1	Supplementary Material for						
2	Concentrations of a Triplet Excited State are Enhanced in Illuminated Ice						
3	Zeyuan Chen and Cort Anastasio						
4							
5	Accepted to Environmental Science: Processes and Impacts on December 8, 2016						
6							
7	Section S1. Kinetic Analysis of System						
8	We first consider a relatively simple set of equations that describe the main sources and						
9	sinks for ³ DMB [*] in our illuminated samples. ³ DMB [*] is formed when ground-state DMB absorbs						
10	a photon (with rate constant $j_{hv,abs}$) to form an excited singlet state (via SR1), which can either						
11	decay back to the ground (singlet) state (SR2) or undergo intersystem crossing to reach the						
12	excited triplet state (SR3):						
	$DMB + hv \rightarrow {}^{1}DMB^{*} $ (SR1)						
	$^{1}\text{DMB}^{*} \rightarrow \text{DMB}$ (SR2)						

$$DWB \rightarrow DWB$$
 (SK2)

$${}^{1}\text{DMB}^{*} \rightarrow {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} \tag{SR3}$$

13 The quantum yield for intersystem crossing, Φ_{ISC} , describes the fraction of singlet excited states 14 that form triplets via SR3. Thus, the product $j_{hv,abs}$ [DMB] is the rate of light absorption by DMB 15 in the sample and the product $j_{hv,abs}$ [DMB] Φ_{ISC} is the rate of triplet formation.

16 There are four main sinks for ³DMB^{*} in our solution and LLR samples: reaction with 17 dissolved oxygen (SR4, with rate constant $k_{O_2+3}DMB^*$), chemical reaction with phenol (SR5, 18 with rate constant $k_{PhOH+3}DMB^*$), physical quenching by phenol (SR6, with an apparent rate 19 constant k_Q), and unimolecular decay back to the ground state, e.g., via phosphorescence (SR7, 20 with rate constant k'_{3DMB^*}).

$${}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} + \text{O}_{2} \rightarrow \text{DMB} + {}^{1}\text{O}_{2}^{*} \tag{SR4}$$

$$^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} + \text{PhOH} \rightarrow \text{products}$$
 (SR5)

$$^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} + \text{PhOH} \rightarrow \text{DMB} + \text{PhOH}$$
 (SR6)

$$^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} \rightarrow \text{DMB}(+hv') \tag{SR7}$$

22 It is likely that SR6 is a net reaction that involves reaction SR5 to form a phenoxyl radical,

23 followed by reduction of this radical back to PhOH.¹

From the seven reactions above we can derive expressions for the steady-state

25 concentration of ³DMB^{*}, [³DMB^{*}], and for the pseudo-first-order rate constant for loss of PhOH,

26 k'_{PhOH} . The value of [³DMB^{*}] is determined by equating the formation and loss rates for the

27 triplet

$$j_{hv,abs}[DMB]\Phi_{ISC}$$
(S1)
= $(k_{O_2 + {}^3DMB^*} [O_2] + (k_{PhOH + {}^3DMB^*} + k_Q)[PhOH]$
+ $k'_{3DMB^*})[{}^3DMB^*]$

and then re-arranging to

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}\end{bmatrix} = \frac{j_{\text{hv,abs}}[\text{DMB}]\Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{k_{\text{O}_{2}+ {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}}[\text{O}_{2}] + (k_{\text{PhOH}+ {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}} + k_{\text{Q}})[\text{PhOH}] + k'_{3\text{DMB}^{*}}}$$
(S2)

29 Rearranging Equation 3 in the main text we can express the background-corrected rate constant

30 for phenol loss due to reaction with ³DMB^{*}, i.e., $k'_{PhOH} - j_{PhOH}$:

$$k'_{\rm PhOH} - j_{\rm PhOH} = k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} \left[\ ^3DMB^*\right]$$
(S3)

31 Substituting the DMB triplet steady-state concentration from S2 into S3 yields

$$k'_{\rm PhOH} - j_{\rm PhOH} = \frac{k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} j_{\rm hv,abs} [\rm DMB] \Phi_{\rm ISC}}{k_{\rm O_2+\ ^3DMB^*} [\rm O_2] + (k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} + k_{\rm Q}) [\rm PhOH] + k'_{\rm 3DMB^*}}$$
(S4)

