**Supporting Information for:** 

## Probing Bistability in Fe<sup>II</sup> and Co<sup>II</sup> Complexes with an Unsymmetrically Substituted Quinonoid Ligand

Margarethe van der Meer,<sup>*a,b*</sup> Yvonne Rechkemmer,<sup>*c,b*</sup> Frauke D. Breitgoff,<sup>*c*</sup> Sebastian Dechert,<sup>*d*</sup> Raphael Marx,<sup>*c*</sup> María Dörfel,<sup>*c*</sup> Petr Neugebauer,<sup>*c*</sup> Joris van Slageren,<sup>*c*,\*</sup> and Biprajit Sarkar<sup>*a*,\*</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup> Institut für Chemie und Biochemie, Freie Universität Berlin, Fabeckstraße 34-36, D-14195 Berlin, Germany. Email: <u>biprajit.sarkar@fu-berlin.de</u>

<sup>c</sup> Institut für Physikalische Chemie, Universität Stuttgart, Pfaffenwaldring 55, D-70569 Stuttgart, Germany. Email: <u>ipcjosl@ipc.uni-stuttgart.de</u>

<sup>*d*</sup> Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Georg August Universität Göttingen, Tammannstraße 4, D-37077 Göttingen, Germany.

<sup>b</sup> Both authors contributed equally to this work.

## X-ray

[1]BF<sub>4</sub>·2 CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> [2]BF<sub>4</sub>·2CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> compound empirical formula C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>40</sub>BCl<sub>6</sub>F<sub>4</sub>FeN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> C<sub>38</sub>H<sub>40</sub>BCl<sub>6</sub>CoF<sub>4</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> formula weight 970.11 973.19 T[K]140(2)133(2) crystal size [mm<sup>3</sup>]  $0.50\times0.33\times0.17$  $0.18 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$ crystal system monoclinic monoclinic space group  $P2_1/n$  $P2_{1}/n$ a [Å] 15.925(3) 15.7289(6) *b* [Å] 15.3978(4) 15.360(3) *c* [Å] 17.4980(7) 17.764(3) 90 90 α [°] 94.213(3) 92.216(4) β[°] 90 90 γ [°] V[Å<sup>3</sup>] 4226.4(3) 4342.0(14) Ζ 4 4 1.525 1.489  $\rho \left[ g/cm^{3} \right]$ *F*(000) 1984 1988  $\mu$  [mm<sup>-1</sup>] 0.798 0.824 0.7432 / 0.8680 0.6637 / 0.7452  $T_{\min} / T_{\max}$ 1.681 - 25.698 1.685 - 25.112 θ-range [°] *hkl*-range ±19, -18 - 17, ±21  $-18 - 17, \pm 18, \pm 21$ measured refl. 44734 34967 unique refl.  $[R_{int}]$ 7959 [0.0541] 7707 [0.0492] 6992 5201 observed refl.  $(I > 2\sigma(I))$ data / restraints / param. 7959 / 9 / 593 7707 / 8 / 580 goodness-of-fit  $(F^2)$ 1.059 1.112 0.0695, 0.1725 0.0605, 0.1435 R1, wR2 ( $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ) R1, wR2 (all data) 0.0784, 0.1779 0.0946, 0.1629 resid. el. dens. [e/Å<sup>3</sup>] -0.930 / 0.894 -0.668 / 0.729

Table S1. Crystal data and refinement details for [1]BF<sub>4</sub>·2CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>and [2]BF<sub>4</sub>·2CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S1** Magnetization curve for [1]BF<sub>4</sub> measured at 1.8 K. The best fit with the parameters given in the text is shown as a solid line.



**Fig. S2**. Isothermal LIESST study of [1]BF<sub>4</sub> at 15 K. The effect of sample heating due to laser irradiation can clearly be observed by jumps in  $\chi T$  on switching on and off the irradiation.



Fig. S3 <sup>1</sup>H-NMR of [1]<sup>+</sup> measured at 20 °C to -70 °C in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. S4** X-band EPR measurement of **[1]**BF<sub>4</sub> recorded at 4 K. The best fit with the parameters given in the text is shown as a dotted line.



**Fig.** S5  $\chi T$  as a function of *T* for the complex [2]BF<sub>4</sub> measured at 1000Oe. Inset: Magnetization curve for [2]BF<sub>4</sub> measured at 1.8 K. Simulations with the parameters given in the text are shown as solid lines.



Fig. S6 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $[2]^{2+}$  in acetone-d<sub>6</sub> measured after 12 h of dissolving the sample.



Fig. S7 <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of  $H_2L$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub>. Sample contains a residue of  $CH_2Cl_2$ .



Fig. S8  $^{13}$ C NMR spectrum of  $H_2L$  in CDCl<sub>3</sub>.