Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for Green Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2017

Copper(I)/(II)-Redox Triggered Efficient and Green Rare-Earth Separation using a Heterometallic Metal-Organic Framework

Yue-Qiao Hu,^a Tao Zhang,^a Mu-Qing Li,^a Yanyan Wang, ^{a,}* Zhiping Zheng^b and Yan-Zhen Zheng^{a,}*

[†] Frontier Institute of Science and Technology (FIST) and School of Science, Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an 710054, China.

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Arizona, Tucson, Arizona 85721, USA

AUTHOR EMAIL ADDRESS. zheng.yanzhen@xjtu.edu.cn

Table of Contents

1. Experiments section	2-4
2. Crystallographic Information	4-10
3. Refinement of crystal data of 1-RE1/Nd	10-13
4. The synthesis of complex 1, 2 and 1-RE1/RE2	14
5. Coordination configuration of RE ions and coordination modes of ligand	15
6. Comparison of ICP and crystal data of 1-RE1/Nd	16
7. PXRD data of 1-RE1/Nd	17
8. ICP data of 1-RE1/RE2	18
9. The wastewater discharge standards	19
10. Notes and references	19

EXPERIMENTS SECTION

General Remarks

The reagents and solvents employed were commercially available and used as received without further purification. The C, H, and N microanalyses were carried out with a Vario Micro Cube elemental analyzer. X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD) intensities were measured at 293 K on a Rigaku D/max-IIIA diffractometer (Cu-K α , λ =1.54056 Å). The crystalline powder samples were prepared by crushing the single-crystals and scanned from 3 to 60° at a rate of 5 °/min. To test the separation efficiency of Nd from other lanthanide elements, 10 mg of samples of 1 which were made from different RE1-Nd combinations were decomposed by 10 mL HNO₃ solution (1 mol/L), diluted to 100 mL with water and tested by (ICP-OES).

Syntheses

[RE(ina)₂(CuI)(NO₃)(DMF)₂]·2DMF·0.5H₂O (1, RE = Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb and Y). RE(NO₃)₃·xH₂O (383 - 449 mg, 1.0 mmol), isonicotinic acid (246 mg, 2.0 mmol), CuI (191 mg, 1.0 mmol) and NaCl (117 mg, 2.0 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of mixed solvents of DMF, MeCN and *i*-PrOH (volume ratio 3 : 1 : 1) and heated at 100 °C for 4 hours. The solution was then cooled to room temperature and orange block crystals formed after 2 hours. These crystals were collected by decantation, washed with MeCN, and stored in dry air (Yield: ca. 98~99 % based on RE). Elem. anal. calcd (found) for 1-Eu: C 30.34 (30.45), H 3.93 (4.01), N 10.32 (10.36); for 1-Gd: C 30.17 (30.28), H 3.90 (3.99), N 10.26 (10.35); for 1-Tb: C 30.12 (30.26), H 3.90 (4.02), N 10.25 (10.29); for 1-Dy: C 30.01 (29.95), H 3.88 (3.95), N 10.21 (10.24); for 1-Ho: C 29.93 (30.01), H 3.87 (3.93), N 10.18 (10.22); for 1-Yb: C 29.68 (29.86), H 3.84 (3.89), N 10.10 (10.15). ICP-OES anal. calcd (found) of RE/Cu mass ratio for 1-Sm: 0.70 : 0.30 (0.70 : 0.30); 1-Eu: 0.71 : 0.29 (0.70 : 0.30); for 1-Gd: 0.71 : 0.29 (0.71 : 0.29); for 1-Tb: 0.71 : 0.29 (0.72 : 0.28); for 1-Dy: 0.72 : 0.28 (0.72 : 0.28); for 1-Ho: 0.72 : 0.28 (0.72 : 0.28); for 1-Er: 0.72 : 0.28 (0.72 : 0.28); for 1-Yb: 0.73 : 0.27 (0.72 : 0.28); for 1-Y: 0.58 : 0.42 (0.59 : 0.41). IR data (KBr, cm⁻¹) for 1-Sm: 3519s, 3047w, 2967w, 1645m, 1401m, 1178s, 1109s, 1012s, 858w, 773w, 539w; for 1-Eu: 3527s, 3049w, 2971w, 1647m, 1397m, 1177s, 1107s, 1010s, 855w, 772w, 538w; for 1-Gd: 3522s, 3045w, 2969w, 1646m, 1398m, 1177s, 1107s, 1010s, 856w, 773w, 540w; for 1-Tb: 3518s, 3046w, 2969w, 1646m, 1400m, 1178s, 1109s, 1011s, 857w, 772w, 538w; for 1-Dy: 3521s, 3046w, 2970w, 1645m, 1397m, 1178s, 1107s, 1011s, 859w, 773w, 538w; for 1-Ho: 3522s, 3047w, 2973w, 1646m, 1397m, 1178s, 1107s, 1011s, 858w, 772w, 537w; for 1-Er: 3520s, 3048w, 2971w, 1645m, 1401m, 1180s, 1110s, 1011s, 858w, 771w, 537w; for 1-Yb: 3519s, 3048w, 2969w, 1645m, 1400m, 1178s, 1109s, 1010s, 860w, 773w, 539w; for 1-Y: 3522s, 3046w, 2970w, 1646m, 1397m, 1178s, 1107s, 1010s, 857w, 770w, 539w.

