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## Energetics and Kinetics of Phase Transition Between 2H and 1T $\mathbf{M o S}_{2}$ Monolayer-a Theoretical Study

## S1. Introduction The stability and the boundaries between $\mathbf{2 H}$ and $1 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}$ phases

In equilibrium, an obvious initial concern is the structure and the lowest ground-state energy of the boundary, which readily yields the thermodynamically optimal shape using the Wulff construction. The stability of the boundaries is evaluated by their formation energy per unit length $\left({ }^{\gamma} b\right)$, using the fitted value based on DFT calculations. ${ }_{b}$ is calculated as follows.
(i) We denote $l$ as the length of the boundary and $\Delta m$ as the number of $S$ atoms lost to $(\Delta m<0)$ or gained ( $\Delta m>0$ ) from the feedstock. So the formation energy ${ }_{f}$ of a triangular 1T phase inside the 2 H monolayer is
$G_{f}=E+\Delta m \times \mu_{S}-E_{2 H}$
where $E$ and $E_{2 H}$ are the DFT energies of the 2 H monolayer with and without 1 T phase inside, respectively, and $\mu_{S}$ is the chemical potential of the $S$ feedstock.
(ii) ${ }_{f}$ can be viewed as contributed by three parts, i.e., the three vertices of the triangle $\left({ }^{\varepsilon} v\right)$, the three boundaries $\left({ }^{\gamma}\right)$, and the 1T phase inside $(\delta)$ whose area is $\sqrt{3} l^{2} / 4$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{f}=3 \varepsilon_{v}+3 l \gamma_{b}+\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} l^{2} \delta \tag{S2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) For each kind of boundaries, we construct several (at least three) structures with different sizes (the number $n$ of honeycombs
 denote the lattice vector of $\mathrm{MoS}_{2}$ monolayer (or the Mo-Mo distance) as $a$. For AC boundaries, we have $l=\sqrt{3} n a-2 a / \sqrt{3}$, while for ZZ boundaries, $l=n a$.
As the boundary length ( $n$ ) increases, the number of $S$ atoms $(\Delta m)$ departed from stoichiometry also increases, following a linear relationship ( ${ }_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are parameters)
$\Delta m=k_{1} n+k_{2}$
(iv) Combining the equations above, we can get ( $A, B$, and $C$ are fitting parameters)
$E-E_{2 H}=A n^{2}+B n+C$
For AC boundaries,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{3 \sqrt{3}}{4} a^{2} \delta  \tag{S4}\\
B=3 \sqrt{3} a \gamma_{b}-k_{1} \mu_{S}-\sqrt{3} a^{2} \delta  \tag{S5a}\\
C=3 \varepsilon_{v}-k_{2} \mu_{S}-2 \sqrt{3} a \delta+\frac{a^{2} \delta}{\sqrt{3}}
\end{gather*}
$$

For ZZ boundaries,

$$
\begin{gather*}
A=\frac{\sqrt{3}}{4} a^{2} \delta \\
B=3 a \gamma_{b}-k_{1} \mu_{S}  \tag{S5b}\\
C=3 \varepsilon_{v}-k_{2} \mu_{S}
\end{gather*}
$$

[^0](v) Then we can finally get the formation energy of the boundaries:
\[

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{b}(A C)=\frac{B+k_{1} \mu_{S}+\sqrt{3} a^{2} \delta}{3 \sqrt{3} a}  \tag{S6a}\\
\gamma_{b}(Z Z)=\frac{B+k_{1} \mu_{S}}{3 a} \tag{S6b}
\end{gather*}
$$
\]

## S2. Nucleation of 1T phase inside 2 H phase

Table S1. The parameters fitted from DFT energies $(A, B$, and $C)$ and structures ( ${ }^{k_{1}}, k_{2}, A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}$, and $\left.C^{\prime}\right)$. $\delta$ is in the unit of eV/Å2. $\Delta \mu_{c}$ is in the unit of $\mathrm{eV} / \mathrm{MoS}_{2}$.

|  | $E-E_{2 H}=A n^{2}+B n+C$ |  |  | $\delta$ | $\Delta m=k_{1} n+k_{2}$ |  | $N_{1 T}=A^{\prime} n^{2}+B^{\prime} n+C^{\prime}$ |  |  | $\Delta \mu_{c}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | $B$ | C |  | $k_{1}$ | $k_{2}$ | $A^{\prime}$ | $B^{\prime}$ | $C^{\prime}$ |  |
| ZZ-Mo\|- | 0.287 | 5.731 | 1.911 | 0.065 | 1 | 0 | 0.5 | -0.5 | 0 | 0.573 |
| ZZ-Mo\|+ | 0.195 | -4.524 | 29.405 | 0.044 | -2 | 12 | 0.5 | -0.5 | -9 | 0.390 |
| ZZ-S\|- | 0.237 | 13.771 | -21.793 | 0.054 | 2 | -3 | 0.5 | -0.5 | -9 | 0.474 |
| ZZ-S\|+ | 0.226 | -1.401 | 14.678 | 0.051 | -1 | 7 | 0.5 | -0.5 | -9 | 0.452 |
| AC\|- | 0.758 | 18.820 | -5.006 | 0.057 | 3 | -1 | 1.5 | -1.5 | 1 | 0.505 |
| AC\|+ | 0.842 | 5.692 | 7.549 | 0.063 | 0 | 2 | 1.5 | 1.5 | -2 | 0.561 |

