Supporting Information

## Al-based coordination polymer nanotubes: Simple preparation,

## post-modification and application in Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions sensing

Xiaoyao Dao and Yonghong Ni\*

College of Chemistry and Materials Science, Key Laboratory of Functional Molecular Solids, Ministry of Education, Anhui Laboratory of Molecule-Based Materials, Anhui Key Laboratory of Functional Molecular Solids, Anhui Normal University. 1 Beijing Eastern Road, Wuhu, 241000, PR China. Fax: +86-553-3869303. E-mail: niyh@mail.ahnu.edu.cn



Figure S1. SEM image of MIL-110(Al) nanotubes before activation.



Figure S2. XRD patterns of MIL-110(Al) nanotubes before and after activation, and simulated MIL-110(Al). The inset is the EDS analysis of MIL-110(Al) nanotubes.



Figure S3. FT-IR spectra of the products before and after activating at 130 °C.



**Figure S4.** XRD patterns of the products obtained from various solvents: (a) pure methanol, (b) pure ethanol, (c) DMF-ethanol with the volume ratio of 10/10, (d) isopropanol-ethanol with the



volume ratio of 10/10 and (e) water-ethanol with the volume ratio of 10/10.

Figure S5. EDS analyses of MIL-110(Al)/Ln<sup>3+</sup> and corresponding SEM images: (a) MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup>, (b) MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup>, Tb<sup>3+</sup> and (c) MIL-110(Al)/Tb<sup>3+</sup>.



Figure S6. (a) XRD patterns and (b) N<sub>2</sub> adsorption-desorption isotherms (at 77 K) of the MIL-

 $110(Al)/Ln^{3+}$  (Ln = Eu, Tb and Eu/Tb).



Figure S7. The FT-IR spectra of various products: (a) MIL-110(Al), (b) MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup>, (c) MIL-110(Al)/Tb<sup>3+</sup>, and (d) MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup>, Tb<sup>3+</sup>.



Figure S8. Luminescence intensity histograms of MIL-110(Al)/Tb<sup>3+</sup> nanotubes dispersed into aqueous solutions of  $2.0 \times 10^{-2}$  mol·L<sup>-1</sup> Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions for various durations.

As shown in **Fig.S8**, under the same experimental conditions  $Fe^{2+}$  ions cannot fully quench the luminescence of MIL-110(Al)/Tb<sup>3+</sup> nanotubes. With the prolonging of the time from 0 to 48 h, the luminescent intensity further reduces, but it is still higher than that after introducing Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions. We believe that the decrease of the PL intensity should be ascribed to the increase of Fe<sup>3+</sup> concentration in the solution due to the gradual oxidation of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions in air. Therefore, pure O<sub>2</sub> was injected the solution of Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions after having been placed for 48 h. The result showed that the luminescence of MIL-110(Al)/Tb was quenched immediately. The above fact clearly shows that Fe<sup>2+</sup> ions do not disturb the detection of Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions in the current work.



Figure S9. EDS analysis of the sample after the luminescent quenching by  $Fe^{3+}$  ions

analyzed by the ICP technology. Sample Al(ppm) Tb(ppm) Eu(ppm) Al/Ln molar ratio MIL-110(Al)/Tb<sup>3+</sup> / 40.84 17.79 13.5/1 MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup> / 6.75 34.8/1 41.73 MIL-110(Al)/Eu<sup>3+</sup>,Tb<sup>3+</sup> 39.83 5.08 3.51 63.9/1.4/1

**Table S1.** The contents of various metal ions in MIL-110(Al)/Ln<sup>3+</sup> (Ln = Tb<sup>3+</sup>, Eu<sup>3+</sup>, Eu<sup>3+</sup>/Tb<sup>3+</sup>) analyzed by the ICP technology.

Table S2. The contents of various metal ions in MIL-110(Al) samples after exposure to target metal

Sample	Al(ppm)	Tb(ppm)	M <sup>n+</sup> (ppm)	Al:Tb:M <sup>n+</sup> molar ratio
MIL-110(Al)/Tb <sup>3+</sup> /K <sup>+</sup>	41.22	17.79	4.93	13.51:1:1.125
MIL-110(Al)/Tb <sup>3+</sup> /Fe <sup>3+</sup>	34.58	0.18	22.50	1131:1:361