{[NaRE(ina)₄(Cu₄I₄)]·3H₂O}_n (2, RE = La, Ce, Pr and Nd). RE(NO₃)₃·xH₂O (433 - 439 mg, 1.0 mmol), isonicotinic acid (246 mg, 2.0 mmol), CuI (191 mg, 1.0 mmol) and NaCl (117 mg, 2.0 mmol) were dissolved in 5 mL of mixed solvents of DMF, MeCN and *i*-PrOH (volume ratio 3 : 1 : 1) and heated at 100 °C for 4 hours. The

yellow solution was cooled to room temperature, and light yellow sheet crystals formed after 12 hours. These crystals were collected by decantation, washed with MeCN, and stored in cyclohexane (Yield: *ca.* 40 % based on ina). Elem. anal. calcd (found) for **2**-Pr: C, 19.16 (19.24); H, 1.74 (1.82); N, 3.72 (3.76).; for **2**-Nd: C, 19.14 (19.20); H, 1.72 (1.78); N, 3.70 (3.79). ICP-OES anal. calcd (found) of RE/Cu/Na mass ratio for **2**-Pr: 0.34 : 0.61 : 0.05 (0.34 : 0.60 : 0.06); for **2**-Nd: 0.34 : 0.60 : 0.06 (0.35 : 0.59 : 0.06). IR data (KBr, cm⁻¹) for **2**-Pr: 3428*s*, 3040*w*, 2957*w*, 1598*m*, 1403*m*, 1209*s*, 1051*s*, 865*w*, 771*w*, 539*w*; for **2**-Nd: 3422*s*, 3039*w*, 2957*w*, 1601*m*, 1396*m*, 1205*s*, 1049*s*, 855*w*, 770*w*, 540*w*.

The mixed-metal complexes of 1 (Nd : RE1 = 1 : 1) was synthesized as following: 1.0 mmol Nd(NO₃)₃·6H₂O, 1.0 mmol RE1(NO₃)·6H₂O (RE1 = Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb, Y), 2.0 mmol isonicotinic acid, 1.0 mmol CuI and 2.0 mmol NaCl were added in a DMF/MeCN/*i*-PrOH (3:1:1) mixture. The solution was heated at 100 °C for 4 hours to form a yellow solution. Then the solution was cooled to room temperature, and orange block crystals formed after 2 hours (Yield of all products are all about 96 % based on RE1/Nd or 48 % based on RE1+Nd).

X-ray Crystallography

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data collection for 1, 2 and 3 was conducted on a Bruker SMART APEX II CCD diffractometer (Mo, $\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) by using the θ - ω scan technique at 150 K. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined with a full-matrix least-squares technique within the SHELXTL program package.¹ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. The hydrogen atoms were set in calculated positions and refined using the riding model. The Alert A's in compounds 2 are due to the high volume of voids in the frameworks. The crystallographic details are provided in Table S1 and S3. Selected bond distances and bond angles are listed in Table S2 and S4. Crystallographic data for the structural analyses have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center. The CCDC reference numbers for 1 are: 1430390 (Sm), 1430391 (Eu), 1430392 (Gd), 1430393 (Tb), 1430394 (Dy), 1430395 (Ho), 1430396 (Er), 1430397 (Yb), 1430398 (Y); for 2 are: 1419821 (Pr), 1502069 (Nd). The supplementary crystallographic data for two compounds can be found in the Supporting Information or can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/cif.

