Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2016

## **Supporting Information**

## Characterization of chemical composition and bacterial community

## of corrosion scales in different drinking water distribution systems

Haibo Wang,<sup>a</sup> Chun Hu,\*<sup>ab</sup> Lang Yin,<sup>a</sup> Sujia Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Lizhong Liu<sup>ab</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Key Laboratory of Drinking Water Science and Technology, Research Center for

Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100085, China

<sup>b</sup>University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100049, China

Corresponding author:	Chun Hu		
	Tel: +86 10 62849628		
	Fax: +86 10 62923541		
	Email: huchun@rcees.ac.cn		

11 pages: 3 Tables, 7 Figures

parameters	А	В	С	D	Е	F	G	Н
pH	8.08-8.50	7.69-8.06	7.75-8.01	7.41-7.82	7.95-8.39	7.87-8.13	7.71-8.07	7.59-8.12
NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> -N (mg/L)	0.38-0.49	7.02-7.65	9.01-9.98	4.06-5.03	2.76-3.68	2.13-3.02	1.98-2.65	5.02-5.86
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	155.3-174.8	23.1-28.4	23.9-27.4	24.2-29.5	20.8-30.8	30.0-40.5	29.3-36.7	45.3-51.9
SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> (mg/L)	153.9-185.6	35.8-58.4	27.8-39.6	114.6-172.8	79.0-105.4	122.9-168.5	86.3-117.8	123.7-163.6
Alkalinity (mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	138.2-164.8	168.2-190.1	179.1-206.9	204.8-240.9	140.1-174.8	129.7-165.3	128.9-163.2	175.0-206.5
chlorine residual (mg/L)	0.22-0.35	0.20-0.38	0.21-0.38	0.21-0.35	0.20-0.50	0.18-0.45	0.25-0.40	0.15-0.38

Table S1 The value range of the main water quality parameters of different pipes from June 2013 to May 2014

Domonstan (Linit)	Value range of		
Parameter (Unit)	used water		
pH	7.72-8.10		
DO(mg/L)	7.92-8.27		
DOC (mg/L)	1.45-1.92		
NH <sub>3</sub> -N(mg/L)	0-0.05		
$NO_3^-$ (mg/L)	4.02-9.46		
Cl <sup>-</sup> (mg/L)	19.8-22.3		
$SO_4^{2-}$ (mg/L)	50.2-76.4		
Alkalinity (mg CaCO <sub>3</sub> /L)	106.2-134.4		
Turbidity (NTU)	0.07-0.20		

Table S2 The used water quality for the iron release experiment

Potential			
corrosive		Genus	
bacteria <sup>[1-4]</sup>			
	Alicyclobacillus	Gallionella	Leptothrix
IOB	Pedomicrobium	Sediminibacterium	Sideroxydans
	Sphaerotilus	Thiobacillus	
	Arthrobacter	Bacillus	Clostridium
IRB	Geothrix	Geobacter	Pseudomonas
	Rhodobacter	Shewanella	
SOB	Acidithiobacillus	Alicylobacillus	Sulfuricella
	Sulfuricurvum		
SRB	Desulfosporosinus	Desulfovibrio	Desulfomicrobium
	Desulfotomaculum	Desulfobibrio	
	Acidovorax	Aquabacterium	Bradyrhizobium
NRB	Comamonas	Dechloromonas	Flavobacterium
	Hyphomicrobium	Ochrobactrum	Ralstonia
	Rhizobium	Simplicispira	Variovorax
NOB	Nitrospira	Nitrosospira	
APB	Massilia	Nocardioides	Propionibacterium
	Propionivibrio	Streptococcus	

Table S3 The potential corrosive bacteria at genus level

[1] Sun, H.F., Shi, B.Y., Bai, Y.H., Wang, D.S., 2014. Bacterial community of biofilms developed under different water supply conditions in a distribution system. Sci. Total. Environ. 472, 99-107.

[2] Yang, F., Shi, B.Y., Bai, Y.H., Sun, H.F., Lytle, D.A., Wang, D.S., 2014. Effect of sulfate on the transformation of corrosion scale composition and bacterial community in cast iron water distribution pipes. Water Res. 59, 46-57.

[3] Jin, J.T., Wu, G.X., Guan, Y.T., 2015. Effect of bacterial communities on the formation of cast iron corrosion tubercles in reclaimed water. Water Res. 71, 207-218.
[4] Shapleigh, J.P., 2006. The Denitrifying Prokaryotes. In The Prokaryotes, Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer K-H, Stackebrandt E. (eds) New York, USA: Springer Press, pp. 769-792.



Fig. S1 Schematic of the experimental set-up. There were two facilities in this experiment, one using the pipe A (1 m  $\times$  3) and the other one using pipe E (1 m  $\times$  3). This figure only showed one facility, and the other one was same to this one.



**Fig. S2** DNA recovery efficiency of freeze drying concentration method prior to DNA extraction. Linear regression was performed between gene copies numbers and CFU numbers



**Fig. S3** Photographs of the cut unlined cast iron pipe sections (approximately 20 years) from different cities. Pipes from cities A, B, C and D were transporting groundwater, and pipes from E, F, G and H were transporting surface water. The diameter of all pipes was 100 mm.



Fig. S4 The X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of corrosion scales on the interior of aged unlined cast iron pipes from different cities (G-goethite, L-lepidocrocite, M-magnetite, GR-green rust, Q-quartz, Ca-calcite ).



Fig. S5 Relative abundance of bacterial phyla in corrosion scales of different pipes.



**Fig. S6** *nirK*, *nirS*, *nosZ* and 16S rRNA gene copy numbers of corrosion scales from different pipes by qPCR analysis. Error bars represent the standard deviation from the average.



Fig. S7 The linear correlation between the content of green rust and the relative abundance of *Desulfovibrio* in corrosion scales.