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Table S1. Crystal data and structure refinement details for compound 1.

|  | 1-1/2EtOH $5 / 4 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ | $2.2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Formula | $\mathrm{C}_{167} \mathrm{H}_{144.50} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{Mn}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{10.50} \mathrm{O}_{55.50}{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{192} \mathrm{H}_{210} \mathrm{Cl}_{4} \mathrm{Mn}_{8} \mathrm{~N}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{46}$ |
| Fw (g/mol) | 3696.84 | 4031.05 |
| Crystal color, habit | red, prism | Red, thin plate |
| $T$ (K) | 100(2) | 100 |
| $\lambda(\mathrm{Mo} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ ) / $\AA$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.62 \times 0.25 \times 0.23$ | $0.23 \times 0.20 \times 0.03$ |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | P1 | P21/c |
| $a /$ Å | 16.254(3) | 27.685(3) |
| b / Å | 16.408(3) | 19.1531(18) |
| $c / A ̊$ | 17.493(3) | 18.3634(16) |
| $\alpha /{ }^{\circ}$ | 111.443(6) | 90 |
| $\beta /^{\circ}$ | 93.798(6) | 91.828(3) |
| $\gamma 1^{\circ}$ | 103.048(6) | 90 |
| $v / \AA^{3}$ | 4172.9(13) | 9732.3(16) |
| Z | 1 | 2 |
| $\rho_{\text {calcd }} / \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-3}$ | 1.471 | 1.376 |
| $\mu / \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ | 0.708 | 0.637 |
| F(000) | 1897 | 4192 |
| $\theta$ range / ${ }^{\circ}$ | 2.21 to 26.88 | 2.10 to 30.72 |
| Completeness to $\theta_{\text {max }}$ | 99.7\% | 98.0\% |
| Index ranges | $h=-20 \rightarrow 20$ | $h=-39 \rightarrow 39$ |
|  | $k=-20 \rightarrow 20$ | $k=-23 \rightarrow 27$ |
|  | $1=-22 \rightarrow 22$ | $I=-26 \rightarrow 24$ |
| Data/restraints/parameters | 34398/75/2243 | 29671/3/553 |
| GooF on $F^{2}$ | 1.105 | 1.531 |
| $\mathrm{R}_{1}{ }^{c}, \omega \mathrm{R}^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {[ }}$ I $\left.>2 \sigma(/)\right]$ | 0.0397, 0.1060 | 0.1949, 0.4709 |
| $\mathbf{R}_{1}{ }^{c}, \omega \mathrm{R}_{2}{ }^{\text {d }}$ (all data) | 0.0428, 0.1104 | 0.3236, 0.5161 |

${ }^{a} 2$ eq. of $\mathbf{1} \cdot 1 / 2 \mathrm{EtOH} \cdot 5 / 4 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN} \cdot 1 / 4 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$; b 2 eq. of $\mathbf{2} \cdot 2 \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}^{c} \mathrm{R}_{1}=\sum\left|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right|-\left|F_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\left|/ \sum\right| F_{\mathrm{o}} \mid ;{ }^{d} \omega \mathrm{R}_{2}=\left\{\sum\left[\omega\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}{ }^{2}-F_{\mathrm{c}}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right] /\right.$ $\left.\sum\left[\omega\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right]\right\}^{1 / 2}, \omega=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{0}{ }^{2}\right)+(a P)^{2}+b P\right]$, where $P=\left[\max \left(F_{0}{ }^{2}, 0\right)+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right] / 3 .{ }^{*}$ NOTE: This structure was only isotropically refined. The crystal was poorly diffracting and could not be refined completely. Therefore, it was neither deposited in the CCDC database.


Figure S1. Crystal structure of the cationic complex of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2} .4-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ groups and H atoms of phen ligands have been omitted for better clarity. Color code: Mn'II, brown; C, grey; N, blue; O, red. The Crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be fully refined due to poor statistics of its measurement.

