1	A facile and simple method for synthesis of graphene oxide
2	quantum dots from black carbon
3	
4 5	Qiujun Lu, Cuiyan Wu, Dan Liu, Haiyan Wang, Wei Su, Haitao Li*, Youyu Zhang and Shouzhuo Yao
6 7 8	Key Laboratory of Chemical Biology and Traditional Chinese Medicine Research (Ministry of Education),College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Hunan Normal University, Changsha 410081, P.R. China
9 10	
11	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	* Corresponding author: Tel: +86-731-88865515; Fax: +86-731-88865515;

26 E-mail address: haitao-li@hunnu.edu.cn

1 1. Experimental section

2 1.1 Materials and reagents

Black carbon (BC) is produced by the incomplete combustion of wood (*Cunninghamia lanceolata*). H_2O_2 was obtained from Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co., Ltd (Shanghai, China). Other regents of analytical grade were obtained from Beijing Chemical Company (Beijing, China) and were used as received without any further purification. Ultrapure water (18.2 M Ω cm⁻¹; Millpore., USA) was used throughout the experiments.

8

9 1.2 Apparatus

10 The UV-Vis spectra and the fluorescence spectra (FL) were obtained using a UV-2450 UV-Vis 11 spectrophotometer (Shimadzu Co., Japan) and a QM40 fluorescence spectrophotometer (PTI Ltd, 12 Canada), respectively. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images were collected from a JEOL-1230 transmission electronic microscope (JEOL, Japan). Fourier transform infrared spectra 13 (FT-IR) in the 4000 to 400 cm⁻¹ regions were recorded on a Nicolet Nexus 670 FT-IR 14 spectroscope (Nicolet Instrument Co., USA). X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analysis 15 16 was done on an Thermo Fisher Scientific K-Alpha 1063 X-ray photoelectron spectrometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Britain) using Al, K as the exciting source. X-ray diffraction (XRD) 17 patterns were collected using a Rigaku 2500 (Japan) X-ray diffractometer. Atomic force 18 microscope (AFM) image of GO-dots was obtained using a MIPicoLE Atomic Force Microscope 19 20 (MI, USA).

21

22 1.3 Synthesis of GO-dots

In a typical synthesis, 0.02 g BC powder and 15 mL H_2O_2 (1%, wt%) were added to a 25 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 180 °C for 90 min. After cooling to room temperature, the GO-dots were obtained in the supernatant. As control experiments, replace 15 mL H_2O_2 (1%, wt%) with 15 mL HCl (1%, wt%) or 15 mL NaOH (1%, wt%) or 15 mL pure water, and other conditions are the same.

28

29 1.4 The procedure for the determination of the fluorescence quantum yields

30 Fluorescence (FL) quantum yields of the GO-dots were obtained by using the comparative 31 method. The quantum yield of GO-dots, Φ_x , is calculated according to the following equation:

$$\Phi_{x} = \Phi_{\text{std}} \left(\frac{F_{x}}{F_{\text{std}}} \right) \left(\frac{A_{\text{std}}}{A_{x}} \right) \left(\frac{n_{x}}{n_{\text{std}}} \right)^{2}$$

2 Where Φ , *F*, *A*, and *n* are quantum yield of the standard sample, integrated FL intensity, 3 absorbance, and refractive index, respectively. The subscript "*std*" refers to the standard 4 fluorophore of known quantum yield, for an example, quinine sulfate used in present work (The 5 quantum yield of quinine sulfate dissolved in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ is 0.54.). To minimize re-absorption 6 effects, the absorbance of GO-dots and quinine sulfate solution in the 10 mm fluorescence cuvette 7 were adjusted never exceed 0.05 at the excitation wavelength. The quinine sulfate was dissolved 8 in 0.1 M H₂SO₄ (n_{std} was 1.33).

