## New Insights into Support Morphology-Dependent Ammonia Synthesis

## Activity of Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalyst

Zhanwei Ma,<sup>a,b</sup> Shengli Zhao,<sup>a,b</sup> Xiaoping Pei,<sup>a,b</sup> Xumao Xiong<sup>a</sup> and Bin Hu<sup>a\*</sup>

a. State Key Laboratory for Oxo Synthesis and Selective Oxidation, Lanzhou

Institute of Chemical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou

730000, China

b. University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

**Table S1** the microstrain,  $S_{BET}$  and Pore volume of different morphology of  $CeO_2$  and the supported Ru catalysts.

Sample	Ru	S <sub>BET</sub>	Pore	D (%) <sup>b</sup>	Microstrain (%)		
	(wt %) <sup>a</sup>	(m²/g)	volume (cm³/g)		(1 0 0)	(1 1 0)	
r-CeO <sub>2</sub>		61.2	0.47		2.64	1.53	
c-CeO <sub>2</sub>		31.4	0.26		0.17	0.13	
p-CeO <sub>2</sub>		65.8	0.54		0.42	0.32	
Ru/p-CeO <sub>2</sub>	3.94	63.7	0.49	36.3 (0.07) <sup>c</sup>	0.37	0.30	
Ru/r-CeO₂	3.91	58.4	0.41	40.4 (0.09) <sup>c</sup>	1.60	0.91	
Ru/c-CeO <sub>2</sub>	3.97	31.2	0.24	23.1 (0.04) <sup>c</sup>	0.40	0.37	

a. Values determined by XRF

**b.** Values calculated based on the CO chemisorption results.

c. Values determined by XPS (the values was obtained from the intensity ratio  $I_{Ru}/I_{ce}$ )



Figure S1 the SEM images of  $c-CeO_2$ 



Figure S2 the TEM images of  $r-CeO_2$ 



Figure S3 TEM images of Ru/r-CeO<sub>2</sub> (a), Ru/c-CeO<sub>2</sub> (b), r-CeO<sub>2</sub> (c), and c-CeO<sub>2</sub> (d).



Figure S4 the elemental mapping of O, Ce and Ru in Ru /c-CeO<sub>2</sub>.



Figure S5 the elemental mapping of O, Ce and Ru in Ru /r-CeO<sub>2</sub>.



**Figure S6** Visible Raman spectra of the different morphology of CeO<sub>2</sub> and the corresponding catalysts,  $\lambda = 514$  nm.



Figure S7 UV Raman spectra of different morphology of CeO<sub>2</sub>,  $\lambda$  = 325 nm.



Figure S8 XPS survey spectra of three Ru/CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts.

Table S2. The relative amount of different components.

Sample	Ce <sup>4+</sup>	Ce <sup>3+</sup>	Ce <sup>3+</sup> /Ce <sup>4+</sup>	O <sub>C</sub>	Ov	OL	O <sub>V</sub> /O <sub>L</sub>	Ru <sup>0</sup>	$Ru_n^+$
c-CeO <sub>2</sub>	76.0	24.0%	0.32	7.6%	15.4%	77.0%	0.2	-	-
	%								
r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	70.2	29.8%	0.42	12.5%	20.4%	67.1%	0.3	-	-
	%								
Ru/p-CeO <sub>2</sub>	74.4	25.6%	0.34	12.4%	13.6%	74%	0.18	56.3%	43.7%
	%								
Ru/c-	67.3	30.7%	0.46	14.9%	20.9%	64.2%	0.33	20.2%	79.8%
CeO2	%								
Ru/r-CeO2	62.9	37.1%	0.59	18.5%	26.2%	55.3%	0.47	15.8%	84.2%
	%								



**Figure S9** Activity comparison of  $Ru/\gamma-Al_2O_3$ ,  $Ru/p-CeO_2$  and Ru/MgO catalysts. Reaction conditions:  $N_2$ : $H_2$  = 1:3, 60 mL/min, 1 MPa, 400 °C.



**Figure S10** XPS survey spectra of 2M-Ru/R-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts and the high resolution XPS spectra: Ce 3d, Ru 3d, Cs 3d, Ba 3d and K 2p. Before the XPS test, the catalysts were pretreated with H<sub>2</sub> at 400 °C for 2h.

Sample	Ce <sup>4+</sup>	Ce <sup>3+</sup>	Ce <sup>3+</sup> /Ce <sup>4+</sup>	Ru⁰	Ru <sub>n</sub> ⁺
Ru/r-CeO2	62.9%	37.1%	0.59	15.8%	84.2%
2Cs-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	77.8%	22.2%	0.29	47.6%	52.4%
2Ba-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	83.2%	25.5%	0.31	20.3%	79.7%
2K-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	74.5%	16.8%	0.23	48.9%	51.1%

Table S3 The relative amount of different components.



**Figure S11** Activity comparison of 2Cs-Ru/MgO, 6Ba-Ru/AC, 2Cs-Ru/r-CeO<sub>2</sub> and 2K-Ru/r-CeO<sub>2</sub> catalysts. Reaction conditions:  $N_2$ :H<sub>2</sub> = 1:3, 1 MPa, 400 °C, 60 mL/min.

catalysts	Ru	Pressure	Precursor	Rate (mmol/(g • h))			Ref.
	(wt %)	(MPa)		653 K	673 K	698 K	
2K-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	4	1	Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>	8.8	11.2		this
		3		12.5	19.6		work
							this
							work
2Ba-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	4	1	Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>	4.9	6.6		this
		3		7.4	12.3		work
							this
							work
2Cs-Ru/r-CeO <sub>2</sub>	4	1	Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>	13.3	14.2		this
		3		29.4	33.5		work
							this
							work
2Cs-Ru/MgO	4	1	Ru <sub>3</sub> (CO) <sub>12</sub>		10.53		this
							work
6Ba-Ru/AC	4	1	RuCl <sub>3</sub>		6.54		this
							work
Ba-Ru/GNF	-	3	RuCl₃	-	19.2		[1]
K-Ru/GNF	4	3	RuCl₃	-	4.85		[2]
Ba-K-Ru/AC	4	10	RuCl₃	-	-	88	[3]
Ru/ZrO <sub>2</sub> -KOH	3.8	3	K₂RuO₄	-	11.1	16.9	[4]
K-Ru/MgO	3.92	3	K₂RuO₄	-	8.91	13.87	[4]
K-Ru/Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub>	3.79	3	K₂RuO₄	-	2.08	2.86	[4]

**Table S4** Rate of ammonia synthesis over Ru catalysts supported on varioussupports and precursors.

## Reference

[1]. C. Liang, Z. Li, J. Qiu and C. Li, Journal of Catalysis, 2002, 211, 278-282.

[2]. Z. Li, C. Liang, Z. Feng, P. Ying, D. Wang and C. Li, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical, 2004, **211**, 103-109.

[3]. Y. Zhou, G. Lan, B. Zhou, W. Jiang, W. Han, H. Liu and Y. Li, Chinese Journal of Catalysis, 2013, **34**, 1395-1401.

[4]. Z. Q. Wang, Y. C. Ma and J. X. Lin, Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical, 2013, **378**, 307-313.