Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2016

Electronic Supplementary Information

New Journal of Chemistry

In-situ formation of bromobenzene diazonium ions and their spontaneous reaction with carbon-coated LiFePO₄ in organic media

Nicolas Delaporte^{\dagger}, Karim Zaghib^{\sharp #} and Daniel Bélanger^{\dagger *}

†Département de Chimie, Université du Québec à Montréal, Case Postale 8888, succursale

Centre-Ville, Montréal (Québec) Canada H3C 3P8.

‡Institut de Recherche d'Hydro-Québec (IREQ), 1800 Boulevard Lionel Boulet, Varennes, QC,

Canada J3X 1S1

zaghib.karim@ireq.ca; *belanger.daniel@uqam.ca

ESI1 - Estimation of the loading of grafted groups

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was used to assess the loading of grafted molecules present at the surface of the modified LiFePO₄¹⁻³. The mass gain up to about 400 °C, shown in Figure ESI1, is associated with the formation of Li₃Fe₂(PO₄)₃ and Fe₂O₃ during oxidation ^{2,3}. Despite that oxidation of LiFePO₄ occurs up to 600 °C ⁴, a mass loss is observed above 400 °C due to the burn-off of the carbon coating. The difference at 600 °C between the TGA curves of coated and uncoated LiFePO₄ provides the carbon content ². As illustrated in Figure ESI1, all the grafted samples present a larger weight loss at 600 °C than unmodified nanometric LiFePO₄/C (LiFePO₄/C nano), which is associated with the departure of the grafted molecules. It is clear that the method involving the reduction of 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt (Δ and \circ) is more efficient that the *in-situ* method (\blacktriangle and \bullet), since the weight losses between 400 and 600 °C are higher. Subtracting the weight loss recorded in this range of temperature for the LiFePO₄/C nano from that for the different grafted powders allows an estimation of the loading of grafted molecules. The calculated amounts of grafted groups for modified LiFePO₄ are reported in Table 1.



Figure ESI1. Thermogravimetric curves for unmodified (\blacksquare) and bromophenyl-grafted LiFePO₄/C powders by the *in-situ* method (\blacktriangle and \bullet) and reduction of 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt (\triangle and \circ). The data extracted from these curves are presented in Table 1.

ESI2 - Oxidation of nanometric LiFePO₄/C powders by tert-butyl nitrite

 $LiFePO_4/C$ was left to react with tert-butyl nitrite alone (without the amine) and the amount of deinserted lithium ions was determined by ICP-AES and consequently the oxidation level of LiFePO₄/C. Figure ESI2 shows the linear relation between the level of LiFePO₄/C oxidation (x in Li_(1-x)FePO₄/C) and the quantity of tert-butyl nitrite used.



Figure ESI2. Linear relation between the level of LiFePO₄/C oxidation (x in Li($_{1-x}$)FePO₄/C) and the quantity of tert-butyl nitrite (TBN) used (mmol).

From the data of Figure ESI2, the extent of LiFePO₄/C oxidation by tert-butyl nitrite can be easily found during the grafting reaction by reduction of *in-situ* generated 4-bromobenzene diazonium ions. For instance, employing 20 mmol of *p*-bromoaniline and tert-butyl nitrite for the modification of LiFePO₄/C (entry 3, Table 1 of the manuscript) led to a value of x in Li($_{1-x}$)FePO₄/C of 0.121. On the other hand, when LiFePO₄/C reacts with tert-butyl nitrite alone, a value of x in Li($_{1-x}$)FePO₄/C of 0.121. On the other hand, when LiFePO₄/C reacts with tert-butyl nitrite alone, a value of x in Li($_{1-x}$)FePO₄/C of 0.108 is obtained (see Figure ESI2). Thus, 90% of the oxidation of the LiFePO₄/C powder during the grafting reaction is due to the

side reaction with tert-butyl nitrite. However, since tert-butyl nitrite also reacts with pbromoaniline to form the corresponding 4-bromobenzene diazonium ions, the quantity of tertbutyl nitrite available to oxidize LiFePO₄/C is much lower than 90% in this case. In fact, the yield of diazotization (entry 3, Table 2 of the manuscript) is about 55%, leading to a maximum of 45% of the initial concentration of tert-butyl nitrite involved in the oxidation of LiFePO₄/C powder. According to Figure ESI2 and considering that the remaining tert-butyl nitrite reacts entirely with LiFePO₄/C, the calculated amount of desinserted lithium ions x in $Li(1-x)FePO_4/C$ is about 0.052, corresponding to 43% of the oxidation of the grafted LiFePO₄/C powder. Thus, it can deduced that 21, 28 and 43% of the LiFePO₄/C oxidation is due to the reaction with TBN, when 1.25, 5 and 20 mmol of precursors (amine + tert-butyl nitrite) were used, respectively. Scheme ESI1 shows the outcome and causes of $LiFePO_4/C$ oxidation for different reaction conditions. An average grafting efficiency of 14% was determined for the reaction with 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt (Scheme ESI1A) and the average of 86% of the diazonium ions led to the formation of soluble byproducts. For grafting with in-situ generated 4-bromobenzene diazonium ions, on average, 10% of the electrons donated by LiFePO₄/C are used to form the grafted layer. In contrary to Scheme ESI1A, the oxidation of LiFePO₄/C is also due to the reaction with tert-butyl nitrite. Thus, the quantity of electrons that reduced bromobenzene diazonium ions to form the byproducts is lower. However, by considering only the actual concentration of diazonium ions being generated, an average grafting efficiency of 15% is found (see values in parentheses in Scheme ESI1B), similar to that found using 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt for the modification. Moreover, in this case, on average, 85% of the electrons led to the formation of by-products in solution, as observed for grafting employing 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt.