Table S2. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (deg) for compound $\mathbf{1}$ with standard deviations in parentheses.

| Mn1-01 | 1.834(3) | Mn5-027 | 1.944(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mn1-O3 | 2.144(3) | Mn5-024 | 1.901(3) |
| Mn1-05 | 1.940(3) | Mn5-025 | 1.904(3) |
| Mn1-07 | 2.124(3) | Mn5-038 | 2.110(4) |
| Mn1-N1 | 2.079(4) | Mn5-O29 | 2.174(4) |
| Mn1-N2 | 2.061(4) | Mn5-O32 | 1.928(3) |
| Mn2-01 | 1.909(3) | Mn6-O24 | 1.898(3) |
| Mn2-02 | 1.908(3) | Mn6-034 | 1.932(3) |
| Mn2-04 | 1.961(3) | Mn6-O25 | 1.901(3) |
| Mn2-06 | 2.171(3) | Mn6-031 | 1.968(3) |
| Mn2-09 | 1.962(3) | Mn6-O36 | 2.204(3) |
| Mn2-015 | 2.147(3) | Mn6-039 | 2.246(3) |
| Mn3-01 | 1.898(3) | Mn7-N5 | 2.083(3) |
| Mn3-02 | 1.897(3) | Mn7-024 | 1.834(3) |
| Mn3-08 | 1.966(3) | Mn7-O26 | 2.194(3) |
| Mn3-011 | 1.946(3) | Mn7-028 | 1.939(3) |
| Mn3-013 | 2.213(4) | Mn7-N6 | 2.064(4) |
| Mn3-016 | 2.201(4) | Mn7-030 | 2.141(3) |
| Mn4-O2 | 1.833(3) | Mn8-033 | 2.181(3) |
| Mn4-010 | 2.145 (3) | Mn8-035 | 2.178(3) |
| Mn4-012 | 2.153(3) | Mn8-037 | 1.911(3) |
| Mn4-014 | 1.942(4) | Mn8-N7 | 2.070(5) |
| Mn4-N3 | 2.093(4) | Mn8-N8 | 2.076(3) |
| Mn4-N4 | 2.050(4) | Mn8-O25 | 1.846(3) |
| Mn2 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 3$ | 2.860(1) | Mn5 $\cdots$ Mn6 | 2.839(1) |
| Mn1 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 2$ | 3.309(1) | Mn5 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 7$ | 3.284(1) |
| Mn1 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 3$ | 3.362(1) | Mn6 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 7$ | 3.409(1) |
| Mn2 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 4$ | 3.355(1) | Mn5 $\cdots$ Mn8 | 3.381(1) |
| Mn3 $\cdots$ Mn4 | 3.303(1) | Mn6 $\cdots$ Mn8 | 3.332(1) |
| Mn1 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 4$ | 5.525(1) | Mn7 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 8$ | 5.693(1) |
| O1-Mn1-N2 | 170.47(17) | O24-Mn5-O32 | 171.56(14) |
| O3-Mn1-O7 | 172.02(13) | O25-Mn5-027 | 173.00(15) |
| O5-Mn1-N1 | 169.47(14) | O29-Mn5-O38 | 173.55(13) |
| O6-Mn2-O15 | 176.65(13) | O25-Mn6-O31 | 170.50(15) |
| O1-Mn2-09 | 172.63(14) | O36-Mn6-O39 | 172.72(12) |
| O2-Mn2-O4 | 176.14(15) | O24-Mn6-034 | 175.16(15) |
| O1-Mn3-O11 | 174.01(15) | O24-Mn7-N6 | 168.55(17) |
| O2-Mn3-08 | 171.57(15) | O26-Mn7-O30 | 170.92(13) |
| O13-Mn3-016 | 176.46(13) | O28-Mn7-N5 | 170.84(14) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 4-\mathrm{N} 4$ | 170.18(17) | O33-Mn8-035 | 170.25(13) |
| $\mathrm{O} 10-\mathrm{Mn} 4-\mathrm{O} 12$ | 170.43(13) | O37-Mn8-N7 | 169.13(17) |
| O14-Mn4-N3 | 168.83(14) | O25-Mn8-N8 | 171.81(15) |
| Mn1-O1-Mn3 | 128.51(18) | Mn6-O24-Mn7 | 132.00(18) |
| Mn1-O1-Mn2 | 124.17(16) | Mn5-O24-Mn7 | 123.10(18) |
| Mn2-O1-Mn3 | 97.38(14) | Mn5-O24-Mn6 | 96.77(14) |
| Mn2-O2-Mn3 | 97.47(14) | Mn5-O25-Mn6 | 96.55(14) |
| $\mathrm{Mn} 2-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 4$ | 127.50(17) | Mn5-O25-Mn8 | 128.76(19) |
| $\mathrm{Mn} 3-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 4$ | 124.63(19) | Mn6-O25-Mn8 | 125.58(17) |
| $\mathrm{Mn} 2-\mathrm{O} 1-\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{Mn} 3$ | 166.6(2) | Mn5-O25-O24-Mn6 | 169.2(2) |
| Mn1-Mn3 $\cdots$ Mn2-O1 | 15.5(1) | Mn8 $\cdots$ Mn6 $\cdots$ Mn5-025 | 14.7(1) |
| Mn4-Mn2 $\cdots$ Mn3-O2 | 15.9(1) | Mn7 $\cdots$ Mn5 $\cdots$ Mn6-024 | 13.9(1) |
| O15-Mn2 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 1-07$ | 85.5(1) | O33-Mn8 $\cdots$ Mn6-039 | 89.8(1) |
| O16-Mn3 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 4-\mathrm{O} 10$ | 82.6(1) | O38-Mn5 $\cdots \mathrm{Mn} 7-\mathrm{O} 30$ | 91.7(1) |

