoom from 2 θ = 35° – 55°.



Fig. S6 A Kinetic behaviour for $2LiBH_4 + MgH_2+0.05Li_xTiO_2$ at 425 °C under 50 – 3 bar of H_2 and **B** XDR after (a) re hydrogenation and (b) 2^{nd} dehydrogenation.



Fig. S7 Cycling at 400 $^{\circ}$ C for 2LiBH₄ + MgH₂+0.05Li_xTiO₂: **A** Hydrogenation under 50 bar of H₂ and **B** Dehydrogenation under 3 bar of H₂

References

- 1 R. A. Spurr and H. Myers, Anal. Chem., 1957, 29, 760.
- 2 W.J.H. Borghols, M. Wagemaker, U. Lafont, E. M. Kelder and F. M. Mulder, Chem Matter., 2008, 20, 2949.
- 3 W.J.H. Borghols, D. Lützenkirchen-Hecht, U. Haake, E. R. H. van Eck, F. M. Mulder and M. Wagemaker, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **11**, 5742.
- 4 M. Wagemaker, G. J. Kearly, Ad A. van Well, H. Mutka and F. M. Mulder, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 840.
- 5 E. Baurdin, S. Cassaignon, M. Koelssch, J.-P Jolivet, L. Dupont, J.-M. Tarascon, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2007, **9**, 337.
- 6 S. Orimo, Y. Nakamori, A. Züttel, Mater. Sci. Eng. B, 2004, 108, 51.
- 7 Y. Yan; A. Remhof, S. J. Hwang, H.W. Li, P. Mauron, S. Orimo and A. Züttel, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2012, 14, 6514.
- 8 Y. Yan, H.-W. Li, H. Maekawa, K. Miwa, S. Towata, S. Orimo, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2011, 115, 19419.
- 9 J.-H. Shim, J.-H. Lim, S.-U. Rather, Y.-S. Lee, D. Reed, Y. Kim, D. Book and Y. W. Cho, Y. J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2010, 1, 59.
- 10 K.-B. Kim, J.-H. Shim, S.-H. Park, I.-S. Choi, K. H. Oh, and Y. W. Cho, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, **119**, 9714.
- 11 S.-I Orimo, Y. Nakamori, N. Ohba, K. Miwa, M. Aoki, S.-I. Towata and A. Züttel, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2006, 89, 1.
- 12 J. Purewal, S.-J. Hwang, R. C. Jr. Bowman, E. Rönnebro, B. Fultz, and C. Ahn, J. Phys. Chem. C., 2008, **112**, 8481.
- 13 Z.G. Zhang, F.P. Luo, H. Wang, J.W. Liu and M. Zhu, Int. J. of Hydrgen Energy, 2012, 37, 926.