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## Thermodynamically Limited Boltzmann Distribution

Table 1: Boltzmann distribution, for samples that are thermodynamically limited: Shapes, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| Octahedron | 5.063 | 4.153 | 0.910 | -4.611 | 33.0 | 24.4 | 3.0 | 86.4 |
| Rhombi-truncated Octahedron | 5.380 | 4.569 | 0.810 | -4.973 | 28.2 | 26.5 | 3.0 | 39.6 |
| Truncated Octahedron | 5.306 | 4.413 | 0.892 | -4.859 | 47.6 | 23.0 | 3.9 | 44.9 |
| Doubly-truncated Octahedron | 5.145 | 4.337 | 0.808 | -4.755 | 28.5 | 18.4 | 2.3 | 41.6 |
| Rhombic Dodecahedron | 5.614 | 4.823 | 0.791 | -5.215 | 37.8 | 29.3 | 5.2 | 37.2 |
| Truncated Dodecahedron | 5.748 | 4.889 | 0.859 | -5.320 | 33.4 | 21.7 | 6.1 | 28.1 |
| Small Rhombicuboctahedron | 5.458 | 4.497 | 0.961 | -4.975 | 35.0 | 21.5 | 4.6 | 32.1 |
| Doubly-truncated Dodecahedron | 5.576 | 4.755 | 0.820 | -5.164 | 37.7 | 17.0 | 2.9 | 30.4 |
| Cube | 6.052 | 5.060 | 0.991 | -5.558 | 15.1 | 16.9 | 4.6 | 16.2 |
| Truncated Cube | 5.674 | 4.851 | 0.823 | -5.262 | 36.2 | 31.3 | 3.2 | 58.7 |
| Cuboctahedron | 5.488 | 4.760 | 0.729 | -5.096 | 24.2 | 16.0 | 4.7 | 31.8 |
| Great Rhombicuboctahedron | 5.570 | 4.630 | 0.940 | -5.100 | 144.9 | 29.9 | 29.2 | 34.1 |
| Mixture (All) | 5.442 | 4.583 | 0.859 | -5.012 | 18.9 | 14.6 | 3.3 | 18.9 |

Table 2: Boltzmann distribution, for samples that are thermodynamically limited: Facetconstrained samples, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| (111)-enriched | 5.230 | 4.379 | 0.852 | -4.809 | 25.9 | 18.1 | 2.9 | 29.2 |
| (110)-enriched | 5.582 | 4.710 | 0.873 | -5.144 | 29.3 | 17.1 | 4.0 | 24.2 |
| (100)-enriched | 5.623 | 4.772 | 0.851 | -5.188 | 22.1 | 17.8 | 3.7 | 22.1 |
| Quasi-spherical | 5.449 | 4.582 | 0.866 | -5.018 | 21.0 | 16.4 | 3.2 | 21.7 |
| Highly facetted | 5.433 | 4.585 | 0.848 | -5.004 | 16.8 | 12.8 | 3.5 | 16.3 |

Table 3: Boltzmann distribution, for samples that are thermodynamically limited: Speciation-constrained samples, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-enriched | 5.563 | 4.727 | 0.837 | -5.148 | 24.1 | 18.4 | 4.6 | 23.1 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-enriched | 5.265 | 4.374 | 0.891 | -4.822 | 24.7 | 19.8 | 3.0 | 30.4 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2+x}$-enriched | 5.515 | 4.663 | 0.852 | -5.082 | 18.5 | 14.8 | 2.7 | 20.3 |
| $N_{\text {coord }}<3.5$ | 5.221 | 4.253 | 0.967 | -4.737 | 22.0 | 19.7 | 3.3 | 27.8 |
| $N_{\text {coord }}>3.5$ | 5.509 | 4.683 | 0.826 | -5.095 | 20.5 | 17.4 | 3.5 | 22.0 |