Table S3. Selected interatomic distances (Å) and angles (deg) for compound $\mathbf{2}$ with standard deviations in parentheses. NOTE: The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be completely refined due to poor quality of the crystallographic data. The following structural parameters should never be used for magnetostructural correlations, neither as precise values.

| Mn1-01 | 1.870(6) | Mn3-N4 | 2.043(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mn1-02 | 1.912(6) | Mn3-09 | 1.923(6) |
| Mn1-04 | 2.142(7) | Mn3-011 | 2.083(6) |
| Mn1-07 | 2.208(6) | Mn3-08 | 1.855(6) |
| Mn1-N1 | 2.039(8) | Mn3-N3 | 2.037(8) |
| Mn1-N2 | 2.036(8) | Mn3-014 | 2.219(6) |
| Mn2-01 | 1.860(6) | Mn4-O8b | 1.919(6) |
| Mn2-O3 | 2.162(6) | Mn4-015 | 2.216(6) |
| Mn2-O5 | 1.945(7) | Mn4-012 | 1.936(6) |
| Mn2-N5 | 2.355(8) | Mn4-08 | 1.890(6) |
| Mn2-O1a | 1.919(6) | Mn4-O13b | 1.935(6) |
| Mn2-06a | 1.913(6) | Mn4-010 | 2.143(6) |
| O1-Mn1-N1 | 169.7(3) | O8-Mn3-N3 | 168.6(3) |
| O4-Mn1-07 | 168.0(3) | O9-Mn3-N4 | 170.2(3) |
| O2-Mn1-N2 | 171.2(3) | O11-Mn3-014 | 170.9(2) |
| O1-Mn2-06a | 171.6(3) | O8-Mn4-O13b | 171.7(2) |
| O3-Mn2-N5 | 169.5(3) | O10-Mn4-O15 | 171.2(2) |
| O1a-Mn2-O5 | 175.7(3) | O8b-Mn4-012 | 173.2(2) |
| Mn1-O1-Mn2 | 122.0(3) | Mn3-O8-Mn4 | 120.4(3) |
| Mn1-O1-Mn2a | 125.7(3) | Mn3-O8-Mn4b | 125.9(3) |
| Mn2-O1-Mn2a | 98.8(3) | Mn4-O8-Mn4b | 98.7(3) |
| Mn2-01-01a-Mn2a | 180 | Mn4-O8-08b-Mn4b | 180 |
| Mn1-Mn2-Mn2a-O1 | 18.9(3) | Mn3-Mn4-Mn4b-O8 | 19.8(3) |
| N5-Mn2-Mn1-07 | 98.1(2) | O15-Mn4-Mn3-O14 | 97.5(2) |

Symmetry codes: (a) $1-x, 1-y,-z$; (b) $-x, 1-y, 1-z$.