There are two limiting cases for this background-corrected rate constant. The first is when dissolved oxygen is the dominant sink for ³DMB^{*}, i.e., at low phenol concentrations when $k_{O_2+3}DMB^*[O_2] \gg (k_{PhOH+3}DMB^* + k_Q)[PhOH] + k'_{3}DMB^*$. In this case Equation S4 simplifies to an expression that is independent of the phenol concentration:

$$k'_{\rm PhOH} - j_{\rm PhOH} \approx \frac{k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} j_{\rm hv,abs} [\rm DMB] \Phi_{\rm ISC}}{k_{\rm O_2+\ ^3DMB^*} [\rm O_2]}$$
 (S5)

36 The second limiting case is when phenol is the dominant sink for the triplet and S437 simplifies to

$$k'_{\rm PhOH} - j_{\rm PhOH} \approx \frac{k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} j_{\rm hv,abs} [\rm DMB] \Phi_{\rm ISC}}{(k_{\rm PhOH+\ ^3DMB^*} + k_{\rm Q})[\rm PhOH]}$$
(S6)

38 In this case the steady-state concentration of ³DMB^{*} decreases with increasing phenol

39 concentration (because PhOH is the major sink) and thus the rate constant for phenol loss is

40 inversely proportional to [PhOH].

41 Section S2. Kinetic Explanation of the Slopes in Figure 1

42 Figure 1 shows the apparent rate constant for PhOH loss, k^*_{PhOH} , as a function of the 43 DMB concentration for both ice and solution samples. Here we derive an equation to describe 44 this relationship. We start with equation S4, rearranged slightly, in solution where O₂ is the 45 dominant sink for the triplet state of DMB:

$$k'_{\text{PhOH,LIQ}} = \left(\frac{k_{\text{PhOH+3DMB}*}j_{\text{hv,abs}}\Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{k_{0_2+3_{\text{DMB}*}}[0_2]}\right)_{\text{LIQ}} [\text{DMB}]_{\text{LIQ}} + j_{\text{PhOH,LIQ}}$$
(S7)

46 To remove any influence of variations in photon fluxes between experiments we divide each

47 term by j_{2NB} and make the substitutions from equations 4 and 5:

$$k_{\rm PhOH,LIQ}^{*} = \left(\frac{k_{\rm PhOH+3DMB*} j_{\rm h\nu,abs} \Phi_{\rm ISC}}{k_{\rm O_{2}+\ ^{3}DMB^{*}} \left[O_{2}\right] j_{\rm 2NB}}\right)_{\rm LIQ} [\rm DMB]_{\rm LIQ} + j_{\rm PhOH,LIQ}^{*}$$
(S8)

48 This is the general equation for the solution line in the plot of k^*_{PhOH} versus [DMB] in Figure 1,

49 with a slope equal to the term in parentheses and a *y*-intercept equal to j_{PhOH}^* . Following a similar

50 procedure, we can derive the analogous equation for the liquid-like regions of the ice samples:

$$k_{\rm PhOH,LLR}^{*} = \left(\frac{k_{\rm PhOH+3DMB*}j_{\rm hv,abs}\Phi_{\rm ISC}}{k_{\rm O_{2}+\ ^{3}DMB^{*}}\left[O_{2}\right]j_{\rm 2NB}}\right)_{\rm LLR} [DMB]_{\rm LLR} + j_{\rm PhOH,LLR}^{*}$$
(S9)

Since the *x*-axis of Figure 1 is the concentration of DMB in the initial solution (prior to freezing), we make the substitution $[DMB]_{LLR} = F[DMB]_{LIQ}$ (where *F* is the freeze concentration factor) to yield

$$k_{\rm PhOH,LLR}^{*} = \left(\frac{k_{\rm PhOH+3DMB*}j_{\rm hv,abs}\Phi_{\rm ISC}F}{k_{\rm O_{2}+\ ^{3}DMB^{*}}\left[O_{2}\right]j_{\rm 2NB}}\right)_{\rm LLR} [DMB]_{\rm LIQ} + j_{\rm PhOH,LLR}^{*}$$
(S10)

This allows us to consider the ice rate constant for PhOH loss in terms of the initial solutionconcentration of DMB, as in Figure 1.