## Size-dependent Normal Distribution

Table 4: Normal distribution, for samples that are kinetically limited: Shapes, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| Octahedron | 5.030 | 4.144 | 0.886 | -4.589 | 32.1 | 27.4 | 3.0 | 101.9 |
| Rhombi-truncated Octahedron | 5.379 | 4.573 | 0.805 | -4.977 | 26.1 | 23.3 | 2.8 | 34.8 |
| Truncated Octahedron | 5.311 | 4.350 | 0.961 | -4.830 | 40.4 | 31.0 | 5.2 | 48.4 |
| Doubly-truncated Octahedron | 5.121 | 4.394 | 0.727 | -4.761 | 25.3 | 20.7 | 2.0 | 45.7 |
| Rhombic Dodecahedron | 5.590 | 4.801 | 0.789 | -5.190 | 34.5 | 25.5 | 4.8 | 32.9 |
| Truncated Dodecahedron | 5.755 | 4.870 | 0.884 | -5.315 | 34.3 | 21.2 | 5.6 | 28.2 |
| Small Rbombicuboctahedron | 5.496 | 4.495 | 1.001 | -4.994 | 35.1 | 20.4 | 5.2 | 29.9 |
| Doubly-truncated Dodecahedron | 5.583 | 4.773 | 0.811 | -5.176 | 41.4 | 13.9 | 2.3 | 27.0 |
| Cube | 6.104 | 5.114 | 0.990 | -5.611 | 15.7 | 16.4 | 4.7 | 16.5 |
| Truncated Cube | 5.681 | 4.849 | 0.832 | -5.265 | 34.1 | 29.9 | 3.1 | 54.8 |
| Cuboctahedron | 5.430 | 4.716 | 0.714 | -5.042 | 23.7 | 15.6 | 4.1 | 35.1 |
| Great Rhombicuboctahedron | 5.571 | 4.623 | 0.948 | -5.096 | 178.6 | 30.8 | 33.2 | 34.6 |
| Mixture (All) | 5.464 | 4.601 | 0.863 | -5.030 | 17.4 | 14.4 | 3.2 | 17.9 |

Table 5: Normal distribution, for samples that are kinetically limited: Facet-constrained, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{F e r m i}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| (111)-enriched | 5.225 | 4.388 | 0.837 | -4.808 | 23.4 | 19.3 | 2.8 | 28.5 |
| (110)-enriched | 5.595 | 4.708 | 0.887 | -5.150 | 30.4 | 16.2 | 3.8 | 23.9 |
| (100)-enriched | 5.644 | 4.776 | 0.868 | -5.203 | 20.1 | 17.2 | 3.6 | 20.1 |
| Quasi-spherical | 5.457 | 4.594 | 0.863 | -5.026 | 20.1 | 17.0 | 3.0 | 21.8 |
| Highly facetted | 5.472 | 4.609 | 0.863 | -5.035 | 15.1 | 12.3 | 3.6 | 14.9 |

Table 6: Normal distribution, for samples that are kinetically limited: Speciationconstrained, with the probability distributed over all sizes.

|  | Expectation Values (eV) |  |  |  | Quality Factors (arb.) |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ | IP | EA | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {gap }}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{\text {Fermi }}$ |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-enriched | 5.589 | 4.726 | 0.863 | -5.159 | 25.9 | 18.7 | 5.0 | 23.8 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-enriched | 5.251 | 4.387 | 0.863 | -4.820 | 26.0 | 25.7 | 3.5 | 34.5 |
| $\mathrm{sp}^{2+x}$-enriched | 5.556 | 4.727 | 0.830 | -5.140 | 20.3 | 19.0 | 3.0 | 23.5 |
| $N_{\text {coord }}<3.5$ | 5.205 | 4.272 | 0.934 | -4.738 | 21.8 | 21.9 | 3.3 | 29.0 |
| $N_{\text {coord }}>3.5$ | 5.537 | 4.693 | 0.843 | -5.113 | 18.8 | 16.5 | 3.2 | 20.3 |



Figure 1: Size-dependent speciation for each of the shapes represented in the dataset used in this study: (a) the octahedron, (b) truncated octahedron, (c) cuboctahedron, (d) truncated cube, (e) cube, (f) great rhombicuboctahedron, (g) small rhombicuboctahedron, (h) doubly-truncated octahedron, (i) rhombi-truncated octahedron, (j) truncated dodecahedron and (k) the rhombic dodecahedron.

## Bond Length Distributions



Figure 2: Bond lengths, with error bar representing the bond length distribution, for each bucky-diamond structure represented in this dataset, separated according to the speciation: (a) $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized atoms, (b) $\mathrm{sp}^{2+x}$ hybridized atoms, and (c) $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized atoms.


Figure 3: The average bond length, with error bar representing the bond length distribution, for each of the bucky-diamond structure represented in this dataset, averaging over all bond types.

## Bond Angle Distributions



Figure 4: Bond angles, with error bar representing the bond length distribution, for each bucky-diamond structure represented in this dataset, separated according to the speciation: (a) $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized atoms, (b) $\mathrm{sp}^{2+x}$ hybridized atoms, and (c) $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized atoms.


Figure 5: The average bond angle, with error bar representing the bond length distribution, for each of the bucky-diamond structure represented in this dataset, averaging over all bond types.
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