The change in Gibbs free energy ${ }^{G} f$ as a function of the nucleus size $n$ or ${ }^{N}{ }^{1 T}$ dominates the behavior of nucleation. Then from $G_{f}$ in Eq. (3) in the main text, we can furthermore analyze how a 1 T phase nucleates inside a 2 H lattice. Now we focus on the nuclei with $\mathrm{ZZ}-\mathrm{Mol}$ - and $\mathrm{ZZ}-\mathrm{S} \mid+$ boundaries, which has lower formation energy and then higher chance to present. Since the number of S atoms hoped to 1 T phase $\left({ }^{\left(N_{1 T}\right)}\right.$ ) has a polynomial relationship with $n\left(N_{1 T}=A n^{2}+B n+C\right.$, all the coefficients listed in Table S1.), we can rewrite ${ }_{f}$ in Eq. (3) and (S4) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{f}=(A-A \Delta \mu) n^{2}+\left(B-B \Delta \mu+k_{1} \mu_{S}\right) n+\left(C-C^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{2} \mu_{S}\right) \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $N_{1 T}$ is the number of the top-layer $S$ atoms in the 1 T phase which is proportional to the area of the 1 T phase in the 2 H lattice and represents the size of the 1 T phase. $\Delta \mu$ is the chemical potential difference between 1 T and 2 H phases.

In eq.(3), $G_{f}=E+\Delta m \times \mu_{S}-E_{2 H}-N_{1 T} \Delta \mu$, the term $-N_{1 T} \Delta \mu$, represents the formation energy drop of the nucleated $1 \mathrm{~T}^{\prime}$ phase as a result of doping or charge transfer. It will affect the stability of different boundaries accordingly:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\gamma_{b}(A C)=\frac{B-B^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{1} \mu_{S}+\sqrt{3} a^{2} \delta}{3 \sqrt{3} a}  \tag{S8a}\\
\gamma_{b}(Z Z)=\frac{B-B^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{1} \mu_{S}}{3 a} \tag{S8b}
\end{gather*}
$$

Note that the parameter $B^{\prime}$ is the same for ZZ boundaries as shown in Table S1, therefore, the driving force $\Delta \mu$ will not affect the relative stability between ZZ boundaries.

The nucleus size and nucleation barrier $\left(n^{*}, G^{*}\right)$, are defined as the maximum of the $G_{f}(n)$ curve at a given $\Delta \mu$ and $\mu_{S}$. Following this definition, we can easily determine $G^{*}$ and $n^{*}$ as a function of $\Delta \mu$ at a given $\mu_{S}$, through $\left.\frac{\partial G}{\partial n}\right|_{n=n^{*}}=0$, and $\left.\frac{\partial^{2} G}{\partial n^{2}}\right|_{n=n^{*}}<0$

$$
\begin{align*}
& n^{*}=\frac{B-B^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{1} \mu_{S}}{2\left(A^{\prime} \Delta \mu-A\right)}, \Delta \mu>\Delta \mu_{c}=\frac{A}{A^{\prime}} \\
& G^{*}=\frac{\left(B-B^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{1} \mu_{S}\right)^{2}}{4\left(A^{\prime} \Delta \mu-A\right)}+\left(C-C^{\prime} \Delta \mu+k_{2} \mu_{S}\right) \tag{S9}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure S1. The step-flow model at the ZZ-Mol-boundary. The migrated $S$ atoms in both rows $(i, j)=(0,0),(2,0),(2,2),(3,3)$, $(4,4)$ and $(6,6)$ respectively. $2 \times 2$ supercells are used in all figures to show the structures clearly.


Figure S2. The step-flow model at the ZZ-Mo|+ boundary. The migrated $S$ atoms in both rows $(i, j)=(0,0),(2,1),(3,2),(4,3)$, $(5,4),(5,5)$ and $(6,6)$, respectively.


Figure S3. The step-flow model at the ZZ-S $\mid+$ boundary. The migrated $S$ atoms in both rows $(i, j)=(0,0),(2,1),(2,2),(3,2),(4,3),(5,4)$ and $(6,6)$ respectively.


[^0]:    a. Institute of Textiles and Clothing, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

    China.
    E-mail: feng.ding@polyu.edu.hk