Scheme ESI1. Representation of the various contributions to LiFePO_4/C oxidation during the reduction of: a) 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt and b) *in-situ* generated 4-bromobenzene diazonium ions. The modification conditions for these grafted LiFePO₄/C samples are presented in Table 1. Values in parentheses (right) refer to the oxidation of LiFePO₄ when that of tert-butyl nitrite is not taking into account.

ESI3 – Kinetics for the reaction of *p*-bromoaniline with tert-butyl nitrite

Figure ESI3 presents the variation of the concentration of *p*-bromoaniline in presence of 1.25 mmol (1 equivalent) of tert-butyl nitrite in acetonitrile. The kinetics for the disappearance of the amine was slow (second-order rate constant of 4.41 x 10^{-3} L.mol⁻¹.s⁻¹ at 22 °C) and only 50% of the amine was consumed after 4 h of reaction and 80% after 10 h. This result explains the higher loading of bromobenzene groups on LiFePO₄/C when the 4bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt was used (compare entries 1-2 with 9-10, Table 1). For the *in-situ* method (Scheme 1, step 1), the diazonium ions are slowly formed during several hours, while with the diazonium salt, 1.25 mmol of diazonium ions are already present in solution at the beginning of the reaction (Scheme 1, step 2).



Figure ESI3. Variation of the concentration of *p*-bromoaniline with time after addition of 1.25 mmol (1 equivalent) of tert-butyl nitrite to 1.25 mmol of *p*-bromoaniline in acetonitrile.

ESI4 - Stability of bromobenzene diazonium ions in acetonitrile without LiFePO₄

To compare the stability of the bromobenzene diazonium ions in acetonitrile, two different solutions were prepared. The first consists of 1.25 mmol of *p*-bromoaniline and 1.25 mmol (1 equivalent) of tert-butyl nitrite in acetonitrile and the second of 1.25 mmol of 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt in acetonitrile. The solutions were left to react for 24 h and optical photographs of the solutions at different reaction times: 0, 200 min and 24 h were taken (Figure ESI4). Optical photographs show that the solution containing the amine and tert-butyl nitrite became orange after 200 min and dark orange-red after one day. In contrast, the solution containing the diazonium salt remained transparent after 24 h and even after 10 months (Figure ESI5), presumably the diazonium cations are stabilized in the presence of BF_4^- anions. These observations demonstrate the formation of colored by-products in the case of the solution containing *in-situ* generated diazonium ions. Thus, the

diazonium salt solution is stable in acetonitrile (slight yellow coloration after 10 months, see Figure ESI5) whereas *in-situ* generated diazonium ions yielded colored degradation products.



Figure ESI4. Optical images corresponding to: a) a solution of 1.25 mmol of *p*-bromoaniline with 1.25 mmol (1 equivalent) of tert-butyl nitrite in acetonitrile and b) a solution of 1.25 mmol of 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt in acetonitrile at different reaction times: 0, 200 min and 24 h.



Figure ESI5. Optical images of a solution containing 1.25 mmol of 4-bromobenzene diazonium tetrafluoroborate salt in acetonitrile after: a) 24 h and b) 10 months.

ESI5 - Thermogravimetric curves of uncoated LiFePO₄

Thermogravimetric curves of the uncoated LiFePO₄ before and after grafting are presented in Figure ESI6. The shape of the thermogram has been explained in previous works ^{1,5} and detailed above for LiFePO₄/C (see Figure ESI1). For the uncoated LiFePO₄, no significant weight loss after 450 °C was observed after grafting, suggesting that the functionalization of the surface did not occur, while 0.4 and 0.8 wt. % of grafted groups were obtained following reaction with LiFePO₄/C in the same conditions (see Table 1). These results are similar to those reported by Madec *et al.* ⁶.



Figure ESI6. Thermogravimetric curves for uncoated (\blacksquare) and bromophenyl-grafted LiFePO₄ powders, modified by employing 1.25 (\blacktriangle) and 5 mmol (\bullet) of *p*-bromoaniline and tert-butyl nitrite (TBN). Note that the mass gain is lower after grafting reaction.

References

[1] N. Delaporte, A. Perea, R. Amin, K. Zaghib, D. Bélanger, J. Power Sources, 2015, 280, 246-255.

[2] I. Belharouak, C. Johnson and K. Amine, *Electrochem. Commun.*, 2005, 7, 983-988.

[3] S. Hamelet, P. Gibot, M. Casas-Cabanas, D. Bonnin, C. P. Grey, J. Cabana, J. B. Leriche,

J. Rodriguez-Carvajal, M. Courty, S. Levasseur, P. Carlach, M. van Thournout, J. M.

Tarascon and C. Masquelier, J. Mater. Chem., 2009, 19, 3979-3991.

[4] J. M. Tarascon, N. Recham, M. Armand, J. N. Chotard, P. Barpanda, W. Walker and L. Dupont, *Chem. Mater.*, **2010**, *22*, 724-739.

[5] N. Delaporte, A. Perea, E. Lebègue, S. Ladouceur, K. Zaghib, D. Bélanger, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, **2015**, *7*, 18519-18529.
[6] L. Madec, D. Robert, P. Moreau, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, D. Guyomard and J. Gaubicher, *J.*

[6] L. Madec, D. Robert, P. Moreau, P. Bayle-Guillemaud, D. Guyomard and J. Gaubicher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2013**, 135, 11614-11622.