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## 1. Materials

All reagents from commercial sources were used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. (Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium(III) dichloride dimer ( $\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ) and 2-phenylpyridine was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was purchased from Wako Pure Chemical Industries. The anhydrous solvents were purchased from Kanto Chemical. 2-Phenylpyrimidine was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry and purified by recrystallization from anhydrous hexane under nitrogen atmosphere. 1-(2-Pyrimidinyl)pyrrole (1) was prepared according to the literature method ${ }^{\text {s1 }}$ and purified by recrystallization from hexane. 1-(2-Pyridinyl)pyrrole was prepared according to the literature method s2 and purified by High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC). Tris(acetonitrile)(pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)rhodium bis(hexafluoroantimonate) ( $\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{Rh}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right)_{3}\right]\left[\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right]_{2}$ ) was prepared according to the literature method. ${ }^{53}$ 2,7-Diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene ${ }^{54}$ and 1,4-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)-2,5-ethenylbenzene ${ }^{54 a, 55}$ were synthesized referring to previous reports.

## 2. General methods

${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ spectra were recorded on Bruker AVANCE-400 or AVANCE-600 NMR spectrometers. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra were measured with tetramethylsilane (TMS) as an internal standard. Gel permeation chromatography (GPC) measurements were carried out on a SHIMADZU prominence GPC system equipped with polystyrene gel columns, using DMF solution of $\mathrm{LiCl}(0.01 \mathrm{M})$ as an eluent after calibration with polystyrene standards. The absorption spectra were recorded on a JASCO V-630 spectrometer. MALDI-TOF-MS spectra were recorded on an AB SCIEX MALDI TOF/TOF 5800 using trans-2-[3-(4-tert-Butylphenyl)-2-methyl-2-propenylidene]malononitrile (DCTB) as matrix. The highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) levels were estimated by photoelectron yield spectroscopy (PYS)
using an AC-3 spectrometer (Riken Kieiki). Elemental analyses were carried out with a Perkin-Elmer 2400 CHN Elemental Analyzer. Thermogravimetric analyses (TGA) were carried out using TG/DTA7300 systems from Seiko Instruments Inc.

## 3. Model reaction

### 3.1 Synthesis of compound $2^{\text {S6 }}$

A mixture of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}(4.94 \mathrm{mg}, 8.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}), 1(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20$ $\mathrm{mmol})$, and styrene ( $45.8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 2.4 mL ) for 4 h at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under nitrogen atmosphere in the dark. Then the reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature. To the mixture was added dichloromethane ( 40 mL ) and ethylenediamine ( 1.6 mL ). The organic layer was washed with distilled water ( $40 \mathrm{~mL} \times 3$ ) and dried over sodium sulfate. The product was isolated by column chromatography on silica gel using chloroform as an eluent. 2-[2,5-Bis((E)-2-phenylethenyl)-1H-pyrrol-1-yl]pyrimidine (2) was obtained as a yellow solid ( $63.2 \mathrm{mg}, 91 \%$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $8.92(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.37-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}), 7.29(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.18(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1$ $\mathrm{Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.91(\mathrm{~d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.73(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum essentially agrees with those in the previous report. ${ }^{57}$

### 3.2 Control experiments of model reaction

a)


b)


Scheme S1 Control experiments using (a) 1-phenylpyrrole and (b) 1-methylpyrrole.


1

Scheme S2 Control experiment in the absence of styrene.