	1-Sm	1- Eu	1- Gd	1- Tb	1- Dy
Formula	SmCuIC ₂₄ H	EuCuIC ₂₄	GdCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆	TbCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆	DyCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆
	36N7O11.5	$H_{36}N_7O_{11.5}$	$N_7O_{11.5}$	$N_7O_{11.5}$	N ₇ O _{11.5}
formula weight	947.39	949.00	954.28	955.96	959.53
crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic
space group	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1	P-1	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1
$T(\mathbf{K})$	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)
a (Å)	11.0928(2)	11.1874(8)	11.199(1)	11.201(1)	11.1272(5)
b (Å)	12.4805(2)	12.6000(9)	12.588(1)	12.580(1)	12.4509(6)
<i>c</i> (Å)	15.1388(2)	15.180(1)	15.174(2)	15.158(1)	15.1320(7)
α (deg)	81.463(1)	92.528(1)	92.438(2)	92.291(1)	81.365(1)
β (deg)	83.416(1)	96.837(1)	96.979(2)	97.131(1)	82.847(1)
γ (deg)	64.133(1)	116.289(1)	116.308(1)	116.347(1)	63.926(1)
$V(Å^3)$	1862.06(5)	1893.5(2)	1892.3(4)	1888.2(3)	1857.7(2)
$D_{\rm c}$ (g cm ⁻³)	1.690	1.664	1.675	1.681	1.715
F (000)	930	932	934	936	938
Z	1	1	1	1	1
μ (mm ⁻¹)	3.020	3.076	3.173	3.296	3.458
reflns collected	15226	15346	15372	15120	13830
unique reflns	6260	6315	6323	6304	6221
R _{int}	0.0148	0.0156	0.0179	0.0160	0.0142
data/parameters	6260 / 412	6315 / 412	6323 / 407	6304 / 412	6221 / 412
GOF	1.024	1.020	1.024	1.024	1.025
$R_1, wR_2 [I >$	0.0245.	0.0274.	0.0261.	0.0249.	0.0222.
$2\sigma(I)$	0.0764	0.0894	0.0796	0.0779	0.0698
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0279.	0.0326.	0.0291	0.0269.	0.0240.
17 ····2 (···· Jawa)	0.0791	0.0975	0.0821	0.0799	0.0713

Table S1 Crystal data and structure refinements for 1 (Ln = Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho, Er, Yb, Y).

	1- Ho	1-Er	1- Yb	1- Y
Formula	HoCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆	ErCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆	YbCuIC ₂₄ H	YCuIC ₂₄ H ₃₆ N
	N ₇ O _{11.5}	N ₇ O _{11.5}	$_{36}N_7O_{11.5}$	₇ O _{11.5}
formula weight	961.96	964.29	970.07	885.94
crystal system	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic	Triclinic
space group	P-1	<i>P</i> -1	<i>P</i> -1	P-1
$T(\mathbf{K})$	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)
a (Å)	11.1399(9)	11.2006(9)	11.1943(4)	11.2135(7)
b (Å)	12.456(1)	12.523(1)	12.4986(4)	12.5387(7)
c (Å)	15.124(1)	15.113(1)	15.0770(5)	15.1202(9)
α (deg)	81.320(1)	92.084(1)	91.996(2)	92.092(1)
β (deg)	82.723(1)	97.414(1)	97.522(2)	97.415(1)
γ (deg)	63.883(1)	116.450(1)	116.512(2)	116.389(1)
$V(Å^3)$	1858.5(3)	1871.5(3)	1861.0(1)	1877.9(2)
$D_{\rm c}$ (g cm ⁻³)	1.719	1.711	1.731	1.567
F(000)	940	942	946	884
Z	1	1	1	1
μ (mm ⁻¹)	3.575	3.678	3.957	2.984
reflns collected	13536	13597	13229	15343
unique reflns	6191	6257	6201	6287
R_{int}	0.0135	0.0101	0.0123	0.0131
data/parameters	6191 / 412	6257 / 412	6201 / 412	6287 / 407
GOF	1.029	1.047	1.043	1.027
$R_1, wR_2 [I >$	0.0218,	0.0228,	0.0248,	0.0322,
$2\sigma(I)$	0.0705	0.0722	0.0780	0.1095
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0232,	0.0250,	0.0281,	0.0379,
	0.0719	0.0740	0.0810	0.1141

