1	Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI)
2	
3	Visualization of Transport and Fate of Nano and Micro Scale Particles in
4	Porous Media: Modeling Coupled Effects of Ionic Strength and Size with
5	Force Analysis
6	
7	Chen Chen ^a , Travis Waller ^a , and Sharon L. Walker ^a *
8	^a Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA,
9	92521
10	
11	*Corresponding author: Sharon L. Walker, University of California, Riverside, Riverside, CA
12	Email: <u>swalker@engr.ucr.edu</u>
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	Number of Pages: 15; Number of Figures: 3 Number of Table: 2
20	
21	

22 Materials and Methods

23

DLVO Calculations DLVO theory was applied to calculate the total interaction energy (sum of
 London-van der Waals attraction and electrostatic double-layer forces)¹ for particles upon close
 approach to the collector surface as a function of separation distance^{1,2}.

$$\Phi_{total}(h) = \Phi_{el}(h) + \Phi_{vdW}(h)$$
[1]

where Φ_{total} [M L² T⁻²], Φ_{el} [M L² T⁻²], and Φ_{vdW} [M L² T⁻²] are the total, electrostatic, and van der Waals interaction energies, respectively, and h [L] is the separation distance between the latex microspheres and the surface of quartz collectors. Values of Φ_{total} , Φ_{el} , and Φ_{vdW} are commonly made dimensionless by dividing by the product of the Boltzmann constant (k_B = 1.38 × 10⁻²³ J K⁻¹) and the absolute temperature (T_K).

For a sphere-plate interaction, the electrostatic double layer interactions can be determined
 with the constant surface potential interaction expression of Hogg³ as

$$\Phi_{el}(h) = \frac{\pi r_1 r_2}{(r_1 + r_2)} \left\{ 2\phi_1 \phi_2 \ln \left[\frac{1 + \exp(-\kappa h)}{1 - \exp(-\kappa h)} \right] + \left(\phi_1^2 + \phi_2^2\right) \ln \left[1 - \exp(-2\kappa h) \right] \right\}$$

$$(2)$$

36 where r_1 [L] is the radius of a latex microsphere, r_2 [L] is the radius of the collector, ϕ_1 [M L² T⁻³ 37 A⁻¹] is the surface potential of the latex microsphere, ϕ_2 [M L² T⁻³ A⁻¹] is the surface potential of 38 the collector, and κ [L⁻¹] is the Debye–Huckel parameter. Measured zeta potentials were used in 39 place of surface potentials in Eq. [1].

40 The van der Waals interaction energy Φ_{vdW} was determined using the expression by Gregory² as

41
$$\Phi_{vdW}(h) = \frac{A_{123}r_1}{6h} \left\{ 1 + \frac{14h}{\lambda} \right\}^{-1}$$
[3]

42 where A_{123} [M L² T⁻²] is the Hamaker constant in this system, and λ [L] is the characteristic 43 wavelength that is often taken as 100 nm (Gregory, 1981). A value of 4.04×10^{-21} J for the 44 Hamaker constant of the latex-quartz-water system was determined by using

45
$$A_{123} = (\sqrt{A_{11}} - \sqrt{A_{33}})(\sqrt{A_{22}} - \sqrt{A_{33}})$$
 [4]

where A₁₁, A₂₂, and A₃₃ is the Hamaker constant of latex, quartz and water in vacuum,
respectively. The value of these Hamaker constants was gained from the results of the
theoretical calculation approximation for Hamaker constants^{4,5}.

49 **Correlation Equation for Predicting Single Collector Efficiency**

The transport and deposition of particles in saturated porous media is described by the
 convective-diffusion equation. Under steady-state condition, the dimensionless convection diffusion equation can be expressed as^{6,7}:

53
$$\eta_0 = \eta_0(N_R, N_{Pe}, N_{vdW}, N_{gr})$$
 [5]

54 The definitions of these parameters are provided in Table S1. Based on the additivity

assumption previously presented^{6,8}, the overall single collector removal efficiency can be

56 decided by summing each transport mechanism (including diffusion, interception and

57 gravitational transport mechanisms). The methodology for each transport mechanisms

58 correlation equations is shown as follows:

59 For diffusion, the correlation has been determined as:

60
$$\eta_D = 2.4 A_s^{1/3} N_R^{-0.081} N_{Pe}^{-0.715} N_{vdW}^{-0.052}$$
 [6]

61 It is interesting to find that based on the eq S6, $\eta_D \sim d_p^{-0.796}$ (compared to $\eta_D \sim d_p^{-0.666}$ of classic 62 method⁹), which indicates the significant influence of hydrodynamic interactions on particle 63 deposition.

