

FIG.S1. Energy difference between the two most prominent Raman vibrational modes,  $E_{2g}^{1}$  (in plane) and  $A_{1g}$  (out of plane), for the 13 different points of the WS<sub>2</sub> monolayer. This difference is ranging from 58.8 cm<sup>-1</sup> to 61.3 cm<sup>-1</sup>.



IG.S2. Energy of  $A_{1g}$  as a function of the energy of  $E_{2g}^{1}$ , for all the different points measured. Differences ranging from 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> (resolution limit) to a maximum of 4 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the  $A_{1g}$  mode and 3.2 cm<sup>-1</sup> for the  $E_{2g}^{1}$  mode, can be observed. Two different groups of points can be distinguished (1 to 8 and 9 to 13) suggesting strain heterogeneity across the monolayer's area.

F



FIG.S3. (a) Optical microscopy image of a WS2 monolayer, where different positions (from 1 to 10) used to obtain Raman spectra are indicated. Specifically, number 6 to 10 represent the edge regions of the single layer and numbers 1 to 5 are located in the inner area. (b) Raman shifts of the different areas with respect to position 1 that is located in the center of the monolayer.

In order to confirm that the observations of Fig. 2 regarding the partial oxidation of the edge of the ML WS<sub>2</sub> we repeated the SAM/AES analysis to second sample, as shown in Fig. S4. SEM and SAM revealed an area between the bulk and ML WS<sub>2</sub>, where the sample is completely detached (dark area in SEM, cyan area in SAM). After identifying the extent of ML WS<sub>2</sub> by SEM we acquired AES spectra at points A, B and C that correspond to bulk WS<sub>2</sub>, the center of monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> and the edge of the monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The shift of the principal  $W_{MNN}$  peak to lower kinetic energy, indicating the oxidation of W, is also clearly observed for this second sample.



FIG.S4. (a) Optical microscope image of a  $WS_2$  crystal (bright area) with a  $WS_2$  monolayer extended beyond the crystal (dark shadowed area), (b) SEM image from the same area where the ML  $WS_2$  is better resolved, (c) the surface distribution of W in the

same region acquired by SAM recording the  $W_{MNN}$  peak strength; A, B, and C are the points where AES spectra have been measured (and correspond to bulk WS<sub>2</sub>, the center of monolayer WS<sub>2</sub> and the edge of the monolayer WS<sub>2</sub>), (d)  $W_{MNN}$  AES spectra from points A, B and C.



FIG.S5. (a) Typical optical microscopy image of exfoliated WS<sub>2</sub> monolayer of Fig. S4.(b) Fluorescence image of WS<sub>2</sub> monolayer using a spatially homogeneous 543nm He-Ne laser beam.



FIG.S6. Fitting result of  $E_{2g}^{1}$  and 2LA modes. The green spots correspond to the experimental data, red curves represent the voigt function of each mode and yellow line is the sum of the two functions.