## **Supplementary Information**

## Vertically aligned nanostructured TiO<sub>2</sub> photoelectrodes for high efficiency perovskite solar cells via block copolymer template approach

Myung-Seok Seo,<sup>a,b</sup> Inyoung Jeong,<sup>a,c</sup> Joon-Suh Park,<sup>d</sup> Jinwoo Lee,<sup>c</sup> Il Ki Han,<sup>d</sup> Wan In Lee,<sup>e</sup> Hae Jung Son,<sup>a</sup> Byeong-Hyeok Sohn,<sup>b\*</sup> and Min Jae Ko<sup>a,f\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Photo-Electronic Hybrids Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul 02792, Korea

<sup>b</sup>Department of Chemistry, Seoul National University, Seoul 08826, Korea

<sup>c</sup>Department of Chemical Engineering, Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Pohang, Kyungbuk 37673, Korea

<sup>d</sup>Materials and Life Science Research Division, Nanophotonics Research Center, Korea Institute of Science and Technology (KIST), Seoul 02792, Korea

<sup>e</sup>Department of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Inha University, Incheon 22201, Korea /KU-KIST Graduate School of Converging Science and Technology, Korea University, Seoul 02841, Korea

\*Corresponding author:

(M.J.K.) Tel: +82-2-958-5518; Fax: +82-2-958-6649; E-mail: mjko@kist.re.kr (B.-H.S.) E-mail: bhsohn@snu.ac.kr



Fig. S1 FE-SEM images of perovskite solar cells using  $TiO_2$  nanostructures from BCPs nanotemplates as an electron transport layer: (a)  $TiO_2$  nanorods; (b)  $TiO_2$  nanowalls. Both scale bars are 500 nm.



Fig. S2 FE-SEM images of perovskite film coated on FTO/compact  $TiO_2$  layer/ $TiO_2$  nanostructures: (a)  $TiO_2$  nanorods; (b)  $TiO_2$  nanowalls. Both scale bars are 200 nm.



Fig. S3 (a) Reflectance spectra of the  $TiO_2$  nanostructures. (b) UV-vis spectra of the  $TiO_2$  nanostructures/CH<sub>3</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>PbI<sub>3</sub> films.



Fig. S4 Histogram of the PCE obtained from 20 samples fabricated with  $TiO_2$  nanorods and nanowalls.

Samples	$V_{\rm OC}$ (V)	$J_{\rm SC}~({\rm mA/cm^2})$	FF (%)	PCE (%)
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanorods	$1.01 \pm 0.01$	$20.0 \pm 1.2$	$70.7\pm3.8$	$14.7 \pm 0.7$
TiO <sub>2</sub> nanowalls	$0.99\pm0.01$	$16.0\pm0.9$	$64.1 \pm 3.2$	$10.3 \pm 1.0$

Table S1. Average photovoltaic parameters calculated from 20 samples fabricated with  $TiO_2$  nanorods and nanowalls.



Fig. S5 Hysteresis of the J-V curves measured in forward and reverse scan direction (scan rate at 100 ms): (a) TiO<sub>2</sub> nanorods; (b) TiO<sub>2</sub> nanowalls.

Sample	$V_{\rm OC}\left({ m V} ight)$	$J_{\rm SC}~({\rm mA/cm^2})$	FF (%)	PCE (%)
TiO <sub>2</sub> NR, Forward	1.01	19.1	65.6	12.7
TiO <sub>2</sub> NR, Reverse	1.02	19.7	73.7	14.8
TiO <sub>2</sub> NW, Forward	1.00	15.5	59.1	9.2
TiO <sub>2</sub> NW, Reverse	1.01	16.2	67.8	11.1

Table S2. Photovoltaic parameters of perovskite solar cells obtained from  $TiO_2$  nanostructures in the hysteresis measurement.