64 Similarly, for interception, the expression was shown as:

65
$$\eta_I = 0.55 A_S N_R^{1.55} N_{Pe}^{-0.125} N_{vdW}^{-0.125}$$
 [7]

66 For gravitational transport mechanism,

67
$$\eta_G = 0.475 N_R^{-1.35} N_{Pe}^{-1.11} N_{vdW}^{0.053} N_{gr}^{-1.11}$$
 [8]

- 68 The overall single collector removal efficiency for deposition in saturated porous media can now
- 69 be written as the sum of each transport mechanism (eqs S6-S8):

70
$$\eta_{0} = 2.4 A_{s}^{1/3} N_{R}^{-0.081} N_{Pe}^{-0.715} N_{vdW}^{-0.052} + 0.55 A_{s} N_{R}^{1.55} N_{Pe}^{-0.125} N_{vdW}^{-0.125} + 0.475 N_{R}^{-1.35} N_{Pe}^{-1.11} N_{vdW}^{-0.053} N_{gr}^{-1.11}$$
[9]

71 Velocity Distribution Calculation with COMSOL

COMSOL was used to simulate the velocity distribution around the collector. The assumption
was made that the bulk solution in the 2D micromodel system is stationary with no pressure
drop in the system. Therefore, the relevant expressions are as follows¹¹:

$$\rho(\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{u} = \nabla \cdot \left[-pI + \mu(\nabla \mathbf{u} + (\nabla \mathbf{u})^T - \frac{2}{3}\mu(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u})I\right]$$
75
[10]

$$I = \frac{1}{2}(1 - c^2)$$
[11]

$$c = \frac{\delta + \mu}{\delta + 1}$$
[12]

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Where a stream of fluid with velocity u, density ρ , viscosity μ and absolute temperature T over the micromodel system. Boundary-layer thickness is represented by δ , and I and c are symbols defined in Eq. 6 and 7¹¹.

82 Boundary conditions:

83 u=0 at the position x=1, when solution first entered the 2D micromodel system,

84 $u = -U_{max} [1-(2y/h)^2]$, the bulk solution velocity at the position of X=35 µm (or half the width of 85 the pore throat), h is the separation distance between particle and collector.

- 86 Specifically, the velocity of each point around the collector surface was determined through
- 87 simulations using COMSOL (results of the simulation are presented in Figure S2b). These values
- of velocity were then used for the calculation of the lift and drag forces (Eq. 2-3) at every point

89 from the collector surface to the bulk fluid in the 2-D system. Additionally, the lift and drag 90 forces at a few representative points around the collector surface have been calculated and are 91 presented in the manuscript (Figure 5). With these calculated fluid forces, a force balance was done on individual nanoparticles as they travel along the collector grain and within the pore 92 93

94

throat.

Results and Discussion 95

96 Velocity and Acceleration Distribution

97 In order to calculate the hydrodynamic forces (lift force and drag force), the velocity

98 distributions and acceleration as a function of x position as well as distance from surface are

99 pictured in Figure S2. COMSOL has been used to simulate the velocity distribution (shown in

100 Figure S2a) where the red arrow indicates the flow direction.

101 According to Figure S2a, the corresponding velocity distribution as a function of distance around

102 the collector surface has been plotted in Figure S2b. The velocity of each point around the

103 collector surface was determined through simulations using COMSOL (results of simulation are

104 presented in Figure S2b). These values of velocity were then used to calculate the lift and drag

105 forces (Eq. 2-3) at every point from the collector surface to bulk fluid in the 2-D system.

106 Additionally, lift and drag forces at a few representative points around collector surface have

107 been calculated and are presented in the manuscript (Figure 5). With these calculated fluid

108 forces, a further force balance was done for individual moving nanoparticles as they travel along

109 the collector grain and within the pore throat.

110 Calculations were done either for the entire collector surface or for a portion of the surface

111 identified as quadrants 1-4. The portion of surface contained in quadrant 1 represents an X

112 position ranging from 355 to 330 μ m. Quadrants 2 and 4 are represented by X values from 330

113 to 265 μ m and similarly, quadrant 3 is represented by X values from 265 to 250 μ m. For a given

114 X position, the velocity increases with the distance from the collector due to lower shear forces

115 compared to the non-slip conditions at the surface.

