Electronic Supplementary Information for

Isolation and characterization of gem-diaurated species having two C–Au σ bonds in gold(I)-activated amidiniumation of alkyne

Jiwei Wang,^[a] Shichang Lv,^[a] Haifeng Chen,^[a] Min Shi,^[a,b], and Jun Zhang*,^[a]

^aKey Laboratory for Advanced Materials and Institute of Fine Chemicals, School of Chemistry & Molecular Engineering, East China University of Science and Technology, 130 Mei Long Road, Shanghai 200237, China.

^bState Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 354 Fenglin Road, Shanghai 200032 China

General Information:

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions and manipulations were performed using standard Schlenk techniques. All solvents were purified by distillation using standard methods. Commercially available reagents were used without further purification. NMR spectra were recorded by using a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm from tetramethylsilane with the solvent resonance as the internal standar (¹H NMR CDCl₃: 7.26 ppm; ¹³C NMR CDCl₃: 77.0 ppm; ¹³C NMR DMSO: 39.43 ppm). Mass spectra were recorded on the HP-5989 instrument by EI/ESI methods. X-ray diffraction analysis was performed by using a Bruker Smart-1000X-ray diffractometer.

Propiolic acid is commercially available and was used as received without further purification. Compound **1** was synthesized by the procedure we previously reported.¹

Preparation and characterization

Synthesis of complex 2b

The mixture of **1** (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) and AuCl·Me₂S (35 mg, 0.12 mmol) was stirred in the DCE (1 ml) at 25 °C for 30 min. All volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the rude product was washed twice with diethyl ether to afford pure **2b** as a white solid (63 mg, 81%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 10.05 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42-7.35 (m, 4H), 2.75-2.71 (m, 2H), 2.64-2.60 (m, 2H), 1.42 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.31 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 1.25-1.18 (m, 18H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO) δ = 173.65, 157.20, 154.16, 145.74, 137.68, 131.62, 128.30, 126.11, 124.56, 64.84, 45.02, 28.83, 24.76, 23.85, 23.48, 23.00, 22.77, 15.09; HRMS (ESI): m/z [2M-Cl]⁺ calcd. for C₅₆H₇₂Au₂ClN₄O₂⁺: 1261.4675; found: 1261.4663.

Synthesis of complex 2c

The mixture of PPh₃AuCl (50 mg, 0.10 mmol) and silver triflate (26 mg, 0.10 mmol) was stirred in the DCE (1 mL) at 25 °C for 15 minutes, then the solid components were filtered off and the filtrate was added to the solution of **1** (42 mg, 0.10 mmol) in the DCE (0.5 mL). After stirring for 30 min at 25 °C, all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The rude product was washed twice with diethyl ether to afford pure **2c** as a yellow solid (77 mg, 75%). ¹H NMR

(400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 10.48 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 9.28 (t, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61-7.54 (m, 4H), 7.53-7.45 (m, 7H), 7.35-7.26 (m, 8H), 7.05-6.99 (m, 2H), 2.73-2.59 (m, 4H), 1.30-1.21 (m, 24H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 158.09, 157.41, 145.71, 139.58, 134.09, 131.93, 131.65, 129.13, 128.48, 128.12, 125.92, 124.56, 65.80, 29.69, 29.45, 24.49, 23.98, 23.63, 15.22; ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 42.30; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M–OTf]⁺ calcd. for C₄₆H₅₁AuN₂OP⁺: 875.3405; found: 875.3408.

Synthesis of digold complex 3

The mixture of **2c** (30 mg, 0.03 mmol) and H₂O (50 µl) was stirred in the DCM (1 ml) at 25 °C. After stirred for 2 days, a 37.5% NMR yield of digold complex **3** was observed by NMR spectroscopy. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 7.49-7.27 (m, 32H), 7.26-7.16 (m, 4H), 7.04 (d, *J* = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 4.06 (s, 1H), 3.27-3.17 (m, 2H), 2.88-2.78 (m, 2H), 1.39-1.16 (m, 18H), 0.95-0.87 (m, 6H); ³¹P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl₃) δ = 37.60, 37.52; HRMS (ESI): m/z [M-OTf]⁺ calcd. for C₆₄H₆₇Au₂N₂O₂P₂⁺: 1351.4009; found: 1351.4247; ¹³C NMR data was not conclusive due to the presence of considerable PPh₃AuOTf and unreacted monogold complex **3**.

Acidolysis of complex 2c in the presence of HOTf:

The mixture of 2c (100 mg, 0.09 mmol) and trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (14 mg, 0.09 mmol) was stirred in the DCE (1.5 ml) at 25 °C for 3 h. All volatiles were removed under vacuum, a 35 % NMR yield of complex 4 was observed by NMR spectroscopy. The NMR analysis data of 4 are in full agreement with those reported in the literature.¹

Reference:

 Lv, S.; Wang, J.; Zhang, C.; Xu, S.; Shi, M.; Zhang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14941.

NMR Spectra:

Complex 2b



Complex 2c



160 155 150 145 140 135 130 125 120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 f1 (ppm) ju-1v1-1335 P31CPD CDC13 {D:\data\research\new\2015-4-30} nmr 19

-42.300

Dipp Dipp

120 115 110 105 100 95 90 85 80 75 70 65 60 55 50 45 40 35 30 25 20 15 10 5 0 f1 (ppm)

Complex 3

150427-JU-WJW-2164





f1 (ppm) **X-Ray Crystallography**. Each crystal was mounted on a glass fiber. Crystallographic measurements were made on a Bruker Smart Apex 100 CCD area detector using graphite monochromated Mo-Karadiation ($\lambda_{Mo-Ka} = 0.71073$ Å). The structures were solved by directed methods (SHELXS-97) and refined on F^2 by full-matrix least squares (SHELX-97) using all unique data. All the calculations were carried out with the SHELXTL18 program.

Key details of the crystal and structure refinement data are summarized in Table S1-S2. Further crystallographic details may be found in the respective CIF files, which were deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, Cambridge, UK [CCDC 1440087 (3)].

	3
Identification code	mo_50521aa
Formula	C ₆₅ H ₆₇ Au ₂ F ₃ N ₂ O ₅ P ₂ S
Formula weight	1501.14
<i>Т</i> , К	203(2)
crystal system	Triclinic
space group	P -1
<i>a</i> , Å	13.7513(10)
b, Å	16.025(2)
<i>c</i> , Å	17.1415(12)
α , deg	109.0540(10)
β , deg	110.9850(10)
γ, deg	96.8090(10)
Volume, Å ³	3212.7(5)
Ζ	2
$D_{\rm calc}$, Mg / m ³	1.552
absorption	4 700
coefficient, mm-1	4.700
F(000)	1484
crystal size, mm	0.260 x 0.170 x
	0.150
2θ range, deg	1.395 to 26.999
reflections	22748/13794
collected /unique	[R(int) =
······	0.0237]
data / restraints/	13794 / 60 / 729
parameters	
goodness of fit on F^2	1.084
final R indices	R1 = 0.0388
$[I > 2\sigma(I)]^a$	wR2 = 0.1113
R indices	R1 = 0.0540,
(all data)	wR2 = 0.1283
lgst diff peak	1.631 and -
and hole, $e/Å^3$	0.958

 Table S1. Crystal Data, Data Collection, and Structure Refinement for 3.