1-Sn	n	1- Et	ı	1-Go	ł	1- Tł)
Sm(1)-O(2)	2.344(3)	Eu(1)-O(2)	2.332(3)	Gd(1)-O(2)	2.319(3)	Tb(1)-O(2)	2.301(3)
Sm(1)-O(3) ^a	2.371(3)	Eu(1)-O(3) ^a	2.366(3)	Gd(1)-O(3) ^a	2.351(3)	Tb(1)-O(3) ^a	2.337(3)
Sm(1)-O(4)	2.391(3)	Eu(1)-O(4)	2.390(3)	Gd(1)-O(6)	2.381(3)	Tb(1)-O(6)	2.361(3)
Sm(1)-O(6)	2.393(3)	Eu(1)-O(6)	2.390(4)	Gd(1)-O(4)	2.381(3)	Tb(1)-O(4)	2.365(3)
Sm(1)-O(5)	2.426(3)	Eu(1)-O(5)	2.420(4)	Gd(1)-O(5)	2.407(3)	Tb(1)-O(1) ^a	2.398(3)
Sm(1)-O(1) ^a	2.437(3)	Eu(1)-O(1) ^a	2.423(3)	Gd(1)-O(1) ^a	2.411(3)	Tb(1)-O(5)	2.398(3)
Sm(1)-O(8)	2.520(3)	Eu(1)-O(8)	2.512(4)	Gd(1)-O(8)	2.503(4)	Tb(1)-O(7)	2.491(4)
Sm(1)-O(7)	2.527(3)	Eu(1)-O(7)	2.513(4)	Gd(1)-O(7)	2.506(4)	Tb(1)-O(8)	2.491(4)
a = -x+2, -y+1	,-Z	a = -x+1, -y+2	2,-z+2	a = -x+2, -y+1	,-z+2	a = -x+1,-y,-z	5

Table S2 Selected bond lengths (Å) for compounds 1 (Ln= Sm, Eu, Gd, Tb, Dy, Ho,Er, Yb, Y).

1-D	у	1-He	0	1- E	r	1-Y	b
Dy(1)-O(2)	2.283(3)	Ho(1)-O(2)	2.272(3)	Er(1)-O(2) ^a	2.257(3)	Yb(1)-O(2)	2.232(3)
Dy(1)-O(3) ^a	2.324(3)	Ho(1)-O(3) ^a	2.311(3)	Er(1)-O(3)	2.296(3)	Yb(1)-O(3) ^a	2.271(3)
Dy(1)-O(4)	2.346(3)	Ho(1)-O(4)	2.338(3)	Er(1)-O(5)	2.325(3)	Yb(1)-O(4)	2.300(3)
Dy(1)-O(6)	2.349(3)	Ho(1)-O(6)	2.341(3)	Er(1)-O(4) ^a	2.328(3)	Yb(1)-O(6)	2.303(4)
Dy(1)-O(5)	2.377(3)	Ho(1)-O(1) ^a	2.367(3)	Er(1)-O(1)	2.351(3)	Yb(1)-O(1) ^a	2.323(3)
Dy(1)-O(1) ^a	2.381(3)	Ho(1)-O(5)	2.367(3)	Er(1)-O(6)	2.356(3)	Yb(1)-O(5)	2.333(4)
Dy(1)-O(8)	2.476(3)	Ho(1)-O(8)	2.463(3)	Er(1)-O(7)	2.451(4)	Yb(1)-O(7)	2.428(4)
Dy(1)-O(7)	2.482(3)	Ho(1)-O(7)	2.470(3)	Er(1)-O(8)	2.452(4)	Yb(1)-O(8)	2.431(4)
a = -x+2,-y,-z		a = -x+1,-y+2	.,-z	a = -x,-y,-z+	1	a = -x+1,-y+1	,-z+1