## 4. Synthesis of monomers

### 4.1 Synthesis of 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene (Scheme S3) ${ }^{\text {S4 }}$



Scheme S3 Synthesis of 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene

### 4.2 Synthesis of 1,4-diethenyl-2,5-bis[(2-ethylhexyl)oxy]benzene (Scheme S4) ${ }^{\text {S4a, S5 }}$




Scheme S4 Synthesis of 1,4-bis(2-ethylhexyloxy)-2,5-ethenylbenzene

## 5. Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation polycondensation

### 5.1 General procedure for Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation polycondensation

A mixture of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(168 \mathrm{mg}, 0.84 \mathrm{mmol}),\left[\mathrm{Cp}^{*} \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ ( $4.94 \mathrm{mg}, 8.0 \mu \mathrm{~mol}$ ), directing-groupcontaining arene monomer ( 0.20 mmol ), and divinyl monomer ( 0.20 mmol ) was stirred in anhydrous DMF ( 2.4 mL ) at a prescribed temperature for a prescribed time under nitrogen atmosphere in the dark. Then the reaction mixture was poured into a vigorously stirred mixture of distilled water ( 40 mL ) and ethylenediamine ( 1.6 mL ). The suspension was stirred overnight in the dark. The precipitate was separated by filtration and washed with the following solutions and solvents: aqueous solution of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt ( $0.1 \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{pH}=8$ ), aqueous solution of sodium hydroxide ( 0.1 M ), distilled water, methanol, and hexane. The precipitate was dissolved in chloroform and the
solution was filtered through a Celite plug. A reprecipitation from chloroform/methanol gave a polymeric product.

### 5.2 Synthesis of Polymer 1 (Scheme 2, Table 1, entry 2)

$1(29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ and 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene ( $88.5 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used as the monomers. The reaction was carried out at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , giving poly[[1-(2-pyrimidinyl)- $1 \mathrm{H}-$ pyrrole-2,5-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl[9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl] (Polymer 1) as an orange solid in $81 \%$ yield ( $94.9 \mathrm{mg}, M_{\mathrm{n}}=22400, \mathrm{PDI}=5.6$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta .8 .94(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.55(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.38(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.34-7.31(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.19-7.15(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.99$ (d, J = $16.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.95(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.88-0.48(\mathrm{br} m, 30 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ $158.6,157.7,151.1,140.4,136.3,134.9,127.8,125.1,125.0,124.9,122.0,121.8,121.6,119.5,119.0$, 117.7, 109.7, $54.5,44.6,34.5,33.7,28.1,28.0,26.9,22.7,14.0,10.3$. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : C 84.34, H 8.46, N 7.20; found C 83.42, H 8.44, N 6.93. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3}$ : C 84.34, H 8.46, N 7.20; found C 82.51, H 8.24, N 7.04.

A part of the product ( 30 mg ) was further purified. Ethylenediamine ( $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) was added to a solution of the polymer in THF. The solution was stirred for 1 h and the polymer was reprecipitated in distilled water. The separated polymer was washed with distilled water and methanol. A reprecipitation from THF/distilled water gave the purified polymer ( $21 \mathrm{mg}, 70 \%$ ). Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{3}: \mathrm{C} 84.34, \mathrm{H} 8.46, \mathrm{~N}$ 7.20 ; found C 83.42 , H $8.44, \mathrm{~N} 6.93$.

This purification step improves the result of elemental analysis but the physical properties of the parent polymer and the purified polymer were essentially same.

### 5.3 Effect of catalyst and additive on direct alkenylation polycondensation

Table S1. Direct alkenylation polycondensation of 1 with 2,7-ethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene under various conditions ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  <br> $\mathrm{EH}=2$-ethylhexyl | $\xrightarrow[\begin{array}{l} (0.08 \mathrm{M}) \\ { }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4 \mathrm{~h} \\ \text { itive } \end{array}]{\substack{\text { cat. } \\ \text { equiv. } \mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entry | Rh-cat. (mol\%) | Additive (equiv.) | Yield / \% | $M_{\mathrm{n}} \times 10^{-3}$ | $M_{\mathrm{w}} / M_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| S1 | [Cp*Rh( MeCN$\left.)_{3}\right]\left(\mathrm{SbF}_{6}\right)_{2}(8)$ | - | 70 | 10.7 | 3.8 |
| S2 | [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (2) |  | 37 | 5.5 | 2.0 |
| S3 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (2) | - | 68 | 9.4 | 2.3 |
| S4 | [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (4) | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ (4.2) | 0 | - | - |
| S5 | [ $\left.\mathrm{Cp} * \mathrm{RhCl}_{2}\right]_{2}$ (4) | 1-AdCOOH (4.2) | 86 | 14.3 | 2.9 |

[^0]
### 5.4 Synthesis of Polymer 2 (Scheme S5)