9

10 1.5 Cellular imaging and cytotoxity assays

11 The living HeLa cells were grown in DMEM (Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's Medium) supplemented with 10% FBS (fetal bovine serum) and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. 12 The cells were then incubated with GO-dots (0.2 mg mL⁻¹) in medium (2 mL) for 1 h at 37 °C, and 13 washing with phosphate buffered saline (PBS) three times to remove the extracellular GO-dots. 14 15 After that, the cell fluorescence images were acquired using an oil dipping objective (100×) on a confocal laser scanning fluorescence microscope setup consisting of an Olympus IX81 inverted 16 microscope with an Olympus FV1000 confocal scanning system. The cytotoxicity of GO-dots was 17 examined by 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) assay. First, 18 19 HeLa cells were seeded in 96-well plates. After incubating for 24 h, the medium was then replaced by the culture solution containing GO-dots with various concentrations (0, 0.05, 0.10, 0.15, 0.20 20 and 0.25 mg mL⁻¹), and the cells were continued to incubate for another 24 h. Next, the cells were 21 22 washed three times with PBS, and then, freshly prepared MTT (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) solution was added to each well. Finally, the MTT medium solution was carefully removed after 4 h incubation, and 23 DMSO was then added into each well. The plate was gently shaken for 10 min at room 24 temperature to dissolve all precipitates, and the absorbance of MTT at 570 nm was monitored in a 25 spectrophotometer. 26

Precursor	Synthetic process	Post-processing steps	Time	Reference
XC-72 carbon black	Acid refluxing, HNO ₃ , 24 h	Centrifugalization, vacuum filtration, vacuum freeze dry	> 24 h	[1]
Graphite nanoparticles	Step 1: ultrasonication H ₂ SO ₄ /HNO ₃ , Step 2: acid refluxing, H ₂ SO ₄ /HNO ₃ , 12 h	Centrifugalization, dialysis	> 2 d	[2]
Graphite nanoparticles	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method, $H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 2 h Step 2: ultrasonication, 3 h	Centrifugalization	> 5 h	[3]
Cellulose	Step 1: microwave treatment, H ₂ SO ₄ , 2 h Step 2: acid refluxing and ultrasonic treatment, HNO ₃ , 1 h	Filter, rotary evaporation, vacuum dry	> 1 week	[4-6]
Graphite powder	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method, $H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 1 d Step 2: hydrothermal method, N,N- dimethylformamide 6 h	Dialysis, filter, rotary evaporation,	> 8 h	[7]
CX-72 carbon black	Step 1: ultrasonication, HNO ₃ , 2 h Step 2: acid refluxing, HNO ₃ , 24 h	Centrifugalization, evaporation, redispersion	> 24 h	[8]
Coal	Acid refluxing, HNO ₃ , 12 h	Centrifugalization, vacuum dry, redispersion, Centrifugalization	> 12 h	[9]
Graphite nanoparticles	Step 1: ultrasonication, H_2SO_4 , HNO_3 , 3 h Step 2: acid refluxing, H_2SO_4 , HNO_3 , 12 h	Centrifugalization, dialysis, further dialysis	> 3 d	[10]
Graphite	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method, $H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 1 d Step 2: acid refluxing, HNO ₃ , 8 h Step 3: ultrasonic NaOH 0.5 h	Centrifugalization, dialysis, filtration	> 1 week	[11]
Graphite	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method, H ₂ SO ₄ /KMnO ₄ /NaNO ₃ /H ₂ O ₂ , > 1 d Step 2: acid oxidizing, HNO ₃ , 12 h Step 3: ultrasonication, 10 h Step 4: heated in oven, 12 h	Not mentioned	> 2.5 d	[12]
Graphene nanofibers	Step 1: acid oxidizing, NaClO ₃ , fuming HNO ₃ , 12 h Step 2: acid refluxing, NaClO ₃ , fuming HNO ₃ , HNO ₃ , 6 h Step 3: ultrasonication, HCl, 1 h	Dialysis, vacuum dry	> 1 week	[13]
Graphite	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method, $H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 1 d Step 2: further oxidation by Hummers method, $H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 1 d	Centrifugalization, dialysis	> 2 d	[14]

