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## 1. Theoretical study

Calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 (Revision E.01) suite of programs. ${ }^{[1]}$ The geometric optimization of the structures and frequency analyses were conducted using the B3LYP functional with the 6 $31+\mathrm{G}^{* *}$ basis set, ${ }^{[2]}$ and single-point energies were calculated at the MP2(full)/6-311++G** level. All of the optimized structures were characterized to be true local energy minima on the potential-energy surface without imaginary frequencies

Based on the Born-Haber energy cycle (Fig. S1), the heat of formation of a salt can be simplified according to Equation. (1),
where $\Delta H_{\mathrm{L}}$ is the lattice energy of the salt
$\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}$ (ionic salt, 298 K$)=\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}($ cation, 298 K$)+\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\mathrm{o}}($ anion, 298 K$)-\Delta H_{\mathrm{L}}$
The $\Delta H_{\mathrm{L}}$ value could be predicted by the formula suggested by Jenkins et al. [Eq. 2], ${ }^{[2]}$ in which $U_{\text {Pot }}$ is the lattice potential energy and $n_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $n_{\mathrm{X}}$ depend on the nature of the ions $M_{\mathrm{p}}^{+}$and $X_{\mathrm{q}}{ }^{-}$, respectively, and are equal to three for monatomic ions, five for linear polyatomic ions, and six for nonlinear polyatomic ions.

## $\Delta H_{\mathrm{L}}=U_{\text {POT }}+\left[p\left(n_{\mathrm{M}} / 2-2\right)+q\left(n_{\mathrm{X}} / 2-2\right)\right] \mathrm{RT}$

The equation for the lattice potential energy, $U_{\mathrm{POT}}$, takes the form of Equation (3), where $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the density (g $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-3}\right), M_{\mathrm{m}}$ is the chemical formula mass of the ionic material (g), and the coefficients $\gamma\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \mathrm{~cm}\right)$ and $\delta\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-}\right.$ ${ }^{1}$ ) are assigned literature values. ${ }^{[3]}$
$U_{\text {POT }}\left(\mathrm{kJ} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}\right)=\gamma\left(\rho_{\mathrm{m}} / M_{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{1 / 3}+\delta$


Figure S1 Born-Haber cycle for the formation for energetic salts.
The remaining task was the determination of the heats of formation of the compound, which were computed by using the method of isodesmic reactions (Scheme S 1 ). The enthalpy of an isodesmic reaction ( $\Delta H_{\mathrm{f}}{ }^{\circ} 298$ ) was obtained by combining the MP2(full)/6-311++G** energy difference for the reaction, the scaled zero-point energies (B3LYP/6-31+G**). The heats of formation of the cations and anions being investigated could then be extracted readily.



Scheme S1 Isodesmic reactions for the calculations of heats of formation.

Table S1 Calculated (B3LYP/6-31+G**/MP2/6-311++G**) Total Energy (E0), Zero Point Energy (ZPE), Values of Thermal correction (HT), and Heats of Formation (HOF) $[\mathrm{kJ} / \mathrm{mol}]$ of the compounds.

| $E_{0}$ | ZPE | $H_{\mathrm{T}}$ | HOF |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


$-1134.0097148$
0.134902
0.152084
833.4

$-1133.5284642$

$-241.7583028$
0.059887
0.004507
192.88

$-225.7180621$
0.071265
0.004690
179.4

-225.1444688
0.056627
0.004552
124.2

| $\mathrm{CH}_{4}$ | -40.39849 | 0.044791 | 0.003812 | -74.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ | -56.43462 | 0.034377 | 0.003818 | -45.9 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -95.6318759 | 0.064032 | 0.004369 | -23.0 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NO}_{2}$ | -244.5543604 | 0.049857 | 0.005272 | -74.3 |

## 2. X-ray crystallography

Table S2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) for compound 3, 10, 12 and 16

| compound 3 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| N1-C1 | 1.466(9) | N2-C1 | 1.332(9) |
| N2-C2 | 1.323(9) | N3-N4 | 1.400 (8) |
| N3-C1 | 1.307(9) | N4-C2 | $1.375(8)$ |
| N4-C4 | 1.403(9) | N5-C2 | 1.333(9) |
| N6-C3 | 1.434(12) | N7-N8 | 1.320 (10) |
| N7-C3 | 1.320 (10) | N8-C5 | 1.357(9) |
| N9-C5 | 1.424(11) |  |  |
| compound 10 |  |  |  |
| N3-N4 | 1.355(2) | N3-C1 | 1.347(2) |
| N4-C3 | 1.337(2) | N7-C5 | 1.337(2) |
| N7-C4 | 1.342(2) | N6-N5 | 1.378(2) |
| N6-C4 | 1.304(2) | N5-C5 | 1.364(2) |
| N5-C2 | 1.404(2) | N9-C4 | 1.452(2) |
| N2-C3 | 1.444(2) | N8-C5 | 1.329(2) |
| $\mathrm{N} 1-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 1.440(2) |  |  |
| compound 12 |  |  |  |
| N1-C3 | 1.338(2) | N2-N1 | 1.348(2) |
| N2-C1 | 1.339(2) | N4-C1 | 1.439(2) |
| N8-C5 | 1.456(2) | N9-C4 | 1.341(2) |
| N5-C4 | 1.369(2) | N6-N5 | 1.384(2) |
| N6-C5 | 1.305(2) | N3-C3 | 1.442(2) |
| N7-C5 | 1.344(2) | N7-C4 | 1.335(2) |
| C2-N5 | 1.403(2) |  |  |
| compound 16 |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{Cu} 1-\mathrm{O} 1$ | 1.996(2) | N4-C1 | 1.434(3) |
| $\mathrm{Cu1}$-N1 | 1.964(2) | N5-N6 | 1.376(3) |
| N1-N2 | 1.345(3) | N5-C2 | 1.401(3) |
| N1-C1 | 1.349(3) | N5-C4 | 1.377(3) |
| N2-C3 | 1.339 (3) | N6-C5 | 1.316(3) |
| N3-C3 | 1.446 (3) | N7-C4 | $1.335(3)$ |


| $\mathrm{N} 8-\mathrm{C} 4$ | $1.331(3)$ | $\mathrm{N} 8-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.339(3)$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{N} 9-\mathrm{C} 5$ | $1.449(3)$ |  |  |
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