Using the slope terms from Equations S8 and S10 we can compare the ratio of the ice and
liquid slopes in Figure 1:

$$\frac{m_{\rm LLR}}{m_{LIQ}} = \frac{\left(\frac{k_{\rm PhOH+3DMB*}j_{\rm hv,abs}\Phi_{\rm ISC}F}{k_{\rm O_2+\ ^3DMB^*}\left[O_2\right]j_{\rm 2NB}}\right)_{\rm LLR}}{\left(\frac{k_{\rm PhOH+3DMB*}j_{\rm hv,abs}\Phi_{\rm ISC}}{k_{\rm O_2+\ ^3DMB^*}\left[O_2\right]j_{\rm 2NB}}\right)_{\rm LIQ}}$$
(S11)

58 There are likely two main variables that determine the difference in the LLR and LIQ 59 values for the parameters in Equation S11: ionic strength (which is much higher in the LLRs 60 compared to solution) and temperature (which is 15 °C lower in the LLRs compared to solution). Since the ³DMB^{*} reactions in our system involve only neutral species (i.e., ³DMB^{*}, PhOH, and 61 62 O₂), the impact of ionic strength is likely to be small. As for temperature, we do not know the temperature dependencies for the numerator and denominator in Equation S11, but here we 63 64 examine the likely dependencies for individual terms. First, as discussed for Equation 10 in the 65 main text, the second-order rate constant $k_{PhOH+3DMB*}$ appears to have no significant temperature dependence based on solution kinetics of PhOH + ${}^{3}DMB*$ between 20 and 5 °C (Smith ref). 66 Second, while we are unable to find data on the temperature dependence of the $O_2 + {}^3DMB^*$ 67 reaction, activation energies (E_a) for the reaction of O₂ with four other triplet states in toluene² 68 are all small, near 10 kJ mol⁻¹. If E_a for $k_{O2+3DMB*}$ is similar, this rate constant at -10°C would be 69 70 only 30% smaller than the value at 5 °C. Based on these values, the ratio of these rate constants 71 in Equation S11 is approximately unity, i.e.,

$$\frac{\left(\frac{k_{\text{PhOH}+3\text{DMB}*}}{k_{0_2}+{}^3\text{DMB}*}\right)_{\text{LLR}}}{\left(\frac{k_{\text{PhOH}+3\text{DMB}*}}{k_{0_2}+{}^3\text{DMB}*}\right)_{\text{LIQ}}} \approx 1$$
(S12)

72

Similarly, j_{2NB} is independent of both temperature and phase:³

$$\frac{\left(\frac{1}{j_{2NB}}\right)_{LLR}}{\left(\frac{1}{j_{2NB}}\right)_{LIQ}} = 1$$
(S13)

We expect that the rate constant for light absorption by DMB, $j_{hv,abs}$, is similarly independent of temperature, i.e.,

$$\frac{(j_{h\nu,abs})_{LLR}}{(j_{h\nu,abs})_{LIO}} \approx 1$$
(S14)

This is because the two components of $j_{hv,abs}$, the photon flux and DMB molar absorptivities, are essentially independent of T in our narrow range.

77 Making the three substitutions above into Equation S11 yields a ratio of slopes in Figure78 1 of

$$\frac{m_{\text{LLR}}}{m_{LIQ}} = \frac{\left(\frac{\Phi_{\text{ISC}}F}{[O_2]}\right)_{\text{LLR}}}{\left(\frac{\Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{[O_2]}\right)_{\text{LIQ}}} = F\frac{\left(\frac{\Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{[O_2]}\right)_{\text{LLR}}}{\left(\frac{\Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{[O_2]}\right)_{\text{LIQ}}}$$
(S15)

79 Thus the ratio of the ice to liquid slopes is equal to the freeze-concentration factor modified by 80 any change between liquid-like regions and solution in the quantum yield for intersystem 81 crossing and the O_2 concentration. We do not know if either Φ_{ISC} or $[O_2]$ are significantly 82 different between LLRs and solution, so the importance of these factors is unclear. For the latter 83 term, if a liquid-like region at -10 °C is in contact with air then its dissolved O₂ concentration is 84 30% higher than in an air-saturated solution at 5 °C (Section S3 below). However, micro-CT 85 imaging shows that LLRs in our ice samples tend to be wrapped around internal gas bubbles whose composition is unknown.⁴ If the partial pressure of O₂ in these bubbles is not equal to the 86 87 atmospheric value then the LLR concentration of dissolved O₂, and the ratio of the slopes in 88 equation S15, will vary accordingly.