1 Table S1 Methods for synthesizing GO-dots

	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method,			
Crophito	$H_2SO_4/K_2S_2O_8/P_2O_5, > 1 d$	Centrifugalization,	> 1 week	[15]
Graphite	Step 2: acid refluxing, HNO ₃ /H ₂ SO ₄ , 24 h,	dialysis, filtration		
	Step 3: ultrasonication, NaOH, 0.5 h			
	Step 1: oxidation by Hummers method,			
	$H_2SO_4/KMnO_4/NaNO_3/H_2O_2$, > 1 d	Centrifugalization,		
Graphite	Step 2: acid oxidizing and sonication, HNO ₃ , 10	filtration, freeze dry,	> 2 d	[16]
	h	centrifugalization,		
	Step 2: acid refluxing, HNO ₃ , 10 h			
Black carbon	Hydrothermal method, H ₂ O ₂ , 1.5 h	Not required	1.5 h	This work
1				
•				
2				





Fig. S1 The (A) day light and (C) 365 nm UV light irradiation photographs of synthesized
products under different conditions, and corresponding (B) UV-vis and (D) FL spectra,
respectively. (The aqueous solutions are without dilution or enrichment, the excitation wavelength
of (D) is 331 nm.)







20 (degree)

Fig. S3 XRD patterns of BC and GO-dots





- 3 Fig. S4 The photographs of as-synthesized GO-dots in the mixture of water and EA, water and
- 4 CHCl₃, water and hexane under (A) day light and (B) 365 nm UV light irradiation.



4 Fig. S5 Normalized FL intensity of GO-dots (A) at different pH, (B) in the presence of different
5 concentration of NaCl, (C) continuous excitation with a 150 W Xe lamp for 60 min and (D) at
6 room temperature for a month, respectively. (The excitation wavelength is 331 nm, the emission
7 wavelength is 446 nm.)

2	1.	Y. Sun, Y. Zheng, J. Chen, W. Zhang, N. Tang and Y. Du, Appl. Phys. Lett., 2016, 108,
3		033105.
4	2.	M. Park, H. D. Ha, Y. T. Kim, J. H. Jung, SH. Kim, D. H. Kim and T. S. Seo, Anal. Chem.,
5		2015, 87 , 10969-10975.
6	3.	F. Liu, MH. Jang, H. D. Ha, JH. Kim, YH. Cho and T. S. Seo, Adv. Mater., 2013, 25,
7		3657-3662.
8	4.	S. Hassanzadeh, K. H. Adolfsson, D. Wu and M. Hakkarainen, <i>Biomacromolecules</i> , 2016, 17,
9		256-261.
10	5.	K. H. Adolfsson, S. Hassanzadeh and M. Hakkarainen, RSC. Adv., 2015, 5, 26550-26558.
11	6.	S. Hassanzadeh, K. H. Adolfsson and M. Hakkarainen, RSC. Adv., 2015, 5, 57425-57432.
12	7.	Y. Shi, A. Pramanik, C. Tchounwou, F. Pedraza, R. A. Crouch, S. R. Chavva, A. Vangara, S.
13		S. Sinha, S. Jones, D. Sardar, C. Hawker and P. C. Ray, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7,
14		10935-10943.
15	8.	HX. Zhao, YC. Wang, LY. Zhang and M. Wang, New J. Chem., 2015, 39, 98-101.
16	9.	Y. Dong, J. Lin, Y. Chen, F. Fu, Y. Chi and G. Chen, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 7410-7415.
17	10.	H. D. Ha, MH. Jang, F. Liu, YH. Cho and T. S. Seo, Carbon, 2015, 81, 367-375.
18	11.	T. Ghosh and E. Prasad, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2015, 119, 2733-2742.
19	12.	CY. Teng, TF. Yeh, KI. Lin, SJ. Chen, M. Yoshimura and H. Teng, J. Mater. Chem. C,
20		2015, 3 , 4553-4562.
21	13.	J. Wei, J. Qiu, L. Ren, K. Zhang, S. Wang and B. Weeks, Sci. Adv. Mater., 2014, 6, 1052-
22		1059.
23	14.	TF. Yeh, CY. Teng, SJ. Chen and H. Teng, Adv. Mater., 2014, 26, 3297-3303.
24	15.	Y. Zhang, H. Gao, J. Niu and B. Liu, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 4970-4974.
25	16.	TF. Yeh, WL. Huang, CJ. Chung, I. T. Chiang, LC. Chen, HY. Chang, WC. Su, C.
26		Cheng, SJ. Chen and H. Teng, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2016, 7, 2087-2092.
27		
28		
-		