Table S4 $x, y$, and $z$ axes length and the elongated ( $\Delta$ ) and rhombic distortion ( $\rho$ ) of each Mn ion for compounds 1 and 2.

| Compound | Mn centre | $x /$ Å | $y / \AA$ | $z /$ Å | $\Delta / \%$ | $\rho / \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Mn1 | 3.895 | 4.019 | 4.267 | 7.8 | 3.2 |
|  | Mn2 | 3.87 | 3.87 | 4.319 | 11.6 | 0.0 |
|  | Mn3 | 3.862 | 3.843 | 4.414 | 14.6 | -0.5 |
|  | Mn4 | 3.883 | 4.035 | 4.297 | 8.5 | 3.9 |
|  | Mn5 | 3.827 | 3.846 | 4.283 | 11.6 | 0.5 |
|  | Mn6 | 3.83 | 3.868 | 4.449 | 15.6 | 1.0 |
|  | Mn7 | 3.898 | 4.021 | 4.334 | 9.5 | 3.2 |
|  | Mn8 | 3.923 | 3.98 | 4.36 | 10.3 | 1.5 |
| 2* | Mn1 | ~3.90 | $\sim 3.95$ | $\sim 4.34$ | $\sim 11$ | $\sim 1$ |
|  | Mn2 | ~3.78 | ~3.85 | $\sim 4.50$ | $\sim 18$ | $\sim 2$ |
|  | Mn3 | ~3.90 | ~3.96 | $\sim 4.31$ | $\sim 10$ | $\sim 2$ |
|  | Mn 4 | ~3.83 | ~3.85 | $\sim 4.36$ | $\sim 14$ | $\sim 1$ |

$\Delta=(z-\bar{x} y) / \bar{x} y, \bar{x} y=(x+y) / 2 ; \rho=(y-x) / x$. * The Crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be fully refined due to poor statistics of its measurement.


Figure S2. Results from different fits of the $\chi_{M} T$ versus $T$ plots of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ (red) and $\mathbf{2}$ (blue). The solid lines correspond to the fit to the experimental data considering $J_{2}=J_{3}$, omitting or including $D_{M n}$ (Fit 1 and 2, respectively), and considering $J_{2} \neq J_{3}$ (Fit 3). The molecular weight of 2 was referred to one $\mathrm{Mn}_{4}$ unit, considering an average formula between the two entities.


Figure S3. Experimental (open circles) and simulated (straight lines) $\chi_{M} T$ versus $T$ and $\chi_{M}$ versus $T$ (inset) plots for compounds 1 (red) and 2 (blue). The simulation was performed with $2 J_{1}$ and $D_{M n}$ values obtained from the fit and with the average value between $2 J_{2}$ and $2 J_{3}\left(-9.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ for 1 and $-11.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ for 2). The molecular weight of $\mathbf{2}$ was referred to one $\mathrm{Mn}_{4}$ unit, considering an average formula between the two entities.