89	Table S1. Summary table of measured values of k^*_{PhOH} and j^*_{PhOH} , and the corresponding
90	calculated value of F_{EXP} . All error values are 1 standard error (SE), propagated from values of
91	$j_{2\text{NB}}$ and from k_{PhOH}^* and j_{PhOH}^* for ice and liquid samples (see text for more details). The
92	standard condition for each experiment is 100 nM PhOH, 100 nM DMB, 2 mM total solute
93	concentration, pH 4, and a temperature of -10 °C for ice and 5 °C for solution. In each set of
94	tests, the test variable is changed while the remaining variables are kept at standard condition.

Phenol (nM)	k^{*}_{PhOH} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	$\mathop{\mathrm{SE}}\limits_{k^*{}_{\mathrm{PhOH}}}$	j^{*} PhOH (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	${\rm SE}j^{*}_{\rm PhOH}$	$F_{\rm EXP}$	SE F_{EXP}				
-10 °C Phenol Dependence Test										
10	5.9E-02	1.2E-02	1.3E-02	3.3E-03	57	30				
50	1.3E-01	1.8E-02	6.4E-03	8.1E-04	97	19				
100	1.1E-01	1.2E-02	7.2E-03	2.3E-03	85	17				
500	8.4E-02	1.3E-02	1.6E-03	1.5E-04	120	41				
5 °C Phenol Dependence Test										
10	1.1E-03	3.9E-04	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
50	1.3E-03	1.6E-04	8.8E-05	9.7E-06	N/A	N/A				
100	1.4E-03	2.0E-04	1.9E-04	2.6E-05	N/A	N/A				
500	1.1E-03	1.7E-04	4.4E-04	9.5E-05	N/A	N/A				
DMB (nM)	k^{*}_{PhOH} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	$\mathop{\mathrm{SE}}\limits_{k^*{}_{\mathrm{PhOH}}}$	j^{*}_{PhOH} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	${\rm SE}j^{*}_{\rm PhOH}$	$F_{\rm EXP}$	SE F_{EXP}				
-10 °C DMB Dependence Test										
0	1.3E-02	3.3E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	N/A	N/A				
5	1.1E-02	1.5E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	N/A	N/A				
10	2.7E-02	1.2E-02	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	170	170				
15	1.8E-02	5.0E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	43	54				
20	2.8E-02	2.9E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	92	52				
50	3.8E-02	8.7E-03	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	6	38				
100	5.9E-02	1.2E-02	1.4E-02	2.5E-03	57	32				
5 °C DMB Dependence Test										
0	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
50	1.1E-03	1.6E-04	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
100	1.1E-03	3.9E-04	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
150	2.1E-03	3.1E-04	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
200	24E-03	3 9E-04	2 7E-04	4 7E-05	N/A	N/A				

