## **Supporting Information**

## Enhanced CO Oxidationon on the CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions derived from

## annealing of metal organic frameworks

Changlai Wang<sup>a</sup>, Dongdong Wang<sup>a</sup>, Yang Yang<sup>a</sup>, Ren Li<sup>a</sup>, Changle Chen<sup>b\*</sup> and Qianwang Chen<sup>a,c \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Materials Science & Engineering, Hefei National Laboratory for Physical Science at Microscale & Collaborative Innovation Center of Suzhou Nano Science and Technology, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China

<sup>b</sup>Department of Polymer Science & Engineering, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230031, China.

<sup>c</sup>High Magnetic Field Laboratory, Hefei Institutes of Physical Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Hefei 230031, China

\* Corresponding author

## Material synthesis

The Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> used as reference was obtained by directly carbonization of Co<sub>3</sub>[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub>. The typical synthetic experiments of Co<sub>3</sub>[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> were carried out as follows: Solution A: Co(CH<sub>3</sub>COO)<sub>2</sub>·nH<sub>2</sub>O (0.075 mmol, 18.7 mg) was dissolved in H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL) under agitated stirring to obtain a transparent red solution. Solution B: K<sub>3</sub>[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub> (16.6 mg, 0.05 mmol) and PVP surfactant (0.3 g) were dissolved in distilled water (10 mL). Solution A was slowly and regularly added to solution B through a syringe to form a red colloid solution. The whole reaction was performed at room temperature with agitated stirring. After 10 min, the reaction was aged for 24 h at room temperature without any interruption. The resulting pink-colored precipitate was filtered and washed several times with distilled water and finally dried in air at 60

°C.

# **Calculation method**

The calculations were based on density functional theory by using the Vienna

Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP)<sup>1, 2</sup>. The core electrons were replaced by the projector augmented wave pseudopotentials and the valence electrons were described by plane wave basis sets<sup>3, 4</sup>. The electron–electron exchange and correlation functional was used the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) <sup>5</sup>method with Perdew-Burke-Ernzerhof (PBE) <sup>6</sup>functional. To ensure the accuracy of the calculated results, the cutoff energy was set to 400 eV for the plane-wave expansion of the electronic wave function. All structures were optimized with a convergence criterion of  $1 \times 10^{-5}$  eV for the energy and 0.01 eV/Å for the forces. Brillouin-zone integration was performed with  $5 \times 5 \times 1$  Monkhorst-Pack grid. The adsorption energy of the CO molecule was evaluated from:

 $E_{ads} = E_{tol} - (E_{co} + E_{sur})$ 

Where  $E_{tot}$ ,  $E_{co}$  and  $E_{sur}$  are the energy of the total adsorbed system, CO molecule in the gas phase and the relaxed clean catalyst surface, respectively.



Fig. S1 shows XRD patterns of the resulting  $Ce[Co(CN)_6]$ , which is similar to  $La[Co(CN)_6]$ .



Fig. S2 TGA curves of the  $Ce[Co(CN)_6]$ .



Fig. S3 FTIR spectra of (a) the  $Ce[Co(CN)_6]$  precursor and (b) the  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions.

**Fig. S3** shows the FTIR spectra of the Ce[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>] precursor and the CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions, respectively. The FTIR spectrum of the Ce[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>] precursor exhibits a dominant peak at 2158 cm<sup>-1</sup>, which is attributed to  $-C\equiv N$ - stretching vibration. The characteristic peak at 1610 cm<sup>-1</sup> arises from C=O stretching of the poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP) amide unit<sup>7</sup> and the peak located at 3386 cm<sup>-1</sup> is related to the  $\nu$  (O–H) of the crystal water<sup>8</sup>. As we can see from the FTIR spectrum of the CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions, the peak of  $-C\equiv N$ - stretching vibration disappears, indicating MOF has been totally decomposed during the annealing process, that is to say, there is no MOF residual in the as-prepared CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions. And the peak located at 3430 cm<sup>-1</sup> is possibly attributed to the hydroxyl groups in the sample or absorbed water molecules<sup>9</sup>, which is consistent with the results of XPS (Fig. 3a).



