## **Supporting information**

## Bio-assay guided identification of hepatoprotective polyphenols from *Penthorum chinense* Pursh on *t*-BHP induced oxidative injured L02 cells

Anqi Wang, Shengpeng Wang, Yun Jiang, Meiwan Chen, Yitao Wang, Ligen Lin

State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macau, China

## \*Correspondance

Dr. Ligen Lin, State Key Laboratory of Quality Research in Chinese Medicine, Institute of Chinese Medical Sciences, University of Macau, Avenida da Universidade, Taipa, Macao, China. Phone: +853 88228041, Fax: +853 28841358; email: ligenl@umac.mo.

**Table S1.** Hepatoprotective effect of subfractions of *P. chinense* eluted from

 macroporous resin column chromatography.

Subfraction	Cell viability (%) <sup>a</sup>			
	50 μg/mL	100 μg/mL	200 μg/mL	
Water extract of <i>P. chinense</i>	$47.0 \pm 2.2$	66.0 ± 2.9 **	94.1 ± 3.6 **	
E0 fraction	$46.1 \pm 4.1$	$48.4 \pm 3.3$	41.1±5.8	
E25 fraction	43.3 ± 1.9	41.1 ± 1.3	56.0 ± 2.1 *	
E50 fraction	60.5 ± 1.2 **	89.4 ± 1.0 **	94.6 ± 1.8 **	
E95 fraction	$46.8 \pm 2.0$	68.3 ± 2.1 **	92.6 ± 1.6 **	

*a*, All data were expressed as relative cell viability (%) compared with untreated group which cell viability was considered as 100%. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=6) \* and \*\*, *p* value less than 0.05 and 0.01 compared with *t*-BHP (200  $\mu$ M) treated group whose cell viability was 46.3%  $\pm$  1.7, respectively.

.

Subfraction	Cell viability (%) <sup>a</sup>		
	50 μg/mL	100 μg/mL	200 µg/mL
E50M0	$45.6 \pm 4.7$	$46.0 \pm 2.5$	$41.2 \pm 2.8$
E50M20	48.1 ± 3.1	$48.4 \pm 3.3$	41.1±1.8
E50M40	$43.3 \pm 1.9$	51.1 ± 1.3	63.0 ± 1.1 *
E50M80	$46.8 \pm 2.0$	68.3 ± 1.7 *	91.5 ± 2.4 **
E50M100	$45.7 \pm 1.9$	78.5 ± 1.1 **	93.6 ± 2.1 **

**Table S2.** Hepatoprotective effect of subfractions of E50 eluted from MCI column

 chromatography.

*a*, All data were expressed as relative cell viability (%) compared with untreated group which cell viability was considered as 100%. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=6). \* and \*\*, *p* value less than 0.05 and 0.01 compared with *t*-BHP (200  $\mu$ M) treated group

whose	cell	viability	was	45.2%	±	1.9	,	respectively.

	Compound Name	R <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>2</sub>
ОН	quercetin	Н	
HO	quercetin-3-O-L-rhamnopyranoside	L-rhamnopyranoside	
OH O	quercetin-3-O-L-arabinofuranoside	L-arabinofuranoside	
OH	kaempferol	Н	
HO	kaempferol-3-O-L-rhamnopyranoside	L-rhamnopyranoside	
OH O	kaempferol-3-O-L-arabinofuranoside	L-arabinofuranoside	
	pinocembrin	Н	Н
R <sub>2</sub> O 0	pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside	Н	glucoside
OR <sub>1</sub> O	5-methoxy-pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside	mehtyl	glucoside
OH	3,5-dihydroxy-benzoic acid		
но он			

**Table S3.** Chemical structures of polyphenols isolated from the E50M60 subfraction of *P. chinense*.

NO	Compound	Cell viability (%) <sup>a</sup>		
		40 µM	80 µM	160 µM
2	quercetin-3-O-L-rhamnopyranoside	$48.6 \pm 2.7$	$46.0 \pm 3.5$	$41.2 \pm 1.8$
3	quercetin-3-O-L-arabinofuranoside	$48.1 \pm 3.1$	$48.4 \pm 1.3$	41.1±2.8
4	kaempferol	$43.3 \pm 1.9$	$41.1 \pm 2.3$	$43.0 \pm 1.1$
5	kaempferol-3-O-L-rhamnopyranoside	$42.3 \pm 1.2$	$41.3 \pm 3.3$	$46.8 \pm 3.0$
6	kaempferol-3-O-L-arabinofuranoside	$46.8\pm2.0$	$45.3\pm4.0$	$41.5 \pm 2.4$
7	pinocembrin	$44.1 \pm 3.4$	$46.4 \pm 1.6$	45.1±1.8
8	pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside	$43.3 \pm 1.6$	$47.1 \pm 2.2$	$44.0 \pm 2.1$
9	5-methoxy-pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside	$45.3 \pm 1.5$	$44.3 \pm 1.3$	$45.7\pm2.0$
10	3,5-dihydroxy-benzoic acid	$46.5\pm2.1$	$45.1 \pm 3.0$	$44.5\pm3.4$

**Table S4.** Hepatoprotective effect of compounds isolated from the E50M60

 subfraction.

*a*, All data were expressed as relative cell viability (%) compared with untreated group which

cell viability was considered as 100%. Values are expressed as mean  $\pm$  SD (n=6)

ND, not detected.		
Subfraction	Content of quercetin (%)	
E50M0	ND	
E50M20	ND	
E50M40	ND	
E50M60	0.1	
E50M80	1.7	
E50M100	0.1	

**Table S5.** Content of quercetin in E50M0 ~100 subfractions eluted from MCI column.



Figure S1. HPLC-UV chemical profile of the E50M60 subfraction from *P. chinense*. (From top to bottom, mixed solution of compound 1) quercetin; 2) quercetin-3-O-Lrhamnopyranoside; 3) quercetin-3-O-L-arabinofuranoside; 4) kaempferol; 5) kaempferol-3-O-L-rhamnopyranoside; kaempferol-3-*O*-L-arabinofuranoside; 7) pinocembrin; 6) 8) pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside; 9) 5-methoxy-pinocembrin-7-O-D-glucoside and 10) 3,5of E50M60 subfraction). dihydroxy-benzoic chromatogram acid and



Figure S2. Chemical profile of E50M60 subfraction from P. chinense (From top to

bottom, HPLC-UV chromatogram at 254 nm and HPLC-ELSD chromatogram).