Table S1, continued

TS (mM)	k^*_{PhOH} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	$\mathop{\mathrm{SE}}\limits_{k^{*}_{\mathrm{PhOH}}}$	j^{*}_{PhOH} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	SE j [*] _{PhOH}	$F_{\rm EXP}$	SE F_{EXP}				
-10 °C Total Solute Concentration Dependence Test										
0.1	1.1E-01	2.0E-02	7.1E-03	1.3E-03	110	25				
2.0	1.1E -0 1	1.2E-02	7.2E-03	2.3E-03	85	17				
20.0	1.1E-01	1.6E-02	3.9E-03	1.1E - 03	214	46				
5 °C Total Solute Concentration Dependence Test										
0.1	1.2E-03	7.7E-05	3.2E-04	2.4E-05	N/A	N/A				
2.0	1.1E -03	3.9E-04	2.7E-04	4.7E-05	N/A	N/A				
20.0	9.2E-04	7.1E-05	4.3E-04	1.9E-05	N/A	N/A				
5400	4.4E-03	2.4E-04	2.1E-03	4.8E-04	N/A	N/A				
Temp	k^{*}_{PhOH}	SE	ÍPhOH	ог. : [*]	F					
(°C)	(s^{-1}/s^{-1})	k^{*}_{PhOH}	(s^{-1}/s^{-1})	SEJ PhOH	<i>F</i> _{EXP}	SE F_{EXP}				
Temperatur	Temperature Dependence Test									
5	1.08E-03	3.92E-04	2.69E-04	4.73E-05	N/A	N/A				
-5	8.39E-02	1.29E-02	2.66E-03	3.10E-04	67	15				
-10	1.10E-01	1.17E-02	7.21E-03	2.26E-03	85	17				
-15	8.39E-02	1.29E-02	1.99E-03	1.07E-04	68	15				
-20	1.10E-01	1.17E-02	2.41E-03	1.47E-04	89	18				
рН	k^*_{phenol} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	se k^*_{phenol}	j^{phenol} (s ⁻¹ /s ⁻¹)	sej^{*}_{phenol}	F_{EXP}	se $F_{\rm EXP}$				
-10 °C pH Dependence Test										
3	1.60E-01	3.36E-02	1.06E-02	1.93E-03	86	24				
4	1.10E-01	1.17E-02	7.21E-03	2.26E-03	85	17				
5	6.32E-03	1.15E-03	6.17E-04	5.42E-05	190	370				
5 °C pH Dependence Test										
3	1.92E-03	2.68E-04	1.88E-04	1.29E-05	N/A	N/A				
4	1.08E-03	3.92E-04	2.69E-04	4.73E-05	N/A	N/A				
5	2.48E-04	2.87E-05	2.17E-04	5.31E-05	N/A	N/A				

96

97 Average $(\pm 1 \sigma) F_{\text{EXP}}$ for all experiments = 95 ± 50

99 Section S3. Calculation of ³DMB^{*} sinks in ice

100 As shown in Equation S2, the expression for the triplet concentration has a denominator 101 that represents the total rate constant for loss of ${}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}$. Under our conditions oxygen is the main 102 sink for ${}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}$, 1,5 with an approximate rate constant in solution at room temperature of 2×10^{9} 103 M⁻¹ s^{-1,1,6-8}

104 We estimate the dissolved oxygen concentration within ice LLRs using Henry's Law:

$$[0_2] = p_{02} K_{\rm H} \tag{S16}$$

where p_{O2} represents the partial pressure of O_2 above the LLRs (which we assume is 0.21 atm, the atmospheric value) and $K_{\rm H}$ represents the Henry's Law constant, which is a function of temperature:⁹

$$K_{\rm H}({\rm T}) = K_{\rm H}^{\Theta} \times \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta_{\rm sol}{\rm H}}{R} \left(\frac{1}{{\rm T}} - \frac{1}{{\rm T}^{\Theta}}\right)\right) \tag{S17}$$

In this equation $K_{\rm H}(T)$ is the Henry's Law constant at temperature T; $K_{\rm H}^{\Theta}$ is the Henry's Law 108 constant $(1.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M atm}^{-1})^{10}$ at reference temperature T^{Θ} (298.15 K); Δ_{sol} H is the enthalpy of 109 dissolution $(12.06 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1})^{11}$; and *R* is the ideal gas constant (8.314 J K⁻¹ mol⁻¹).¹² 110 Calculated values of $K_{\rm H}({\rm T})$ at 5 °C (solution) and -10 °C (ice) are 1.8×10^{-3} M atm⁻¹ and $2.4 \times$ 111 10^{-3} M atm⁻¹, respectively. These values result in O₂ concentrations in air-saturated water of 390 112 and 520 μ M at 5 °C and -10 °C, respectively, corresponding to $k_{O2+3DMB*}[O_2]$ values of 7.8 × 10⁵ 113 s⁻¹ (i.e., a triplet lifetime of 1.3 μ s) and 1.0 \times 10⁶ s⁻¹ (i.e., a triplet lifetime of 1.0 μ s), respectively. 114 115 PhOH is a secondary sink for the DMB triplet in our system. Its concentration in ice 116 LLRs can be estimated by multiplying its solution concentration by the freeze concentration 117 factor at a given ice temperature and solution total solute concentration. Under our standard condition (2.0 mM TS, pH 4, -10 °C, 100 nM PhOH), the calculated F_{FPD} is 2.8 × 10³ (see 118 Equation 7 in the main text), while the average measured F_{EXP} is 77 ± 11 . These freeze-119