1-\	ζ.
Y(1)-O(2)	2.263(3)
Y(1)-O(3) ^c	2.304(3)
Y(1)-O(4)	2.336(3)
Y(1)-O(6)	2.337(3)
Y(1)-O(5)	2.362(3)
Y(1)-O(1) ^c	2.364(3)
Y(1)-O(7)	2.459(3)
Y(1)-O(8)	2.467(4)
c = -x+1,-y+	·1,-z

5	7 _L a	2 -Ce	7 _Pr	2 -Nd
Formula	⊿-La Na La Cu L C	$2^{-\mathbf{U}\mathbf{U}}$	$\mathbf{\Delta}^{-1} \mathbf{I}$	4-inu Na Nd Cu I C
1 onnua	$Na_2La_2Cu_8I_8C_{48}$	$\operatorname{Na}_2\operatorname{Ce}_2\operatorname{Cu}_8\operatorname{I}_8\operatorname{C}_4$	$Na_2PI_2Cu_8I_8C_{48}$	$\operatorname{INa}_2\operatorname{INa}_2\operatorname{Cu}_8\operatorname{I}_8\operatorname{C}_{48}$
f	$O_{25}N_8H_{40}$	${}_{8}O_{25}N_{8}H_{40}$	$O_{25}N_8H_{40}$	$O_{25}N_8H_{40}$
formula weight	2980.20	2980.20	2980.20	2980.20
crystal system	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic	Orthorhombic
space group			$C222_{1}$	$C222_{1}$
$T(\mathbf{K})$	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)	150(2)
<i>a</i> (Å)	17.921(2)	17.908(2)	17.896(2)	17.889(2)
<i>b</i> (Å)	31.942(3)	31.935(3)	31.932(3)	31.835(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	25.105(3)	25.096(3)	25.078(3)	24.831(3)
β (deg)	90	90	90	90
$V(Å^3)$	14371(3)	14352(3)	14331(3)	14141(3)
$D_{\rm c} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$			1.381	1.403
F(000)			5520	5528
Z			4	4
μ (mm ⁻¹)			3.597	3.690
reflns collected			41369	36780
unique reflns			11762	13898
R _{int}			0.0293	0.0575
data/parameters			11762/440	13898/386
GOF			1.060	1.071
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$			0.0862, 0.2128	0.0853, 0.2168
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)			0.1060, 0.2290	0.1594, 0.2635

Table S3 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 2 (Ln=La, Ce, Pr, Nd).

2- Pr		2- Nd	
Pr(1)-O(10)	2.48(2)	Nd(1)-O(9)	2.40(2)
Pr(1)-O(7)	2.50(2)	Nd(1)-O(7)	2.47(2)
Pr(1)-O(9)	2.53(2)	Nd(1)-O(1)	2.50(2)
Pr(1)-O(4)	2.53(2)	Nd(1)-O(3)	2.51 (1)
Pr(1)-O(8)	2.53(2)	Nd(1)-O(6)	2.52(1)
Pr(1)-O(6)	2.54(2)	Nd(1)-O(10)	2.53(2)
Pr(1)-O(2)	2.56(1)	Nd(1)-O(8)	2.54(2)
Pr(1)-O(5)	2.57(1)	Nd(1)-O(2)	2.56(2)
Pr(1)-O(3)	2.59(1)	Nd(1)-O(5)	2.56(1)
Pr(1)-O(1)	2.62(2)	Nd(1)-O(4)	2.57(2)
$Na(1)-O(5)^{g}$	2.29(1)	Na(1)-O(5)	2.29(2)
$Na(1)-O(2)^{g}$	2.35(1)	Na(1)-O(3)	2.33(2)
$Na(1)-O(3)^{g}$	2.38(1)	Na(1)-O(2)	2.34(1)
Na(1)-O(2)-Pr(1)	94.3(5)	Na(1)-O(2)-Nd(1)	94.1(5)
Na(1)-O(3)-Pr(1)	92.7(5)	Na(1)-O(3)-Nd(1)	95.5(5)
Na(1)-O(5)-Pr(1)	95.5(5)	Na(1)-O(5)-Nd(1)	95.3(5)
g = x, -y+2, -z.			

 Table S4 Selected bond lengths (Å) and Ln–O–Ln angles (°) for 2-Pr and 2-Nd.

