Supporting Information for

Structural Study of Complexes Formed by Acidic and Neutral Organophosphorus Reagents Alexander D. Braatz^{1,2}, Mark R. Antonio³, and Mikael Nilsson^{1,4}

¹ Department of Chemical Engineering and Materials Science, University of California Irvine, 916 Engineering Tower, Irvine, California 92697-2575 United States

²Nuclear Security and Isotope Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1 Bethel Valley Road, Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6243 United States

³Chemical Sciences & Engineering Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 South Cass Avenue, Lemont, Illinois 60439-4831 United States

⁴Department of Chemistry, University of California Irvine, 1102 Natural Sciences 2, Irvine, CA 92697-2025, United States.



Figure S1. Slope analysis for dysprosium extraction by TBP from 2 M HNO₃. Two separate experiments were carried out at different dates, one using 10⁻³ M Dy³⁺ and one with 10⁻⁴ M Dy³⁺. The dysprosium concentration in each phase was determined by neutron activation analysis as outlined in the manuscript.



Figure S2. The normalized primary X-ray absorption spectra, I_f/I_0 vs. energy for Lu in 0.2 M HNO₃ (top left), Lu in 2 M HNO₃ (top right), Dy in 0.2 M (middle left), Dy in 2 M HNO₃ (middle right), La in 0.2 M HNO₃ (bottom left), and La in 2 M HNO₃ (bottom right).



Figure S3: The normalized primary X-ray absorption spectra and linear combination fits (shown in red) for Lu in 2 M HNO₃ (left) and Dy in 2 M HNO₃ (right).

| | IIDDI |
|--|---------|
| | |
| each end member species giving rise to the final LC fits shown in Figure S2. | |
| Table S1. Linear combination (LC) fitting results showing the percentage wa | ight of |

| Organic Phase | Dy-TBP | Dy-HDBP | Lu-TBP | Lu-HDBP |
|------------------------|--------|---------|--------|---------|
| Composition | % | % | % | % |
| 0.75 M TBP/0.25 M HDBP | 56.9 | 43.1 | 23.4 | 76.6 |
| 0.5 M TBP/0.5 M HDBP | 26.7 | 73.3 | 11.9 | 88.1 |
| 0.25 M TBP/0.75 M HDBP | 9.5 | 90.5 | 5.5 | 94.5 |