- 120 concentration factors give PhOH concentrations in the ice LLRs of 280 μ M and 7.7 μ M,
- 121 respectively, corresponding to first-order rate constants of ${}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}$ loss via PhOH of $1.8 \times 10^{5} \text{ s}^{-1}$
- 122 and 4.8×10^3 s⁻¹, respectively. Assuming that O₂ and phenol are the only sinks for the DMB
- 123 triplet in our LLRs, phenol would account for 18% of the triplet loss if F_{FPD} is the correct factor,
- 124 but only 0.48% of triplet loss if F_{EXP} is the correct value. Our results showing that k^*_{PhOH} is
- 125 independent of [PhOH] (Figure 2) indicate that PhOH is a minor sink for ³DMB^{*}, consistent with
- 126 the calculation above using the measured value of F_{EXP} .

127 Section S4. Relationship between ¹O₂^{*} and ³DMB^{*}

128 The primary sink for ${}^{3}DMB^{*}$ in solution is reaction with O_{2} to form ${}^{1}O_{2}^{*}$, which is the 129 source of ${}^{1}O_{2}^{*}$ in our samples. In turn, the major sink for ${}^{1}O_{2}^{*}$ in solution is deactivation with 130 water, resulting in a steady-state equation for solution ${}^{1}O_{2}^{*}$ of

$$\begin{bmatrix} {}^{1}O_{2}^{*} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{LIQ}} = \frac{k_{\text{O}_{2}+ {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{LIQ}} [O_{2}]_{\text{LIQ}}}{k_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}+ {}^{1}\text{O}_{2}^{*}} [\text{H}_{2}\text{O}]} = \frac{k_{\text{O}_{2}+ {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}} \begin{bmatrix} {}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*} \end{bmatrix}_{\text{LIQ}} [O_{2}]_{\text{LIQ}}}{k'_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}}$$
(S18)

131 where $k_{\text{H}_2\text{O}+\ ^1\text{O}_2^*}$ and $k_{\text{O}_2+\ ^3\text{DMB}^*}$ are second-order rate constants and $\dot{k}_{\text{H}_2\text{O}}$ is the pseudo-first-132 order rate constant for $^1\text{O}_2^*$ loss due to water.

133 Substituting
$$[{}^{3}DMB^{*}]_{LIQ}$$
 calculated by Equation S2 into Equation S18 yields
 $\left[{}^{1}O_{2}^{*}\right]_{LIQ}$
(S19)

$$= \frac{k_{O_2+ \ ^3DMB^*}J_{h\nu,abs}\Phi_{ISC}[DMB]_{LIQ}[O_2]_{LIQ}}{k'_{H_2O} (k_{O_2+ \ ^3DMB^*} [O_2]_{LIQ} + (k_{PhOH+ \ ^3DMB^*} + k_Q)[PhOH] + k'_{3DMB^*})}$$

134 Since O₂ is the dominant sink for ³DMB^{*} in our samples, Equation S19 simplifies to

$$\left[{}^{1}O_{2}^{*} \right]_{\text{LIQ}} = \frac{k_{\text{O}_{2}+}{}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}j_{\text{hv},\text{abs}}\Phi_{\text{ISC}}[\text{DMB}]_{\text{LIQ}}[\text{O}_{2}]_{\text{LIQ}}}{k_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}^{'}k_{\text{O}_{2}+}{}^{3}\text{DMB}^{*}}[\text{O}_{2}]_{\text{LIQ}}} = \frac{j_{\text{hv},\text{abs}}\Phi_{\text{ISC}}[\text{DMB}]_{\text{LIQ}}}{k_{\text{H}_{2}\text{O}}^{'}}$$
(S20)

135 where the numerator on the right-hand side of this equation is the rate of DMB triplet formation.