116 Figure S3b shows that at distances from the collector surface between 0.5-10 nm, velocity 117 decreases as expected as the fluid flows along quadrant 1 before becoming relatively constant at quadrants 2 and 4. Upon reaching quadrant 3, a slight increase in velocity was observed.
Alternatively, at a 50 nm distance from collector surface, the flowrate represents the bulk flow
in the micromodel. Generally, velocity increases with greater particle distance from the collector
surface; however, for the closest surface distances of 0 and 0.1 nm, the velocity at an x position

of 280 μm is greater than the distances farther from the collector. This observation potentially

results from a decrease in the pore throat depth between collector grains causing an

acceleration of the fluid flow according to Bernoulli equation¹⁰

125

126 Based on the velocity distribution (Figure S2b), acceleration as a function of distance from the

127 collector surface has been plotted against x position (Figure S2c). Quadrant 3 recorded the

128 greatest acceleration while quadrants 2 and 4 are relatively low. The velocity and acceleration

129 data has been used to calculate the hydrodynamic forces by equations 2 and 3, respectively.

130

131 Contribution of DLVO-type Interaction and Hydrodynamic Forces

Figure S3 (b) and (d) show that at the same ionic strength, F_y approaches zero as the angle increases between 0~120° representing quadrant 1 entirely (0-45°) and a portion of quadrant 2 (45-135°). It can be observed that positive F_y forces (repulsive, see Figure 2) dominate at angles up to 120° before negative F_y (attractive) forces take control. After 120°, the magnitude of the negative forces increases with the angle accounting for the remainder of quadrant 2 (120-135°) and quadrant 3, each controlled by attractive forces.

138 Moreover, the force on the x axis (F_x ; eq5) in Figure S3 (a) and (c) remains negative (drag) 139 between 0-100° indicating that for all of quadrant 1(0-45°) and part of the quadrants 2 and 4 140 (45-100°), the particles are inclined to roll backwards from quadrants 2 and 4 to quadrant 1. For 141 100-120°, which represents quadrants 2 and 4, F_x became positive and increased with the angle. 142 This indicates that the particles tend to move from quadrant 2 and 4 towards to quadrant 3 in 143 the flow direction. Within the range of 100 to 180°, F_x remained positive, however decreased as 144 the angle became greater demonstrating that particles were still prone to move with the fluid 145 streamlines (from 2 to 3), yet with a declining magnitude. The agreement between experimental 146 and theoretical results is acceptable for the entire range of ionic strengths with the collector

147 surface (all four quadrants). Compliance of the experimental and theoretical results shown in 148 Figure S3b seems to confirm the applicability of the hydrodynamic and DLVO force solutions for 149 particle deposition in the micromodel. It should be mentioned that the significant change 150 (positive or negative) in forces of x and y axis with fluid streamlines, is particularly due to the 151 flow distribution around the collector surface. The influence of particle size on deposition has 152 also been systematically studied. Comparison plots of total forces in x and y directions as a function of particles size (20, 200, 2000 nm) was pictured against with angle (0 to 180°) at an 153 ionic strength of 10 mM (Figure S3c and d, respectively). Magnitude of both F_x and F_y increase 154 155 with size which illustrates that more deposition around the collector would occur with larger 156 particles. Specifically, shown in Figure S3d, the F_v increases significantly with size after 120° and 157 remains negative meaning 2000 nm particles are more likely to attach in quadrant 3 than the 158 smaller particles. This suggests that the experimental results obtained in the micromodel can be 159 used as useful reference measurements for prediction of particle deposition onto the collector 160 surface. This work can also shows that hydrodynamic and DLVO force analysis can be exploited 161 as powerful methods for determining filtration parameters in porous media.

162

1	6	4
-	0	

165 Table S1. Summary of dimensionless parameters governing particles in filtration

166 Table S2. Summary of hydrodynamic forces and F_x, F_y as a function of size and ionic strength

167

Figure S1. Hydrodynamic diameter of latex particles (20, 200, 2000 nm) at ionic strengths of 1,
3.16, 10, 31.6, and100 mM KCl at pH 4.