1-(2-Pyridinyl)pyrrole ( $25.9 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene ( 88.5 mg , 0.20 mmol ) were used as the monomers. The reaction was carried out at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h , giving poly[[1-(2-pyridinyl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl[9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl]-1,2ethenediyl] (Polymer 2) as an orange solid in $85 \%$ yield ( $99.5 \mathrm{mg}, M_{\mathrm{n}}=55800, \mathrm{PDI}=4.2$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR (400 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): 8.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.92-7.88(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.51-7.46(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, 6.93-6.87 (br m, 2H), 6.76-6.73 (br m, 4H), $1.90(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.86-0.62(\mathrm{~m}, 22 \mathrm{H}), 0.51-0.44(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 151.3,151.1,149.6,140.3,138.1,136.1,134.6,127.4,125.0,124.9,124.8$, 123.6, 123.1, 121.8, 121.7, 121.4, 119.5, 116.8, 108.7, 54.5, 44.5, 34.5, 33.7, 28.0, 26.9, 22.7, 14.0, 10.3. Anal. calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{42} \mathrm{H}_{50} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ : C 86.55, H 8.65, N 4.81; found C 86.17, H 8.73, N 4.61.




Polymer 2

Scheme S5 Synthesis of Polymer 2 via Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation

### 5.5 Synthesis of Polymer 3 (Scheme S6)

1 ( $29.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1,4-diethenyl-2,5-bis[(2-ethylhexyl)oxy]benzene ( $77.3 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were used as the monomers. The reaction was carried out at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h , giving poly[[1-(2-pyrimidinyl)-1H-pyrrole-2,5-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl[2,5-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-1,4-phenylene]-1,2-ethenediyl] (Polymer 3) as a dark red solid in $78 \%$ yield ( $82.8 \mathrm{mg}, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{n}}=23800, \mathrm{PDI}=2.9$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta .8 .88(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=$ $4.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.19(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.11(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J=16.1 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.87(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 6.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.79(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{J}=5.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.72-1.66(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 1.50-1.32(17 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 0.91(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(150$ $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.5,157.8,150.9,135.4,127.0,121.9,118.9,118.3,110.3,110.0,71.8,39.6,30.7,29.1$, 24.0, 23.1, 14.1, 11.2.


Scheme S6 Synthesis of Polymer 3 via Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation

### 5.6 Synthesis of Polymer 4 (Scheme S7)

2-Phenylpyrimidine ( $31.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene ( 88.5 mg , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used as the monomers. The reaction was carried out at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , giving poly[[9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl[2-(2-pyrimidinyl)-1,3-phenylene]-1,2-ethenediyl] (Polymer 4) as pale yellow solid in $79 \%$ yield ( $93.9 \mathrm{mg}, M_{\mathrm{n}}=10100, \mathrm{PDI}=1.9$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta .8 .95(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.72-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.48(\mathrm{t}, J=7.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.35-7.34(\mathrm{~m}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 7.25-7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.04(\mathrm{dt}, J=15.6,6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.80-6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.94-1.84(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.62(\mathrm{~m}$, $23 \mathrm{H}), 0.47-0.44(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(150 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.9,157.0,151.0,140.7,137.1,136.7,136.0$, $131.5,129.0,125.9,125.6,125.4,124.9,122.5,122.1,121.9,121.6,119.6,119.0,54.6,44.5,34.6,34.5$, 33.7, 28.0, 27.1, 26.9, 22.7, 22.6, 14.0, 10.4, 10.3, 10.2.


Scheme S7 Synthesis of Polymer 4 via Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation

### 5.7 Synthesis of Polymer 5 (Scheme S8)

2-Phenylpyridine ( $28.5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.20 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 2,7-diethenyl-9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene ( 88.5 mg , $0.20 \mathrm{mmol})$ were used as the monomers. The reaction was carried out at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , giving poly[[9,9-bis(2-ethylhexyl)-9H-fluorene-2,7-diyl]-1,2-ethenediyl[2-(2-pyridinyl)-1,3-phenylene]-1,2-ethenediyl] (Polymer 5) as pale yellow solid in $82 \%$ yield ( $96.9 \mathrm{mg}, M_{\mathrm{n}}=21300, \mathrm{PDI}=2.5$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ : $\delta .8 .84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.80-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.52(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 7.36(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.5 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $7.22(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 7.05(\mathrm{dt}, J=16.1,4.1 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 6.78(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=15.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.87(\mathrm{br}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.85-0.61(\mathrm{br} \mathrm{m}, 22 \mathrm{H})$, 0.48-0.43 (br m, 8H). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR (150 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 158.6,151.1,149.5,140.7,138.5,136.8,136.0$, 135.9, 130.8, 128.5, 126.5, 126.4, 125.9, 125.7, 125.4, 124.6, 122.4, 122.0, 121.7, 119.6, 54.5, 44.4, 34.5, 33.7, 28.0, 26.9, 22.6, 14.0, 10.3.