136 We can derive an analogous equation for the concentration in LLRs

137
$$\left[{}^{1}\text{O}_{2}^{*} \right]_{\text{LLR}} = \left(\frac{j_{\text{hv,abs}} \Phi_{\text{ISC}}}{k'_{\text{H}_{2}0}} \right)_{\text{LLR}} \times [\text{DMB}]_{\text{LLR}}$$
(S21)

These equations indicate that singlet oxygen in solution or LLRs should be independent of the dissolved O_2 concentration as long as oxygen is the major sink for the DMB triplet. Thus, under conditions where O_2 is the dominant sink of ³DMB*, the steady-state concentration of triplet will decrease as the dissolved O_2 concentration increases (Equation S2). However, the steady-state concentration of ${}^1O_2^*$ is independent of the dissolved O_2 concentration as long as O_2

- 143 remains the dominant sink (Equation S20). Thus, when O_2 is the major sink for a triplet, changes
- 144 in dissolved oxygen levels in LLRs (and other ice reservoirs) will affect the concentration of
- triplet excited state but not alter the singlet molecular oxygen level.





148Figure S1. Compilation of freeze-concentration factors (F values) for the five different types of149experiments performed. Solid black circles are calculated values of F_{FPD} from freezing point150depression while the green triangles are F_{EXP} values determined from experiments.

152 References

- J. D. Smith, V. Sio, L. Yu, Q. Zhang and C. Anastasio, Secondary organic aerosol
 production from aqueous reactions of atmospheric phenols with an organic triplet
 excited state, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2014, **48**(2), 1049-1057.
- A. J. McLean and M. A. J. Rodgers, Exciplexes involving aromatic ketone triplet-states
 and molecular-oxygen the lack of a spin-statistical effect on exciplex formation rate
 constants, *J Am Chem Soc*, 1993, **115**(21), 9874-9875.
- 159 3. E. S. Galbavy, K. Ram and C. Anastasio, 2-nitrobenzaldehyde as a chemical
 actinometer for solution and ice photochemistry, *J Photoch Photobio A*, 2010, 209(23), 186-192.
- 162 4. T. Hullar and C. Anastasio, Direct visualization of solute locations in laboratory ice
 163 samples, *The Cryosphere*, 2016, **10**(5), 2057-2068.
- 1645.S. Canonica, B. Hellrung and J. Wirz, Oxidation of phenols by triplet aromatic ketones165in aqueous solution, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2000, **104**(6), 1226-1232.
- 6. E. De Laurentiis, B. Sur, M. Pazzi, V. Maurino, C. Minero, G. Mailhot, M. Brigante and
 D. Vione, Phenol transformation and dimerisation, photosensitised by the triplet
 state of 1-nitronaphthalene: A possible pathway to humic-like substances (HULIS) in
 atmospheric waters, *Atmospheric Environment*, 2013, **70**(318-327.
- 170
 7. E. De Laurentiis, M. Minella, V. Maurino, C. Minero, G. Mailhot, M. Sarakha, M.
 171 Brigante and D. Vione, Assessing the occurrence of the dibromide radical ([•]Br₂-) in
 172 natural waters: Measures of triplet-sensitised formation, reactivity, and modelling,
 173 Sci Total Environ, 2012, 439(299-306.
- S. Halladja, A. Ter Halle, J. P. Aguer, A. Boulkamh and C. Richard, Inhihition of humic substances mediated photooxygenation of furfuryl alcohol by 2,4,6-trimethylphenol. Evidence for reactivity of the phenol with humic triplet excited states,

177 *Environmental Science & Technology*, 2007, **41**(17), 6066-6073.

- J. H. Seinfeld and S. N. Pandis, Atmospheric Chemistry And Physics: From Air Pollution To Climate Change, Wiley, 2012.
- 180 10. R. Sander, Compilation of Henry's law constants (version 4.0) for water as solvent,
 181 Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 2015, 15(8), 4399-4981.
- 182 11. S. J. Gill and I. Wadso, Flow-microcalorimetric techniques for solution of slightly
 183 soluble gases enthalpy of solution of oxygen in water at 298.15-K, *J. Chem.*194 Therms day, 1082, 14(10), 005, 010
- 184 *Thermodyn.*, 1982, **14**(10), 905-919.
- 185 12. N. CODATA, Fundamental physical constants, <u>http://physics.nist.gov/cgi-</u>
- 186 <u>bin/cuu/Value?r</u>).
- 187