170

Figure S2. Velocity distribution simulated in COMSOL as a function of (a) x position, and a
function of (b) distance from surface. Acceleration was plotted as a function as x position as well
as distance from collector surface (c). The portion of surface for quadrant 1 is represented a
range of x position from 355 to 330 μm. Surface portions of quadrants 2 and 4 are represented
by x values from 330 to 265 μm. Surface portions of quadrant 3 are represented by x value from
265 to 250 μm.

177

178 Figure S3. Total forces on 200 and 2000 nm particles in the x and y directions as a function of

ionic strength (1, 3.16, 10, 31.6, and 100 mM KCl) at collector surface angles between 0-180°.

180 Forces on 200 nm particles in (a) F_x and (b) F_y , as well as, 2000 nm particles; (c) F_x and (d) F_y are

181 presented.,

Parameter	Definition	Physical interpretation
N _R	$\frac{d_p}{d_c}$	Aspect ratio
N_{Pe}	$rac{Ud_c}{D_{\infty}}$	Peclet number: ratio of convection transport to diffusive transport
N_{vdW}	$\frac{A}{kT}$	van der Waals number: ratio of van der Waals interaction energy to the particle's thermal energy
Ngr	$\frac{4}{3} \frac{\pi a_p^4 (\rho_p - \rho_f) g}{kT}$	Gravitational number: ratio of particle's gravitational potential when located one particle radius from collector to particle's thermal energy
NA	$\frac{A}{12\pi\mu a_p^2 U}$	Attraction number: combined influence of van der Waals attraction forces and fluid velocity on particles deposition rate due to interception
N _G	$\frac{2}{9} \frac{a_p^2(\rho_p - \rho_f)g}{\mu U}$	Gravity number: ratio of Stokes particle settling velocity to approach velocity of the fluid
The parame	eters in various dimens	ionless groups are as follows: d_p is the particle diameter, d_c

The parameters in various dimensionless groups are as follows: d_p is the particle diameter, d_c is the collector diameter, U is the fluid approach velocity, D_{∞} is the bulk diffusion coefficient (Stokes-Einstein equation), A is the Hamaker constant, k is the Boltzmann constant, T is fluid absolute temperature, a_p is particle radius, ρ_p is the particle density, ρ_f is the fluid density, μ is the absolute fluid viscosity, and

g is the gravitational acceleration.