Polymer 5

Scheme S8 Synthesis of Polymer 5 via Rh-catalyzed dehydrogenative direct alkenylation
6. NMR spectra data and MALDI-TOF-MS spectra data


Fig. $\mathrm{S}^{1}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S2 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $1\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. $\mathrm{S3}{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S4 MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of Polymer 1.


Fig. $\mathrm{S5}^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $\mathbf{2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S6 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $\mathbf{2}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S7 MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of Polymer 2.


Fig. S8 ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S9 $\left.{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $\mathbf{3}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S10 MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of Polymer 3.


Fig. $\mathrm{S} 11{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S12 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $4\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S13 MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of Polymer 4.


Fig. $\mathrm{S} 14{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 400 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S15 ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of Polymer $5\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}, 150 \mathrm{MHz}\right)$.


Fig. S16 MALDI-TOF-MS spectrum of Polymer 5.

## 7. Fabrication and characterization of FETs

To estimate the hole mobilities of the Polymers 1,2, OFETs with a top-contact geometry were fabricated and characterized as follows. A glass/Au gate electrode/Parylene-C insulator substrate was prepared according to the previously reported methods. ${ }^{\text {s8 }}$ The Polymers $\mathbf{1 , 2}$ were spin-coated from toluene solution onto the Parylene-C layer. The coated substrate was then transferred to a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$-filled glove box where it was dried for 10 min at $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Au ( 40 nm ) source-drain electrodes were thermally evaporated onto the substrates through shadow masks. The channel length and width were fixed at $75 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and 5 mm , respectively. The OFET measurements were conducted using a Keithley 2636A System Source Meter under vacuum.


Fig. S17 Typical characteristics of the top-contact FETs for (a) Polymer $\mathbf{1}$ and (b) Polymer 2 films. Left: output curves at different gate Voltage; right: transfer curves at $\mathrm{V}_{\text {sd }}=-100 \mathrm{~V}$

Table S2. OFET characteristics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Polymer | $\mu_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{\mathrm{b}}\left[\mathrm{cm}^{2} \mathrm{~V}^{-1} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right]$ | on $/$ off ratio | $V_{\mathrm{th}}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}[\mathrm{V}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Polymer 1 | $7.13 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.26 \times 10^{3}$ | -28.4 |
| Polymer 1 | $7.85 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.80 \times 10^{3}$ | -27.2 |
| Polymer 1 | $8.33 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.85 \times 10^{3}$ | -26.1 |
| Polymer 1 | $6.74 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.34 \times 10^{3}$ | -31.1 |
| Ave | $7.5 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-5}$ | $1.6 \pm 0.3 \times 10^{3}$ | $-28 \pm 2$ |
| Polymer 2 | $1.89 \times 10^{-4}$ | $1.24 \times 10^{3}$ | -34.9 |
| Polymer 2 | $1.63 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3.43 \times 10^{3}$ | -29.0 |
| Polymer 2 | $1.34 \times 10^{-4}$ | $2.76 \times 10^{3}$ | -30.4 |
| Polymer 2 | $2.25 \times 10^{-4}$ | $4.11 \times 10^{3}$ | -29.2 |
| Ave | $1.8 \pm 0.4 \times 10^{-4}$ | $3 \pm 1 \times 10^{3}$ | $-31 \pm 3$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ OFET configuration; Glass / Au gate electrode / Parylene-C insulator / Polymer / Au source-drain electrodes.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Field-effect hole mobility.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Threshold voltage.


Fig. S18 AFM images ( $5 \times 5 \mu \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ) of Polymers $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.
8. Thermal properties

Table S3. Temperatures at 5\% weight-loss

| Polymer | Polymer 1 $^{\text {a }}$ | Polymer 2 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Temp. $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | 376 | 395 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Table 1 Entry 2.


Fig. S19 TGA curves of Polymers $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ at a heating rate of $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ under Ar .
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[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Reactions were conducted using Rh-catalyst and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ( 4.2 equiv.) in DMF ( 0.08 M ) at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $4 \mathrm{~h} .{ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Reaction was conducted for 8 h .

