Electronic Supplementary Material (ESI) for New Journal of Chemistry. This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry and the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique 2016

Fluorescent benzimidazo[1,2-a]quinolines: Synthesis, spectroscopic and computational studies of protonation equilibria and metal ion sensitivity

Marijana Hranjec,^a Ema Horak,^b Darko Babić,^c Sanela Plavljanin,^a Zrinka Srdović,^a Ivana Murković Steinberg,^b Robert Vianello^{*d} and Nataša Perin^{*a}

^a Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Zagreb, Marulićev trg 20, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia. E-mail: nperin@fkit.hr

^b Department of General and Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemical Engineering and Technology, University of Zagreb, Marulićev trg 19, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia

^c Group for Computational Life Sciences, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54, HR-10000 Zagreb, Croatia.

^d Computational Organic Chemistry and Biochemistry Group, Ruđer Bošković Institute, Bijenička 54, HR– 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. E-mail: robert.vianello@irb.hr

Supplementary Information

CONTENTS

PAGE

Job's plots for the metal binding stoichiometry determination	S 1
UV-Vis spectra for precursors 1 and 2 recorded in various organic solvents	S2
Fluorescence emission spectra for precursors 1 and 2 recorded in various organic solvents	S2
Electronic absorption and fluorescence emission data for 1 and 2 in various solvents	S3
Calculated UV/Vis spectra of 3–6 in various solvents	S4
Calculated UV/V is spectra of $\boldsymbol{6}$, monoprotonated $\boldsymbol{6}^{+}$, and diprotonated $\boldsymbol{6}^{2+}$ in water	S5
Difference densities of the lowest active excited states of $\boldsymbol{6}$ in various solvents	S6–S7
Difference densities of the lowest active excited states of 6 , 6^+ , and 6^{2+} in water	S 8
Correlation between the calculated and experimental first excitations for 3–6 in different solvents	S9



Figure S1. Job's plot for the determination of the stoichiometry of **3** (a), **4** (b), **5** (c) and **6** (d) with the Cu²⁺ ions in the complex. The total concentration of compounds **3–6** and Cu²⁺ is 2×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³.



Figure S2. UV-Vis spectra recorded in ethanol, acetonitrile, dioxane and toluene at concentration of 2×10^{-5} mol dm⁻³ of **1** (a) and **2** (b).



Figure S3. Fluorescence emission spectra in ethanol, acetonitrile, dioxane and toluene at concentration of 1×10^{-7} mol dm⁻³ of **1** (a) and **2** (b).

compound		1				2		
solvent ^a	Eth	Acn	Dxn	Tol	Eth	Acn	Dxn	Tol
λ _{max} (nm)	378	379	383	408	390	390	396	423
	343	344	346	387	352	352	353	399
	271	267	270	349	334	334	335	378
	260	259	261		269	272	273	357
	246	244	251		262	263	263	335
					248	248	252	
[⁻¹ cm ⁻¹)	6.2	7.5	9.7	3.5	8.6	8.6	6.3	3.6
	7.2	8.4	11.1	6.4	11.9	11.9	8.9	7.3
mo	26.3	33.3	44.2	7.3	9.7	10.9	8.2	7.4
(dm ³	25.3	31.8	43.2		36.2	36.5	27.5	9.2
10 ³ (24.4	31.1	43.3		36.8	35.7	27.2	8.2
х з					39.6	39.6	32.6	
$\lambda_{emiss} (nm)^b$	465	464	458	429	486	486	476	443
				<u>454</u>				<u>470</u>
				483				501
relative fluorescence intensity	265	208	538	179	210	225	397	173
				275				262
				206				186
Φ	0.3361	-	-	-	0.2250	-	-	-

Table S1. Electronic absorption and fluorescence emission data of parent compounds 1 and 2 recorded at the same concentration in four organic solvents.

^a Abbreviations Eth, Acn, Dxn and Tol correspond to ethanol, acetonitrile, dioxane and toluene, respectively. ^b The underlined wavelength values correspond to the most pronounced maximum in the visible region.



Figure S4. TD-DFT calculated UV/Vis spectra of molecules **3–6** in various solvents obtained at the (IEF–PCM)/M06/6–31+G(d) level of theory. The spectra are simulated by convolution of the pure vertical transitions with the Gaussian distribution function and a plausible standard deviation at 1.8×10^{-4} nm⁻¹ (0.223 eV).



Figure S5. TD-DFT calculated UV/Vis spectra of **6** and its monoprotonated (**6**⁺) and diprotonated (**6**²⁺) derivatives in water obtained at the (IEF–PCM)/M06/6–311++G(2d,2p) (top) and (IEF–PCM)/M06/6–31+G(d) (bottom) levels of theory. Note that the augmentation of the basis set does not bring qualitative differences in the results. The spectra are simulated by convolution of the pure vertical transitions with the Gaussian distribution function and a plausible standard deviation at 1.8×10^{-4} nm⁻¹ (0.223 eV).

dioxane	ethanol	water	acetonitrile	toluene	
no. 1	no. 1	no. 1	no. 1	no. 1	
388.4 nm	386.1 nm	384.5 nm	386.6 nm	389.3 nm	
f=0.43	f=0.45	f=0.44	f=0.47	f=0.45	
no. 2	no. 2	no. 2	no. 2	no. 2	
348.1 nm	347.4 nm	345.2 nm	347.9 nm	348.8 nm	
f=0.26	f=0.29	f=0.28	f=0.28	f=0.27	
no. 3	no. 3	no. 3	no. 3	no. 3	
318.8 nm	319.2 nm	318.9 nm	319.3 nm	319.2 nm	
f=0.12	f=0.09	f=0.09	f=0.09	f=0.11	
no. 4	no. 4	no. 4	no. 4	no. 4	
304.4 nm	301.2 nm	299.3 nm	302.3 nm	304.7 nm	
f=0.13	f=0.15	f=0.20	f=0.15	f=0.13	
no. 5	no. 5	no. 5	no. 5	no. 5	
295.2 nm	294.8 nm	292.3 nm	294.9 nm	295.5 nm	
f=0.15	f=0.19	f=0.14	f=0.19	f=0.16	

Figure continues on the next page

Continued from the previous page



Figure S6. Difference densities of the lowest active excited states of **6**, calculated for various solvents. Electron enriched regions are coloured in purple, and those depleted in charge in drab. Excitation wavelength (in nm) is written below the ordinal number of the excited state, while *f* denotes the oscillator strength. The excited states are calculated by the TD-DFT method at the (IEF–PCM)/M06/6–31+G(d) level of theory. The molecular skeleton is oriented in the same way throughout the text (with the nitrile group pointing downwards).



Figure S7. Difference densities of the lowest active excited states of **6** and its monoprotonated (6^+) and diprotonated (6^{2+}) derivatives in water. Electron enriched regions are coloured in purple, and those depleted in charge in drab. Excitation wavelength (in nm) is written below the ordinal number of the excited state, while *f* denotes the oscillator strength. The excited states are calculated by the TD-DFT method at the (IEF– PCM)/M06/6–31+G(d) level of theory. The molecular skeleton is oriented in the same way throughout the text (with the nitrile group pointing downwards).



Figure S8. Correlation between the calculated and experimental lowest excitations for systems **3–6** in different solvents. Only the first excitation energies are shown (the solvents are not labeled). Linear regression reveals a reasonable correlation ($\lambda_{CALC} = 1.058 \cdot \lambda_{EXP} - 55.07$) with the regression coefficient of R² = 0.94.