## Supporting Information

## In situ electrochemical activation of Ni-based colloids from NiCl<sub>2</sub> electrode and their advanced energy storage performance

Kunfeng Chen, and Dongfeng Xue\*

State Key Laboratory of Rare Earth Resource Utilization, Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Changchun 130022, China. E-mail: dongfeng@ciac.ac.cn

## **Experimental Section**

*Electrode preparation:* In this work, no complex synthesis method was needed and the NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O salts were directly used as electroactive materials. Firstly, Ni salt (NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O), carbon black, and polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) in a mass ratio of 60:30:10 were mixed with N-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) to obtain slurry. Then, the resulting slurry was pasted onto nickel foam current collector (1cm<sup>2</sup>) and dried at 80°C for 24 h. Then, the sheet of nickel foam with Ni salt was pressed at 10 MPa and this served as working electrode. The electrode loading of NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O was between 2 and 3 mg for each electrode.

*In-situ materials synthesis:* In situ electrochemical synthesis was performed in a threeelectrode cell, in which the saturated calomel electrode (SCE) and Pt wire were used as the reference and counter electrodes. The electrolyte used was 2 M KOH solution. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) method was used to run in situ electrochemical reaction to synthesize electroactive materials. The scan rate was 50mV/s and the potential range used was 0-0.45 V vs. SCE. After CV testing for 50 cycles, electroactive working electrode was obtained with active materials within the electrode. These electrodes were used for further characterization and electrochemical measurement.

*Electrochemical performance testing*: After in-situ materials synthesis, the electroactive working electrode was directly used for supercapacitor. Electrochemical performance testing, such as CV, galvanostatic charge-discharge and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy

measurements were performed in the same electrolyte. An electrochemical workstation (CHI 660D) was used to run these electrochemical experiments in a three-electrode configuration at room temperature.

Specific capacitance C<sub>s</sub> values can be calculated through integration, as expressed by the following equation:

$$C_s = \frac{2I \cdot \int V dt}{m \cdot \Delta V^2} \tag{1}$$

where *I* is the discharge current, d*t* is the discharge time, m is the mass of Ni<sup>2+</sup>, and  $\Delta V$  is the potential interval. The specific capacitance C<sub>s</sub> can be approximately calculated using the following equation:  $C_s = I\Delta t/m\Delta V$ , where *I* is the discharge current,  $\Delta t$  is the discharge time, *m* is the mass of Ni<sup>2+</sup> in g, and  $\Delta V$  is the potential interval.

*Asymmetric supercapacitor device*: For the fabrication of asymmetric supercapacitor, an activated carbon electrode (negative electrode) was prepared by mixing 80 wt% activated carbon and 10 wt% PVDF to obtain slurry. Then the slurry was cast onto a 1 cm<sup>2</sup> nickel foam and dried at 80°C for 24 h. The activated carbon electrode was combined with a NiCl<sub>2</sub> electrode (positive electrode) with one piece of cellulose paper as the separator and 2 M KOH as the electrolyte to assemble the full cell. The energy density was calculated using equation  $E = CV^2/2$ , where *C* is the specific capacitance, *V* is the potential interval of the discharge. The average power density during discharge was calculated according to the equation, P = E/t (W/g), where *t* (h) is the discharge time. All electrochemical measurements were run by a CHI 660D electrochemical workstation.

*Characterization*: The crystalline phases of the materials were determined by powder X-ray diffraction with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.15418$  nm) on a Bruker D8 Focus diffractometer. Infrared (IR) spectral studies were carried out by ATR-IR technique (Thermo Nexus 6700) with an ATR cell and the internal reflection element (IRE) was diamond crystal. The microstructure of the electrode samples was characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM, Hitachi-S4800), and transmission electron microscope (TEM, FEI Tecnai G2 F20).



Figure S1. Schematic illustration shows the assembly of alkaline NiCl<sub>2</sub> supercapacitors. (a) The formation of highly electroactive Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> colloids by in-situ chemical coprecipitation and in-situ Faradaic reaction. (b) The fabrication of NiCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O salt electrode by two-step: (1) slurry-manufacturing and (2) in-situ electrochemical reaction. Both the chemical reaction and electrochemical reaction occurred simultaneously at the same electrode. The Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub> colloid can be completely utilized to deliver the high specific capacitance.



Figure S2. Electrochemical responses of different activation methods. (a) CV curves at 10 mV/s, (b) charge-discharge curves at 1A/g.



Figure S3. XRD patterns of NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt supercapacitors after electrochemical measurements with the standard No. JCPDS No. 22-444 for  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>. (a) NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt electrode including Ni salt and carbon without adding binder, (b) NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt electrode only including Ni salt without adding binder and conducting carbon. Both of the electrodes include  $\alpha$ -Ni(OH)<sub>2</sub>. The peaks of Ni current collector were also indicated in the figures with the standard No. JCPDS No. 1-1258.



Figure S4. SEM images of NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt supercapacitors after electrochemical measurements: (a, b) NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt electrode including Ni salt, binder and conducting carbon. (c, d) NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt electrode including Ni salt and carbon without adding binder, (e, f) NiCl<sub>2</sub> salt electrode only including Ni salt without adding binder and conducting carbon.



Figure S5 Electrochemical performance of NiCl<sub>2</sub> supercapacitor. (a) The charge-discharge curves (time versus potential) measured at various current densities and potential range of 0-0.45 V, and (b) CV curves (current density versus potential) obtained at potential range of 0-0.45 V with various scan rates. All data were collected in a 2 M KOH solution at room temperature.



Figure S6 The specific capacitance versus the current density normalized to the weight of  $Ni^{2+}$ ion (a) and the weight of  $Ni(OH)_2$  and  $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$  (b). (c)The energy density as a function of the power density normalized to the weight of  $Ni^{2+}$  ion,  $Ni(OH)_2$  and  $NiCl_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ .

Active	Faradic reaction	Theoretical	Measured capacitance	Utilization
ion		capacitance (F/g)	at 0.45V (F/g)	of cation
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	$Ni^{2+} \leftrightarrow Ni^{3+} + e^{-}$	3646 (0.45 V)		282%
Ni <sup>2+</sup>	$Ni^{2+} + 2e^- \leftrightarrow Ni$	7294 (0.45 V)	10286 (NiCl <sub>2</sub> )	141%
Ni <sup>3+</sup>	$Ni^{3+} + 3e^- \leftrightarrow Ni$	10941 (0.45 V)		94%

Table S1 Pseudocapacitance of Ni<sup>2+</sup> cations in our work

Theoretical specific capacitance of active cation  $C_m = Q/(V \times M)$ , where  $Q = 9.632 \times 10^4 \text{ C}$  for transfer of 1 mol electrons, M is molecular weight of Ni ion (M = 58.693) and V is the operating voltage window.



Figure S7 (a) The charge-discharge curves and (b) specific capacitance versus current density of asymmetric device. (c) The galvanostatic charge-discharge curves with different potential windows at the current density of 1 A/g. (d) Specific capacitance dependance on potential windows.