	3
Formula	$CuC_{12}H_{16}N_2O_8$
formula weight	379.81
crystal system	Orthorhombic
space group	<i>P</i> -1
$T(\mathbf{K})$	150(2)
<i>a</i> (Å)	6.361(4)
b (Å)	6.888(4)
<i>c</i> (Å)	9.202(6)
α (deg)	99.359(8)
β (deg)	105.201(8)
γ (deg)	108.448(8)
$V(Å^3)$	355.6(4)
$D_{\rm c} ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.774
F(000)	195
Z	1
μ (mm ⁻¹)	1.583
reflns collected	2130
unique reflns	1156
R _{int}	0.1111
data/parameters	1156/106
GOF	1.051
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0532. 0.1462
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0542, 0.1471

 Table S5 Crystal Data and Structure Refinement for 3.

Refinement details: The elemental composition of mixed-metal single crystals were achieved by following method: based on linear restraint of two atoms on the same site (c = c1*fv(m1) + c2*fv(m2)), a "SUMP c sigma c1 m1 c2 m2" command has been used to refine the crystal data. The sum of occupancies of two metals should be strictly restricted to 1.000 by setting the effective standard deviation "sigma" as 0.001. Excess refinement cycles (typically > 50) were performed to make sure the convergency is achieved.³⁻⁵ The results of the refinement data are showed in Table S6.

RE1-Nd	Refinement
Eu-Nd	TITL 1 in P-1
	CELL 0.71073 11.0449 12.3773 15.1350 81.536 83.593 64.737
	ZERR 1.00 0.0008 0.0009 0.0011 0.001 0.001 0.001
	LATT 1
	SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Eu
	UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.37 1.63
	L.S. 10
	BOND
	ACTA
	FMAP 2
	PLAN 5
	SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3
	EXYZ Eu1 Nd1
	EADP Eu1 Nd1
	TEMP 23.000
	WGHT 0.060400 7.746500
	FVAR 0.37458 0.80879 0.19121
	EU1 8 0.903164 0.887974 0.037161 21.00000 0.01379
	0.01118 = 0.01207 - 0.00119 - 0.00061 - 0.00395
	ND1 7 0.903164 0.887974 0.037161 -21.00000 0.01379
	0.01118 = 0.01207 -0.00119 -0.00061 -0.00395
Gd-Nd	TITL 1 in P-1
	CELL 0.71073 11.0568 12.3715 15.1312 81.495 83.441 64.670
	ZERR 1.00 0.0007 0.0008 0.0010 0.001 0.001 0.001
	LATT 1
	SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Gd
	UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.39 1.61
	L.S. 10
	BOND
	АСТА
	FMAP 2
	PLAN 5
	SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3
	EXYZ Gd1 ND1

Table S6 The separation efficiency data from single crystal of 1-RE1/Nd.

EADP Gd1 ND1 TEMP 23.000 WGHT 0.062400 9.824300 FVAR 0.34839 0.84946 0.15054 GD1 8 0.096883 1.112525 0.462817 21.00000 0.01371 0.01257 = 0.01278 - 0.00135 - 0.00064 - 0.00411ND1 7 0.096883 1.112525 0.462817 -21.000000.01371 0.01257 = 0.01278 - 0.00135 - 0.00064 - 0.00411Tb-Nd TITL 1 in P-1 CELL 0.71073 11.0521 12.3523 15.1200 81.464 83.249 64.623 ZERR 1.00 0.0007 0.0008 0.0009 0.001 0.001 0.001 LATT 1 SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Tb UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.35 1.65 L.S. 10 BOND FMAP 2 ACTA PLAN 5 TEMP 23.000 SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3 EXYZ TB1 ND1 EADP TB1 ND1 WGHT 0.053600 9.649600 FVAR 0.36411 0.83094 0.16906 TB1 8 0.402941 0.886831 0.537377 21.00000 0.01326 0.01252 = 0.01189 - 0.00195 - 0.00049 - 0.00411ND1 7 0.402941 0.886831 0.537377 -21.00000 0.01326 0.01252 = 0.01189 - 0.00195 - 0.00049 - 0.00411Dy-Nd TITL 1 in P-1 CELL 0.71073 11.0618 12.3348 15.1047 81.459 83.103 64.504 1.00 0.0009 0.0010 0.0013 0.001 0.001 0.001 ZERR LATT 1 SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Dy UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.3 1.7 L.S. 10 BOND FMAP 2 PLAN 5 SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3 EXYZ Dy1 ND1 EADP Dy1 ND1 TEMP 23.000 ACTA

