## Modeling the size distribution in a fluidized bed of nanopowder

Andrea Fabre <sup>11</sup>, Alberto Clemente<sup>11</sup>, Francisco Balas<sup>1,1</sup>, M. Pilar Lobera<sup>1,1</sup>, Jesus Santamaria<sup>1,1</sup>, Michiel T. Kreutzer<sup>1</sup>, J. Ruud van Ommen<sup>1</sup>,

<sup>a</sup>Delft University of Technology, Department of Chemical Engineering, Van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZ Delft, the Netherlands <sup>b</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering and Environmental Technologies, Aragon Institute of Nanoscience (INA) Universidad de Zaragoza, c/ Mariano Esquillor s/n, 50018 Zaragoza, Spain

<sup>c</sup>Networking Biomedical Research Center of Bioengineering, Biomaterials and Nanomedicine (CIBER-BBN), c/ Monforte de Lemos 4, 28040 Madrid, Spain

<sup>1</sup>Authors contributed equally to this work.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, Tel.: +31 15 27 84393

Email address: J.R.vanOmmen@tudelft.nl (J. Ruud van Ommen)

## Material Specifications

ESI

Powder	$ ho_p (\mathrm{kg}\mathrm{m}^{-3})$	$d_p (\mathrm{nm})$
TiO <sub>2</sub> P25	4000	21
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> AluC	3600	13
SiO <sub>2</sub> A130	2200	16

Table 1: Properties of the nanoparticles as provided by the manufacturer.

The size distribution of all powders was determined from TEM images analyzed with the open source software ImageJ. The mean primary particle sizes measured were  $22 \pm 7$  nm,  $8 \pm 2$  nm, and  $16 \pm 7$  nm for P25, AluC, and A130, respectively (Figure 1). The discrepancy in the size of AluC particles with respect to nominal values given by the supplier could be attributed to the particle overlap or partial sintering seen on the TEM images. Additionally, industry use the gas absorption-desorption method for particle sizing, which most likely results in different values than image analysis.



Figure 1: Primary particle size distribution of titania (P25), alumina (AluC), and silica (A130) nanopowders. Values were obtained from TEM images analyzed by the open source software ImageJ.

## Microscope analysis sampling method

Three different methods were used to capture samples from the fluidized bed for TEM and SEM imaging.



Figure 2: a) 50 nm mesh filter, b) Double sided carbon tape, and c) copper grid holders for airborne particles capture. The filter and carbon tape are used for SEM analysis, and the copper grid for TEM imaging. The arrows show the flow direction from the fluidized bed sampling port.