184 Table S2.

				1 mM			3.16 mM			10 mM			31.6 mM			100 mM		
Size (nm)	Angle (⁰)	F _L (N)	F _{D20nm} (N)	F _{DLVO} (N)	$F_x(N)$	$F_y(N)$	F _{DLVO} (N)	F _x (N)	$F_y(N)$	F _{DLVO} (N)	$F_x(N)$	$F_y(N)$	F _{DLVO} (N)	$F_x(N)$	$F_y(N)$	F _{DLVO} (N)	$F_x(N)$	$F_y(N)$
	30	1.11E-30	2.19E-23	-4.80E-11	2.38E-11	-4.10E-11	-8.40E-11	4.21E-11	-7.30E-11	-9.30E-11	4.67E-11	-8.10E-11	-1.00E-10	5.21E-11	-9.00E-11	-1.50E-10	7.39E-11	-1.30E-10
	45	1.23E-29	1.20E-22	-4.80E-11	3.37E-11	-3.40E-11	-8.40E-11	5.95E-11	-5.90E-11	-9.30E-11	6.60E-11	-6.60E-11	-1.00E-10	7.37E-11	-7.40E-11	-1.50E-10	1.04E-10	-1.00E-10
	60	1.89E-33	2.95E-25	-4.80E-11	4.12E-11	-2.40E-11	-8.40E-11	7.29E-11	-4.20E-11	-9.30E-11	8.09E-11	-4.70E-11	-1.00E-10	9.03E-11	-5.20E-11	-1.50E-10	1.28E-10	-7.40E-11
20	90	9.83E-35	-3.20E-26	-4.80E-11	4.76E-11	-2.90E-27	-8.40E-11	8.41E-11	-5.20E-27	-9.30E-11	9.34E-11	-5.70E-27	-1.00E-10	1.04E-10	-6.40E-27	-1.50E-10	1.48E-10	-9.10E-27
	120	2.72E-31	9.53E-24	-4.80E-11	-4.10E-11	2.38E-11	-8.40E-11	-7.30E-11	4.21E-11	-9.30E-11	-8.10E-11	4.67E-11	-1.00E-10	-9.00E-11	5.21E-11	-1.50E-10	-1.30E-10	7.39E-11
	135	9.83E-35	-3.20E-26	-4.80E-11	-3.40E-11	3.37E-11	-8.40E-11	-5.90E-11	5.95E-11	-9.30E-11	-6.60E-11	6.60E-11	-1.00E-10	-7.40E-11	7.37E-11	-1.50E-10	-1.00E-10	1.04E-10
	150	1.52E-30	9.98E-24	-4.80E-11	-2.40E-11	4.12E-11	-8.40E-11	-4.20E-11	7.29E-11	-9.30E-11	-4.70E-11	8.09E-11	-1.00E-10	-5.20E-11	9.03E-11	-1.50E-10	-7.40E-11	1.28E-10
	180	2.19E-31	-2.00E-23	-4.80E-11	1.97E-23	4.76E-11	-8.40E-11	1.97E-23	8.41E-11	-9.30E-11	1.97E-23	9.34E-11	-1.00E-10	1.97E-23	1.04E-10	-1.50E-10	1.97E-23	1.48E-10
	30	1.11E-27	2.19E-21	2.55E-10	-1.30E-10	2.21E-10	-5.80E-10	2.88E-10	-5.00E-10	-6.30E-10	3.13E-10	-5.40E-10	-1.30E-09	6.59E-10	-1.10E-09	-1.50E-09	7.39E-10	-1.30E-09
	45	1.23E-26	1.20E-20	2.55E-10	-1.80E-10	1.81E-10	-5.80E-10	4.08E-10	-4.10E-10	-6.30E-10	4.43E-10	-4.40E-10	-1.30E-09	9.32E-10	-9.30E-10	-1.50E-09	1.04E-09	-1.00E-09
	60	1.89E-30	2.95E-23	2.55E-10	-2.20E-10	1.28E-10	-5.80E-10	4.99E-10	-2.90E-10	-6.30E-10	5.42E-10	-3.10E-10	-1.30E-09	1.14E-09	-6.60E-10	-1.50E-09	1.28E-09	-7.40E-10
200	90	9.83E-32	-3.20E-24	2.55E-10	-2.60E-10	1.56E-26	-5.80E-10	5.76E-10	-3.50E-26	-6.30E-10	6.26E-10	-3.80E-26	-1.30E-09	1.32E-09	-8.10E-26	-1.50E-09	1.48E-09	-9.10E-26
	120	2.72E-28	9.53E-22	2.55E-10	2.21E-10	-1.30E-10	-5.80E-10	-5.00E-10	2.88E-10	-6.30E-10	-5.40E-10	3.13E-10	-1.30E-09	-1.10E-09	6.59E-10	-1.50E-09	-1.30E-09	7.39E-10
	135	9.83E-32	-3.20E-24	2.55E-10	1.81E-10	-1.80E-10	-5.80E-10	-4.10E-10	4.08E-10	-6.30E-10	-4.40E-10	4.43E-10	-1.30E-09	-9.30E-10	9.32E-10	-1.50E-09	-1.00E-09	1.04E-09
	150	1.52E-27	9.98E-22	2.55E-10	1.28E-10	-2.20E-10	-5.80E-10	-2.90E-10	4.99E-10	-6.30E-10	-3.10E-10	5.42E-10	-1.30E-09	-6.60E-10	1.14E-09	-1.50E-09	-7.40E-10	1.28E-09
	180	2.19E-28	-2.00E-21	2.55E-10	1.97E-21	-2.60E-10	-5.80E-10	1.97E-21	5.76E-10	-6.30E-10	1.97E-21	6.26E-10	-1.30E-09	1.97E-21	1.32E-09	-1.50E-09	1.97E-21	1.48E-09
	30	1.11E-24	2.19E-19	-2.40E-09	1.22E-09	-2.10E-09	-9.10E-09	4.53E-09	-7.80E-09	-1.40E-08	7.16E-09	-1.20E-08	-1.00E-08	5.00E-09	-8.70E-09	-1.50E-08	7.40E-09	
	45	1.23E-23	1.20E-18	-2.40E-09	1.73E-09	-1.70E-09	-9.10E-09	6.40E-09	-6.40E-09	-1.40E-08	1.01E-08	-1.00E-08	-1.00E-08	7.07E-09	-7.10E-09	-1.50E-08	1.05E-08	-1.00E-08
	60	1.89E-27	2.95E-21	-2.40E-09	2.12E-09	-1.20E-09	-9.10E-09	7.84E-09	-4.50E-09	-1.40E-08	1.24E-08	-7.20E-09	-1.00E-08	8.66E-09	-5.00E-09	-1.50E-08	1.28E-08	-7.40E-09
2000	90	9.83E-29	-3.20E-22	-2.40E-09	2.45E-09	-1.50E-25	-9.10E-09	9.05E-09	-5.50E-25	-1.40E-08	1.43E-08	-8.80E-25	-1.00E-08	1.00E-08	-6.10E-25	-1.50E-08	1.48E-08	-9.10E-25
	120	2.72E-25	9.53E-20	-2.4E-09	-2.1E-09	1.22E-09	-9.1E-09	-7.8E-09	4.53E-09	-1.40E-08	-1.20E-08	7.16E-09	-1.00E-08	-8.70E-09	5.00E-09	-1.50E-08	-1.30E-08	7.40E-09
	135	9.83E-29	-3.2E-22	-2.4E-09	-1.7E-09	1.73E-09	-9.1E-09	-6.4E-09	6.40E-09	-1.40E-08	-1.00E-08	1.01E-08	-1.00E-08	-7.10E-09	7.07E-09	-1.50E-08	-1.00E-08	1.05E-08
	150	1.52E-24	9.98E-20	-2.4E-09	-1.2E-09	2.12E-09	-9.1E-09	-4.5E-09	7.84E-09	-1.40E-08	-7.20E-09	1.24E-08	-1.00E-08	-5.00E-09	8.66E-09	-1.50E-08	-7.40E-09	1.28E-08
	180	2.19E-25	-2E-19	-2.4E-09	1.97E-19	2.45E-09	-9.1E-09	1.97E-19	9.05E-09	-1.40E-08	1.97E-19	1.43E-08	-1.00E-08	1.97E-19	1.00E-08	-1.50E-08	1.97E-19	1.48E-08