**Fig. S4** HRTEM image of the porous CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions.



**Fig. S5** XPS spectra of the as-prepared porous CeO<sub>2</sub>/Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> nanojunctions: (a) survey spectrum, (b) C 1s binding energy spectrum.

The existence of C is possibly derived from carbonization of the -C=N- linker in the MOF, and it can be also considered to be beneficial to avoid the aggregation of in situ generated nanometer-sized  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions, which are uniformly distributed in the whole hexagonal structure.



Fig. S6 (a) SEM image of the Co<sub>3</sub>[Co(CN)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>2</sub>, (b)TEM image of pure Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>



Fig. S7 The XRD pattern of  $Co_3[Co(CN)_6]_2$ 



Fig. S8 The XRD patterns of pure  $Co_3O_4$ .



Fig. S9 Co 2p binding energy spectrumofpure Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>



Fig. S10 Conversion as a function of temperature for CO oxidation over rare Co<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>.



Fig. S11 The TEM image of porous  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions after the CO oxidation

test.



Fig. S12 The XRD pattern of porous  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions after the CO oxidation test.



b

Fig. S13 Schematic structure of  $O_2$  adsorbed on (a) the  $Co_3O_4$  (110) surface and (b) the interface of  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$ .

**Table S1** The comparison of the catalytic properties for CO oxidation of some relatedcatalysts and our porous  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$ nanojunctions.

catalysts	CO/%	T <sub>100</sub> /°C	Reference
porous CeO2/Co3O4nanojunctions	1	110	This work
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @CeO <sub>2</sub> nanowire	1	160	Nano Research, 2015, 8(6): 1944- 1955.
Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @CeO <sub>2</sub> cubes	1	190	Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 4469– 4473
ZnCo <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub> @CeO <sub>2</sub> spheres	1	200	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 22216 – 22223
CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZnO composite hollow microsphere	0.5	300	ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2014, 6, 421 – 428
CeO <sub>2</sub> nanorods	1	292	J. Mater. Chem. A 2014, 2, 16459–16466
CeO <sub>2</sub> -ZnO	1	260	Materials Letters 2016, 181, 161–

catalysts	CO/%	T <sub>100</sub> /℃	Reference
porous	1	110	This work
CeO <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> nanojunctions			
Pt@C/SiO <sub>2</sub>	1	138	Carbon, 2016,
			101, 324-330.
Pd/ZnO	1	160	Nano Res. 2011,
			4(1): 83–91
Pd-TiO <sub>2</sub>	1	>200	Progress in
			Natural Science:
			Materials
			International,
			2016.

Table S2 The comparison of the catalytic properties for CO oxidation of our porous

 $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions with some noble metals.

Table S3 The comparison of O-O bond length for  $O_2$  molecule and adsorbed  $O_2$  on

the  $Co_3O_4(110)$  surface and the interface of  $CeO_2/Co_3O_4$  nanojunctions.

	<b>O</b> <sub>2</sub>	$Co_3O_4$ (110)- $O_2$	CeO <sub>2</sub> /Co <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -O <sub>2</sub>
O-O bond length[Å]	1.236	1.282	1.287

## References

- 1. G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1996, **54**, 11169.
- 2. G. Kresse and J. Hafner, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1993, **48**, 13115.
- 3. P. E. Blöchl, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1994, **50**, 17953.
- 4. G. Kresse and D. Joubert, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1999, **59**, 1758.
- 5. M. P. Teter, M. C. Payne and D. C. Allan, *Phys. Rev. B*, 1989, **40**, 12255.
- 6. J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 1996, **77**, 3865.
- 7. L. Hu, N. Yan, Q. Chen, P. Zhang, H. Zhong, X. Zheng, Y. Li and X. Hu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 8971-8977.
- 8. F. Zheng, D. Zhu, X. Shi and Q. Chen, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2015, **3**, 2815-2824.
- 9. K. Babitha, A. Sreedevi, K. Priyanka, B. Sabu and T. Varghese, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Phys.*, 2015, **53**, 596-603.