WGHT 0.053000 8.418100 FVAR 0.35978 0.85123 0.14877 DY1 8 0.902717 0.386168 0.037648 21.00000 0.01264 0.01280 = 0.01147 - 0.00185 - 0.00018 - 0.00374ND1 7 0.902717 0.386168 0.037648 -21.00000 0.01264 0.01280 = 0.01147 - 0.00185 - 0.00018 - 0.00374Ho-Nd TITL 1 in P-1 CELL 0.71073 11.0595 12.3332 15.1015 81.337 82.948 64.478 ZERR 1.00 0.0009 0.0010 0.0012 0.001 0.001 0.001 LATT 1 SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Ho UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.22 1.78 L.S. 10 BOND FMAP 2 PLAN 5 FREE O6 N1 TEMP 23.000 SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3 EXYZ Ho1 ND1 EADP Ho1 ND1 WGHT 0.060500 7.747000 FVAR 0.34616 0.88843 0.11157 1.037631 21.00000 HO1 0.402847 0.885701 8 0.01408 0.01358 = 0.01270 - 0.00156 - 0.00002 - 0.00482ND1 7 0.402847 0.885701 1.037631 -21.00000 0.01408 0.01358 = 0.01270 - 0.00156 - 0.00002 - 0.00482Er-Nd TITL 1 in P-1 CELL 0.71073 11.0696 12.3189 15.1050 81.377 82.802 64.383 0.0007 0.0008 0.0009 0.001 0.001 ZERR 100 0.001 LATT 1 SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Er UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.21 1.79 L.S. 10 BOND FMAP 2 PLAN 5 TEMP 23.000 SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3 EXYZ Er1 ND1 EADP Er1 ND1 WGHT 0.058100 9.235000 FVAR 0.34462 0.89189 0.10811 ER1 8 0.596434 1.114660 -0.036721 11.00000 0.00980

	0.00386 = 0.01365 - 0.00015 - 0.00331 0.00146
	ND1 7 0.596434 1.114660 -0.036721 11.00000 0.00980
	0.00386 = 0.01365 - 0.00015 - 0.00331 0.00146
Yb-Nd	TITL 1 in P-1
	CELL 0.71073 11.0728 12.2852 15.0593 81.387 82.667 64.308
	ZERR 1.00 0.0029 0.0033 0.0040 0.004 0.004 0.003
	LATT 1
	SFAC C H N O Cu I Nd Yb
	UNIT 48 72 14 22 2 2 0.21 1.79
	L.S. 10
	BOND
	АСТА
	FMAP 2
	PLAN 5
	TEMP 23.000
	SUMP 1.0 0.0001 1.0 2 1.0 3
	EXYZ YB1 ND1
	EADP YB1 ND1
	WGHT 0.056300 10.150500
	FVAR 0.34336 0.90794 0.09206
	YB1 8 -0.097201 0.884707 0.537847 21.00000 0.01412
	0.01307 = 0.01206 - 0.00136 - 0.00157 - 0.00386
	ND1 7 -0.097201 0.884707 0.537847 -21.00000 0.01412
	0.01307 = 0.01206 - 0.00136 - 0.00157 - 0.00386

$\begin{array}{c} RE1(NO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O + Hina + Cul + NaCl & \overset{DMF/MeCN/i-PrO}{1 \text{ mmol}} \\ 1 \text{ mmol} & 2 \text{ mmol} 1 \text{ mmol} 2 \text{ mmol} & 100 \text{ °C, 2h} \end{array}$	^H [RE(ina) ₂ (Cul)(NO ₃)(DMF) ₂]•2DMF•0.5H ₂ O yield: 98 ~ 99 % based on RE1	(1)
RE2(NO ₃) ₃ ·xH ₂ O + Hina + Cul + NaCl DMF/MeCN/i-PrO 1 mmol 2 mmol 1 mmol 2 mmol 100 °C, 2h	^H {[NaRE2(ina)₄(Cu₄l₄)]•3H₂O} _n yield: ~ 80 % based on Cul	(2)
$\begin{array}{c} RE1(NO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O + RE2(NO_3)_3 \cdot xH_2O + Hina + Cul + I \\ 1 \text{ mmol} & 1 \text{ mmol} & 2 \text{ mmol} 1 \text{ mmol} 2 \end{array}$	NaCI DMF/MeCN/i-PrOH mmol 100 °C, 2h	(3)
[F >	$RE1_yRE2_{1-y}(ina)_2(CuI)(NO_3)(DMF)_2]-2DMF-0.5H_2O$ ield: 48 % based on RE1+RE2, 96 % based on RE1 or RE2	