190 Figure S2.





Notes and references:

197	1	Derjaguin, B.; Vlashenko, G. Dan sssr. <i>Coll. & Interf. Sci.</i> 1948 , <i>63</i> , 155.
198	2	Gregory, R.T.; Taylor, H.P. An oxygen isotope profile in a section of cretaceous oceanic-crust,
199		samail ophiolite, oman - evidence for delta-18-o buffering of the oceans by deep (less-than 5 km)
200		seawater-hydrothermal circulation at mid-ocean ridges. J. Geophys. Res. 1981, 86(B4), 2737-
201		2755.
202	3	Hogg, R.; Healy, T.; Fuerstenau, D. Mutual coagulation of colloidal dispersions. Trans. Faraday
203		Soc. 1966 , <i>62</i> , 1638-1651.
204	4	Israelachvili, J.N. Intermolecular and Surface Forces: With Applications to Colloidal and Biological
205		Systems (Colloid Science); Academic press London, 1992.
206	5	Chinju, H.; Kuno, Y.; Nagasaki, S.; Tanaka, S. Deposition behavior of polystyrene latex particles on
207		solid surfaces during migration through an artificial fracture in a granite rock sample. J. Nucl. Sci.
208		Technol. 2001 , 38(6), 439-443.
209	6	Prieve, D.C.; Ruckenstein, E. Effect of London forces upon the rate of deposition of Brownian
210		particles. AIChE Journal. 1974, 20(6), 1178-1187.
211	7	Elimelech, M.; Jia, X.; Gregory, J.; Williams, R. Particle deposition & aggregation: Measurement,
212		modelling and simulation. Butterworth-Heinemann, 1998.
213	8	Yao, KM.;Habibian, M.T.;O'Melia, C.R. Water and waste water filtration. Concepts and
214		applications. Environ. Sci. Technol. 1971, 5, 1105-1112.
215	9	Levich, V.G. Physicochemical hydrodynamics. Prentice Hall, 1962.
216	10	Shames, I.H.; Shames, I.H. Mechanics of fluids. McGraw-Hill New York, 1982.
217	11	Lock, R., 1951. The velocity distribution in the laminar boundary layer between parallel
218		streams. The Quarterly Journal of Mechanics and Applied Mathematics, 4(1), pp.42-63.
219		