Table S5. Comparison of the average core parameters, distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg), for the $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\prime \prime \prime{ }_{2}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{8+}$ subunits of the several $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{1 \mathrm{II}} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]^{8+}$ compounds.

| Compound | $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}- \\ & \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 J_{1} \\ & / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} \end{aligned}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 2.849 | 97.1 | 168 | -45.5 | This work |
| 2* | $\sim 2.88$ | ~99 | 180 | -43.0 | This work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 2.847 | 97.2 | 169 | -33.0 |  |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpya})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 2.871 | 97.2 | 170 | -51.4 | 2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 2.848 | 96.3 | 167 | -47.0 | 3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}\right]^{-}$ | 2.842 | 96.6 | 165 | -49.2 | 4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dbm})_{2}\right]$ | 2.875 | 99.1 | 180 | -29.8 | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dpm})_{2}\right]$ | 2.841 | 98.5 | 179 | -55.0 | 6 |

Abbreviations: bpya $=$ bis(2-pyridyl)amine, bpy $=2,2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, pic $=$ picolinate, $\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine, $\mathrm{dbmH}=$ dibenzoylmethane, dpmH = dipivaloylmethane; see Eq. 1 and Figure 5 for the $J$ assignment. * The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be fully refined due to poor statistics of its measurement.

Table S6. Comparison of the average core parameters, distances ( $A$ ) and angles (deg), for the $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{RCOO})_{2}\right]^{2+}$ subunits of the several $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}{ }_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right]$ compounds.

| Compound | $\mathbf{M n}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathbf{M n}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{L}$ | $2 \mathrm{~J}_{2} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.307 | 124.4 | 87.4 | -15.1/-4.4 | This work |
| 2* | ~3.26 | $\sim 121$ | ~99 | -14.7/-8.2 | This work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.258 | 121.8 | 74.1 | -3.4 | 1 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpya})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.307 | 126.1 | 92.6 | -6.6 | 2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.301 | 123.7 | 82.5 | -15.6 | 3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\text { pic })_{2}\right]^{-}$ | 3.311 | 125.0 | 82.3 | -10.6 | 4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dbm})_{2}\right]$ | 3.308 | 123.2 | 105.1 | -10.0 | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dpm})_{2}\right]$ | 3.255 | 120.1 | 70 | -0.8 | 6 |

$\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{L}=$ torsion angle between the two Jahn-Teller axes. Abbreviations: bpya $=$ bis(2-pyridyl)amine, bpy = 2, $2^{\prime}$-bipyridine, pic $=$ picolinate, $\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine, $\mathrm{dbmH}=$ dibenzoylmethane, $\mathrm{dpmH}=$ dipivaloylmethane. See Eq. 1 and Figure 5 for the $J$ assignment.

* The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be fully refined due to poor statistics of its measurement.

Table S7. Comparison of the average core parameters, distances ( $\AA$ ) and angles (deg), for the $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{2} \mathrm{O}(\mathrm{RCOO})\right]^{3+}$ unit of the several $\left[\mathrm{Mn}^{\text {III }}{ }_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right.$ ] complexes.

| Compound | $\mathbf{M n}_{\mathbf{c}} \cdots \mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{c}}-\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{b}}-\mathrm{Mn}_{\mathrm{t}}$ | $2 \mathrm{~J}_{3} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | Ref. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3.377 | 129.2 | -15.1/-4.4 | This work |
| 2* | ~3.37 | $\sim 126$ | -14.7/-8.2 | This work |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.34 | 126.4 | -3.4 | 1 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CEt}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpya})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.444 | 130.7 | -6.6 | 2 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{bpy})_{2}\right]^{+}$ | 3.378 | 130.2 | -15.6 | 3 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{7}(\mathrm{pic})_{2}\right]^{-}$ | 3.396 | 129.7 | -10.6 | 4 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}(\mathrm{py})_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dbm})_{2}\right]$ | 3.398 | 128.6 | -10.0 | 5 |
| $\left[\mathrm{Mn}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\left(\mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{CPh}\right)_{6}(\mathrm{dpm})_{2}\right]$ | 3.362 | 126.0 | -0.8 | 6 |

Abbreviations: bpya = bis(2-pyridyl)amine, bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine, pic = picolinate, $\mathrm{py}=$ pyridine, $\mathrm{dbmH}=$ dibenzoylmethane, dpmH $=$ dipivaloylmethane; see Eq. 1 and Figure 5 for the $J$ assignment. * The crystal structure of $\mathbf{2}$ could not be fully refined due to poor statistics of its measurement.
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