Scheme S1 The synthesis of complex 1, 2 and 1-RE1/RE2.



Fig. S1 Coordination configuration of RE ions and coordination modes of ligand in complex 1 (bottom) and 2 (top).



Fig. S2 Comparison of metal distributions obtained from single crystal X-ray crystallography (•) and ICP measurements (•).



Fig. S3 PXRD data of 1-RE1/Nd.

Stating Ln		Starting materials	Mole percentage of Ln in		
elements		radio	Crystalline samples by ICP		
RE2	RE1	(mmol/mmol)	RE2 / %	RE1 / %	
Nd	Eu	1:1	27.36	72.64	
Nd	Gd	1 :1	23.21	76.79	
Nd	Tb	1:1	13.80	86.20	
Nd	Dy	1:1	15.96	84.04	
Nd	Но	1 :1	17.40	82.60	
Nd	Er	1:1	15.82	84.18	
Nd	Yb	1:1	7.41	92.59	
Nd	Y	1:1	12.74	87.26	

Table S7 Separation results of 1-RE1/RE2.

Table S8 Maximum allowable emission concentration for basic control projects (average daily value, GB8978--1996). Since the wastewater discharge standards are different in different countries (even different in different states in USA), and now China is the largest producer of rare earth in the world, so we refer to China's wastewater discharge standards.

No.	Basic control projects	Grade I		Grade II	Grade	
		Standard	Standard		II	
		Α	В			
1	Chemical oxygen demand	50/60	60	100/120	120	
	(COD) (mg/L)					
2	Biochemical oxygen demand	10/20	20	30	60	
	(BOD) (mg/L)					
3	Suspended solids (SS) (mg/L)	10/20	20	30	50	
4	Animal and vegetable oils	1/20	3/20	5/20	20	
	(mg/L)					
5	Petroleum (mg/L)	1/10	3/10	5/10	15	
6	Anionic surface-active agent	0.5/5	1/5	2/5	5	
	(mg/L)					
7	Nitrogen content (mg/L)	15	20	-	-	
8	Ammonia nitrogen content	5(8)/15	8(15)/(15)	25(30)/25	-	
	(mg/L)					
9	Phosphorus content (mg/L)	0.5	1	3	5	
10	Chroma (dilution ratio)	30/50	30/50	3	5	
11	РН	6-9				
12	Fecal escherichia coli (/L)	103	104	104	-	

Notes and references

- 1 G. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. A 2008, 64, 112.
- 2 A. Spek, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2003, 36, 7.
- 3 E. B. Sandell, Anal. Chem. 1968, 40, 834.
- 4 R. D. Shannon, Acta Cryst. 1976, A32, 751.
- (a) G. J. T. Cooper, G. N. Newton, P. Kögerler, D.-L. Long, L. Engelhardt, , M. Luban, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007, *46*, 1340. (b) M.-H. Zeng, X.-C. Liu, H. Liang, M. Kurmoo, *Chem.-Eur. J.* 2011, *17*, 14084. (c) J.-L. Liu, F.-S. Guo, Z.-S. Meng, Y.-Z. Zheng, J.-D. Leng, M.-L. Tong, L. Ungur, L. F. Chibotaru, K. J. Heroux, D. N. Hendrickson, *Chem. Sci.* 2011, *2*, 1268. (d) J.-D. Leng, J.-L. Liu, Y.-Z. Zheng, L. Ungur, L. F. Chibotaru, F.-S. Guo, M.-L Tong, I. Ungur, J. S. Commun. 2013, *49*, 158.